



TWENTIETH ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

RAILROAD AND WAREHOUSE COMMISSION


OF ILLINOIS.

RAILROADS, FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1890.
GRAIN INSPECTION, OCTOBER 31, 1890.
OFFICE, DECEMBER 1, 1890.

COMMISSIONERS:

JOHN R. WHEELER, CHICAGO, *Chairman*.
ISAAC N. PHILLIPS, BLOOMINGTON. W. L. CRIM, FRANKFORT.
J. H. PADDOCK, SPRINGFIELD, *Secretary*.

SPRINGFIELD, ILL.:
H. W. ROEGER, STATE PRINTER.
1890.



TWENTIETH ANNUAL REPORT.

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
RAILROAD AND WAREHOUSE COMMISSION,
SPRINGFIELD, December 1, 1890.

To His Excellency, HON. JOS. W. FIFER, Governor of Illinois:

In this, the twentieth annual report of the Railroad and Warehouse Commission of Illinois, for the year 1890, we shall attempt to confine our discussions to those topics which we deem of practical importance arising in the field covered by our duties. We are glad to be able to open this report with a reiteration in substance of what we said one year ago, namely: That there is a continued tendency toward better equipment of railroads, improved road-beds, and safer structures, and, generally, toward a condition of greater safety, convenience and comfort for the traveling public, as well as one of greater speed and efficiency in transportation of freights. Much remains to do, no doubt, to bring the railroad service of the State to a condition of ideal perfection. Some of our roads, indeed, can never, under independent management, perhaps under any management to which they are likely to pass, take rank as perfectly safe highways, nor offer to the public more than second or third-class accommodations. Yet these weaker roads, with all their defects, perform important functions for the public; and none of them could be dispensed with without serious inconvenience to their patrons. When a road is once built, even though not justified as an investment for capital, and doomed to go from the hands of one receiver to those of another, people along the line, none the less learn to depend upon it; and the whole industrial and social economy of large neighborhoods of people is at once adapted to the great fact of rail transportation, imperfect though it be.

It is this state of dependence upon rail highways, into which communities of people so inevitably fall, which renders a judicious regulation of railways by law so necessary to the public welfare. If the people become dependent even upon the weakest road which happens to penetrate to their neighborhood, how much more is this so in the case of the better and stronger roads. Of these stronger roads this State has a large mileage; and of

the better class of Illinois roads it may be said, without boasting, that in equipment, structures, and management they do not suffer in comparison with the very best in the United States.

PHYSICAL INSPECTION OF ROADS.

The Commissioners, accompanied by Secretary James H. Padlock and Consulting Engineer Chas. Hansel, have inspected the following railroads: Cairo, Vincennes & Chicago ("Big Four Route"); Chicago and Ohio River; Chicago, Santa Fe and California; Grand Tower and Carbondale; Illinois Central; Indiana and Illinois Southern; Jacksonville Southeastern; Mobile and Ohio; Peoria, Decatur and Evansville; Peoria and Pekin Union; St. Louis, Alton and Springfield; St. Louis, Alton and Terre Haute; Toledo, Peoria and Western; Terre Haute and Indianapolis (Vandalia); Terre Haute and Peoria; Wabash, and Wabash, Chester and Western.

CAIRO, VINCENNES AND CHICAGO.

This road extends from Tilton southwardly through the eastern portion of the State to Cairo, and was formerly a part of the Wabash, St. Louis and Pacific Railway. During the Wabash management the property was permitted to deteriorate until its physical condition was very bad. Shortly before our inspection was made the management of this line had been transferred to the "Big Four". About one hundred miles of the road is laid with sixty-pound steel rail. We found a considerable force employed in reconstructing bridges and road-bed, which, if continued, would greatly improve the road. We found the two trestle bridges south of Tunnel Hill in bad condition. As these bridges are very high, being from sixty-five to ninety-four feet from creek bed, special examination was made. The examination revealed faulty construction and decayed timber, and a general condition so bad that it was deemed necessary to order new bridges in their place. The management was requested to renew these bridges as soon as possible; and though we have not been officially notified of the fact, we learn through outside sources that the bridges have been replaced. At Carmi station a portion of the main track is used jointly with the Louisville and Nashville Railway, the south switch turning out of main track being a short distance from depot where all trains stop. The north junction, however, is about fifteen hundred feet from station. As operated at that time it presented a constant element of danger from collisions, as the trains of both roads approach the junction under full headway, expecting to find clear track. To protect this point we ordered both companies to join in the construction and operation of a high semaphore signal.

CHICAGO AND OHIO RIVER.

This road extends from a junction with the Chicago and Eastern Illinois, at Sidell, to Olney, eighty-six miles south. The original gauge of this track was three feet, which has since been changed to standard gauge. The rails are iron and very light, ranging from thirty to fifty pounds. But thirty-seven men were engaged in maintenance of way, a force inadequate to keep the work in good condition. The schedule time for trains is, however, very slow and no accidents have occurred. For the year ending June 30, 1889, the books of this company showed a net deficit of \$689.89, with no interest paid on bonds. There seems to be no immediate prospect of improvement in this road and it continues to furnish reasonable accommodations for such business as is offered.

CHICAGO, SANTA FE AND CALIFORNIA.

This line extends in Illinois from Chicago to the Mississippi at Ft. Madison, two hundred and thirty-five and one-half miles, with a branch from Ancona to Pekin, fifty-seven and nine-tenths miles, including track of Toledo, Peoria and Western Ry. from Eureka to Pekin Junction, five and one-half miles. The line from Chicago to Pekin was formerly operated under the title of the C., P. and S. W. Ry., and is laid with steel weighing seventy-one pounds per yard. The rail from Ancona is new. There are three hundred and thirty-five bridges between Chicago and Ft. Madison, and seventy-four between Ancona and Pekin. The most important structure spans the Illinois river one hundred and thirty-one and one-half miles from Chicago. The principal structure over the river proper consists of one draw four hundred and fifty nine and three-tenths feet long, and three fixed spans one hundred and fifty-three feet each. The east approach is of pile and trestle bents, of sixteen-feet span, five thousand, five hundred and ninety-two feet in length. The west approach is composed of three pile and two hundred and thirty-five trestle bents three thousand, eight hundred and three feet long. Total length of bridge, ten thousand, one hundred and sixty-four and three-tenths feet. The entire structure seems to be in good repair and extra precautions are provided for the guidance of enginemen approaching draw by a system of home and distant signals operated by bridge-tender. Considerable improvement is being made in the condition of the track, the regular track force being one man per mile, which force is increased by temporary extra gangs.

GRAND TOWER AND CARBONDALE.

This line extends twenty-six and two-tenths miles from Carbondale to Grand Tower. The business of this road is principally in coal. The line is laid with fifty-six pound steel rails,

the oldest being seven years in service. There are but few bridges on this line and the physical condition is in keeping with the traffic.

ILLINOIS CENTRAL.

This line shows marked improvement, and with the new steel which is being laid and other general betterments, the addition of new motive power and coaches, bids fair to make this road one of the finest in the State.

INDIANA AND ILLINOIS SOUTHERN.

This line extends from Effingham east, crossing the Wabash river at Riverdale, fifty-three miles. The original gauge was three feet, and was changed in 1887 to standard gauge. The original rails are still in service and are very light, being but thirty-five pounds per yard, and are now very much worn. Many of the short narrow gauge ties remain in track. The joints are partly bolted and ties are not full spiked, and the general condition is very bad. The only important bridge spans the Embarrass river. This bridge is a new through iron truss.

JACKSONVILLE SOUTHEASTERN.

The title of the company operating this line is the Chicago, Peoria and St. Louis. The main line, first operated as the J. S. E. Line, extended from Jacksonville to Centralia. This line has been extended to Drivers under the title of Louisville and St. Louis. The Litchfield, Carrollton and Western, extending from Barnett to Columbiana, was added to the J. S. E. system. The line of the P., P. & J., extending from Pekin to Jacksonville, and the S. & N. W., extending from Havana to Springfield, were purchased of the Wabash and added to the J. S. E. system. The J. S. E. line is also operating the St. Louis and Chicago Railroad, from Springfield to Mt. Olive, and have extended the line to East St. Louis. As will be observed, the system known as the J. S. E. Line is made up of a number of dependent roads; and while its corporate name is not the J. S. E., for commercial reasons it chooses to operate under that title. Only a portion of this road has been inspected, being that part extending from Pekin via Springfield to St. Louis. Considerable new steel has been laid between Havana and Pekin, and some renewals in rails have been made between Havana and Springfield. Since the leasing of the St. Louis and Chicago line, the section force has been increased and considerable improvement has been made. This line is now finished to East St. Louis and the Santa Fe trains are running from Chicago to St. Louis via Pekin, using this line from Pekin.

MOBILE AND OHIO.

This line extends from Cairo to East St. Louis, distance one hundred and fifty-two miles. As originally constructed it was intended for a narrow gauge, and as all laws of gravity seemed to have been suspended when narrow gauge roads were built, the present grades and alignment present a great hindrance to operation. The gauge was changed to standard gauge in 1886, and new steel, weighing sixty pounds per yard, was laid. Considerable work was performed previous to our first inspection during September, 1889, but owing to continuous mild weather, with heavy rain during the following winter, the road bed was considerably damaged and it was necessary to maintain temporary surface by shimming. A second inspection was made during August of this year, when we found a large force of men at work shouldering embankments for ballast, ditching and filling. A force with steam shovel were engaged in cleaning out cuts and filling in openings where renewals of bridges were necessary. The entire line was busy with general improvement, which bids fair to place it in better condition than ever, before the winter closes down work.

PEORIA, DECATUR AND EVANSVILLE.

This line extends from Pekin to Grayville, on Wabash river, two hundred and three and six-tenths miles. When inspected the rail was fifty-six pound steel, and twenty-six miles old iron. The track force is not sufficient to maintain the track properly, and more cross ties should be put in. We have advised the management that these renewals must be made and the general physical condition of the road improved.

PEORIA AND PEKIN UNION.

This road is used for a terminal and switching road at Peoria with a line extending to Pekin. The general condition of the road is good. The bridge crossing the Illinois river at Lower Peoria has been the subject of special examination and report. On Feb. 3, 1890, an accident occurred there of so serious a nature that it was deemed advisable to send Mr. Chas. Hansel, Consulting Engineer, to make a special examination and report. It was found that the Superintendent of the P. & P. U. Ry. had employed an expert from the Detroit Bridge Co. to make examination of the bridge, in December of 1889, with the view of learning if the bridge was sufficient to maintain the increased traffic. No written report had been made up to the time of the accident, but no defect was reported to your Commission or the railway company. On the evening of February 3, a train of the "Big Four" (which company uses this bridge), composed of coal and grain cars, and pulled by an engine of the consolidated type, pulled out from the junction, and receiving ad-

vance signal moved onto the bridge. The engine had reached the first pier when the shore span fell from under the tender, pulling the engine back and precipitating it to the river bottom. The head brakeman and fireman were caught between tender and boiler and instantly killed. The engineer was held by the legs with his head above water, and although great effort was made to release him, he died in that position. The shore end of the span remained on the abutment. The engine standing with her rear drivers on the bottom of the river and the front trucks resting against the pier near the coping, thus standing nearly vertical with the tender at right angles to axis of engine. As the lower chord at point of fracture was buried under the wreck it was impossible to determine the cause of failure. The fractures presented to inspection showed clean and new. The main structure is made up of four fixed spans of one hundred and fifty feet each, one span of one hundred and twenty-five feet and a draw of three hundred feet. The fixed spans are of the type known as "Post's Patent Diagonal Truss", a combination of wood, iron and steel. The span which failed was the shortest. It was reconstructed in January, 1881, by Rust and Coolidge, bridge builders of Chicago, to a calculated moving load of three thousand pounds per lineal foot. Compression members (top chords and struts) and track stringers are of white pine; tensile members (bottom chords, suspension bars and counter bars), lateral rods, floor beams and hangers of iron; pins of steel, and top chord housed with corrugated iron. Mr. Hansel reported that the timber showed sound, but the iron and steel presented a low grade of metal and recommended that the different members be subjected to test by machine and analysis to determine upon the condition of the remaining structure. Samples were sent to the Pittsburgh Testing Laboratory and showed as follows: Carbon, 0.270%; manganese, 0.530%; phosphorus, 0.165%; sulphur, 0.128%, and silicon, 0.055%. Phosphorus makes steel brittle and hard, and the same is true to a less degree with silicon. Modern specifications for steel to be used in such work would be phosphorus, .07 to .08%; sulphur, .03 to .04%, and silicon, less than .02%. By comparison with the results obtained it will be seen that the ratio was greater in the specimen tested. In the testing machine the steel fell below the general requirements in elongation, reduction of area and character of fracture, showing a remarkably poor piece of steel. The iron was defective in tensile strength and in bending cold. Iron subject to tensile strain should be tough, ductile, of uniform texture and capable of bearing or sustaining not less than fifty thousand pounds per square inch of sectional area. When tested in large and long lengths it should have an elastic limit of not less than twenty-six thousand pounds per square inch. The reduction of breaking area should average .25% of the original area, and the elongation of the bar before rupture should be at least .15%; and when cold, a round bar one and one-half inches in diameter

must bend through one hundred and eighty degrees without fracture. The specimens fell far below the standard, and a copy of Mr. Hansel's report was furnished the management of the P. & P. U. Co. with instructions to make such renewals as Mr. Hansel deemed necessary, and that in operating, no greater moving load will be allowed than will strain to exceed two thousand pounds per foot on any span; and that all trains must be held to a speed not to exceed six miles per hour. General Superintendent M. S. Connors, advises by letter that a bridge is being built at King Bridge Company's works, and that it is hoped to have it in place soon.

ST. LOUIS, ALTON AND SPRINGFIELD.

This line was formerly operated by the Wabash, and extended from Bates to Grafton. After the sale to the St. Louis, Alton and Springfield Company, a branch line was built from Newbern to Alton, thirteen and four-tenths miles. Between Newbern and Elsah the line was temporarily built over the hill, necessitating heavy grades, curvature and extensive bridging. The completed line contemplated a tunnel, which was commenced and abandoned after considerable work had been done. The building of this line required many high and extensive bridges, and at the time the road was taken from the Wabash management the physical condition was poor, and little had been done to renew the structures and roadbed. Complaint was made that some of the bridges were dangerous for traffic. Mr. Chas. Hansel was ordered to make a thorough examination of the entire line, and make special report on each bridge. This examination was made by him. The general officers accompanied him, and a stop was made at each bridge, where the timber was carefully examined by boring and prodding. Mr. Hansel's report, which is on file in this office, describes in detail each bridge, and the kind and number of members necessary to renew in order that the bridges might be safe for traffic. We find from his report that there are one hundred and nine bridges on this line, having a total length of fifteen thousand one hundred and sixty-two feet. The repairs indicated as necessary on bridges between Newbern and Elsah would involve a considerable expense, and the company was given the choice of making such repairs or abandoning the track. They chose to abandon the track, which was taken up between Jan. 1, 1890, and Sept. 16, 1890. This removal abandoned four thousand two hundred and eighty-one lineal feet of dangerous bridging, and is generally satisfactory. In order to connect with Elsah and Grafton, it was necessary to build from Piasa, on the Alton branch, up the river to Elsah, distant five miles. This line is now completed. The Alton branch is laid with fifty-six pound steel, the original line being iron of same weight. The road needs sixty thousand cross ties and general repairs. The report made by Mr. Hansel was sent the management, with instructions to comply with recommendations contained therein. The road is now in the hands of a receiver, who

is authorized to issue \$300,000 in receiver's certificates. This money is intended for the rebuilding of the road and relaying fifty-one miles with sixty pound steel. Considerable improvement has been made in this line, yet much remains to be done.

ST. LOUIS, ALTON AND TERRE HAUTE.

This line extends from East St. Louis to Eldorado, with branch from Pinckneyville to Pellonia, opposite Paducah, and from Belleville to East Carondelet. The line is laid with sixty pound Edgar Thompson steel. There are no bridges of importance. The maximum grade is seventy-eight feet per mile. Considerable improvement has been made on this line during the past season.

TOLEDO, PEORIA & WESTERN.

This line extends from Hamilton and Warsaw to State Line, with branch from LaHarpe to Iowa Junction. When this road was taken from the Wabash system the physical condition was poor. Soon after the new company commenced operating the road the Chatsworth wreck occurred. This was a severe blow to the new company. A meeting of the directors was held at once, when it was determined to advance funds to meet the payment of claims amounting to over \$300,000. As there were no funds in the treasury, this advance was made by the directors, which was highly creditable to them. Since that time the road has been making steady improvements, filling in trestles and renewing bridges. The combination draw bridge crossing the Illinois river at Peoria was replaced this season by a new bridge of iron and steel. This bridge consists of two through fixed spans, one hundred and forty eight feet and eight and one-half inches from center to center of end pins, and one draw two hundred and eighty five feet and six inches from center to center of end pins. The old piers were fitted with new seats, and the entire structure is now first-class. This bridge cost \$48,605.30, and was opened for traffic November 9, 1890. A new bridge for Spoon River is now building, which will cost \$9,000. These two streams are the only important ones crossed by this line. These improvements were carried on, notwithstanding the books of the company showed a net deficit of \$16,883.99 for year ending June 30, 1889.

VANDALIA LINE.

This road extends from East St. Louis to Terre Haute; line in Illinois, one hundred and fifty-eight and three-tenths miles. The track is ballasted throughout, forty-two miles of rock ballast on west end, the rest gravel. From East St. Louis east twenty-two miles the rail is seventy-pound steel. All main track turnouts have point switches and spring frogs, and the entire line is full bolted and spiked. All necessary highway signs, whistling posts, mile posts,

cattle guards and cross and line fences are in place. Cross fences are whitewashed and switch stands clean and well kept. Fifteen hundred feet of wooden bridges have been replaced by earth with stone culverts.

The business is nearly all through. The heavy power and long trains necessitate close attention to maintenance of way, and the fact that no person was injured by derailment or collision during the year ending June 30, 1889, is evidence of careful and intelligent supervision. The road is in every way first-class.

WABASH.

That portion of this road which extends from Effingham to Bement, and from Bement to Springfield was inspected. The track from Decatur to Bement is an example of the most finished work on this road. All the traffic to Toledo and Chicago from St. Louis, Keokuk, Quincy, Hannibal and intermediate stations passes over this twenty miles of track. It is well tied and ballasted and laid with heavy steel. All traffic is operated under the absolute station block system. All switches and frogs are of the most approved pattern, and the track is first-class throughout. The line from Bement to Effingham, sixty-two miles, has been greatly improved and is sufficient for the traffic. The line from Decatur to Springfield is an example of track which, while not equal to the best portions of the road, is much better than the branch lines, and bids fair to equal the best.

WABASH, CHESTER AND WESTERN.

This road extends from Tamaroa on the Illinois Central, to Chester, 40.7 miles. The track is laid with sixty pound steel. ties are good, and although there is no ballast the surface and line are good. There are no bridges of importance, and the accommodations seem to be sufficient for the business offered.

IMPROVEMENTS.

During the past year many notable buildings and bridges have been constructed for the convenience of traffic and the safety and comfort of passengers. The finest station building in the west has been finished this year, namely, the Grand Central Station in Chicago, on the corner of Harrison street and Fifth avenue. This station is intended for the use of the Chicago and Northern Pacific Railroad, the Wisconsin Central lines, and the Chicago, St. Paul and Kansas City Railroad. The building has a frontage of two hundred and twenty-six feet on Harrison street, and eight hundred and thirty-seven on Fifth avenue. A portion of the building is seven, and the remainder four stories in height, with a tower two hundred and twelve and one-half feet high above the sidewalk. Facing upon Harrison

street is a very large carriage court one hundred and seventeen feet deep and one hundred and forty-nine feet wide. The train shed is five hundred and sixty-two feet from out to out, having a clear width of one hundred and nineteen feet. The depot tracks and station yard switches are controlled by a twenty-four lever electro-pneumatic signaling and switch machine. No expense has been spared to make this the finest station in Chicago, complete in all its appointments and grand in its design.

The St. Louis Merchants' bridge, which was opened May 3, 1890, is worthy of mention, it being the second bridge connecting Illinois with Missouri at St. Louis. This bridge was designed by Messrs. Morrison & Corthell, and built under the supervision of E. L. Corthell, of Chicago. The east approach to the bridge is made on wooden trestle bents. The Chicago and Alton, "Bee Line," and Wabash Railroads are spanned by a truss one hundred and seventy-five feet in length with a forty foot girder.

The style of the three spans of the main bridge is a double intersection pin connected truss, with horizontal bottom chord and a curved top chord. The entire structure is of steel, except pedestals and ornamental parts, which are of cast iron, and nuts, swivels and clevises, which are of wrought iron. The entire bridge and approaches is built for double track. The cost of this bridge is about \$4,000,000, the company having an authorized capital stock of \$2,000,000, and an authorized issue of first mortgage bonds of \$2,000,000. Owing to the delay in completing terminals in St. Louis, the bridge is not open for regular traffic. The terminals on Illinois' shore are being completed, and it is expected that arrangements will soon be completed to accept all business offered.

CLASSIFICATION OF ROADS.

We have recently completed a re-classification of the railroads in Illinois. For a time we had in contemplation the making of three classes of roads, A, B and C.; but upon an examination of the tabulated statistics for the year ending June 30, 1890, we concluded that no reason existed for making a third class which had not existed at the time the former classifications were made; and on full reflection, we finally determined to adhere to the division of roads into two classes. Some of the roads which were formerly classed as "B" roads have been in the new classification, put into the "A" class. The number of such roads is not great and the classification as it now stands leaves the roads in the State about equally divided in number between classes "A" and "B." This classification of roads is not in many cases very important. There are few class "B" roads which do not at some points on the line come into competition with roads of class "A," and wherever they do so, they are, of course, under the necessity induced by competition, of making their rates conform to the lower rates of the class

"A" roads; and since the law requires the roads to base their rates upon distances, the competing rates fixed at these points must of course influence the rates at intermediate points so that frequently in the case of a "B" road which penetrates territory which is also penetrated by one or more "A" roads, the rates are by the necessities of the situation almost equalized. However, there are some weaker roads, which have a hard struggle for existence, and which are enabled by being classed "B" to get a slightly increased revenue. The difference, however, in our schedule of rates for "A" and "B" roads does not exceed five per cent. and that only upon certain articles and classes. This is a much less difference, we note, than the difference made in the tariffs of other states between their different classes of roads.

It sometimes happens that the same company operates several different lines of road, some of which lines yield much greater revenues than other lines operated by the same company, but in nearly all such cases the company makes one report for all its lines so that the Commission have no basis upon which it could make any difference in the classification of the different lines of the same company. We see nothing in the law which would prevent us from doing this provided the accounts of the companies were kept in such a way as to furnish us a basis for separate line classification. This is a measure which we have not so fully considered as to enable us to express a definite opinion as to its utility, though we have the matter under consideration and may act upon it soon.

IMPROVED COUPLINGS, BRAKES AND OTHER RAILWAY APPLIANCES.

We stated in our report of last year that we were engaged in making certain investigations covering the subject of improved safety appliances for railroads so far as the same relate to the safety of the traveling public, and of the lives and limbs of railway employés. This investigation we have continued during the past year, and the more the subject has been examined the greater has its importance seemed to the Commissioners, and the more difficult has it seemed to treat the subject in a fitting manner in a report of this kind. The only object, perhaps, of our discussing the subject at all is to throw such light upon it by giving information to your Excellency, and through you to the General Assembly of the State, as may lead to wise legislation in the direction of requiring the use of better equipments to the end that human life may be preserved. When it is considered that in round numbers two thousand railway employés were killed and over twenty thousand were injured in the United States during the year ending June 30, 1889, the dangerous nature of railway employment becomes apparent, the same being one death for every three hundred and fifty-seven employed in operation and one injured for every thirty-five men so employed. These figures embrace the entire country. We speak

particularly of employ  s of railroads for the reason that while safety appliances are calculated in a considerable degree to increase the safety of passengers they have a more direct reference to the safety of those who operate trains.

We know it will be said, as it has often been said, that the running of railroad trains is a hazardous calling, known to be such by all men, and that when a man enters upon such a hazardous calling he does so with his eyes open, and takes the risk of maiming and killing, which is well known to be incident to the employment. It is also said that the scale of wages is adapted to the hazard of the business and that men elect to take the chances for the increased pay when they enter upon the business of railroading.

This may be all true enough, looking at the matter from a cold legal standpoint, but it ought not to still the voice of the public conscience nor answer the demands of humanity. Those who enter upon these dangerous callings are almost always young men, many of them boys, to whom the prospect of a dangerous occupation has few terrors but rather tends to stimulate their natural confidence and buoyancy of spirit. The solicitude of the law for the protection of such should rather be increased than diminished because they are brave of heart in the consciousness of youth and strength. Whatever can be legally done, without oppressing or crippling railway companies, for the protection of such should be done in obedience to the dictates of humanity, no less than in furtherance of good railroad practice, which will tend, incidentally, strongly to insure the safety and welfare of the traveling public, whose interest in the matter, though less urgent than that of railroad employ  s, is not by any means to be overlooked.

Those who make the laws are in but very rare cases railway experts. Few of the law-makers have had any personal experience which enables them to know what may be done in a practical way to diminish the terrible fatality of railway service. In no field has the ingenuity of this inventive age been more active than in devising improved railway machinery. So many appliances are offered to the public that it would be confusing to the mind of any but a practical expert to attempt to say what is practicable and what is not with reference to the equipment of railroads. The roads can not, of course, adopt every new untried device which is offered them, but in certain fields practical tests have been made, such as in the matter of couplings, train brakes, heating and lighting of coaches and the like, which put these matters beyond the domain of mere experiment, and show conclusively that better things are within reach.

In view of the character of this subject, we determined that the best method of getting needed information before your Excellency and the General Assembly on which desired action might be based, would be to cause a competent expert to review the whole subject of safety railway appliances. We accordingly, on

the 23d day of July, 1890, directed Mr. Chas. Hansel, our consulting engineer, who is one eminently qualified by experience and study to treat this theme, to prepare for us a comprehensive report upon this most important subject. The report of Mr. Hansel, prepared in pursuance of such request, we herewith transmit to your Excellency, and make the same a part of this report. It will be found printed in full in this cover, and speaks so well for itself, that we need not occupy space in any exposition of its contents, but would rather refer to it as a whole as containing matter which will prove of great interest to those who recommend and pass laws for the public good.

Before leaving this subject we, however, feel constrained to here emphasize the great necessity of hastening as much as possible the universal introduction of two appliances which have particular relation to the safety of trainmen, and the practicability of which has been fully demonstrated, namely: Continuous train brakes, and automatic couplers for freight trains and cars. Of the two thousand railway employes killed in the United States in the past year, three hundred were killed in the coupling and uncoupling of cars, and four hundred and ninety-three were killed by falling from trains and engines, and of the injured, six thousand seven hundred and fifty-seven were injured in the coupling and uncoupling of cars, and two thousand and eleven in falling from trains and engines. Thus we have in the United States in one year seven thousand and fifty-seven persons killed and injured in the act of coupling and uncoupling cars alone, and two thousand five hundred and four killed and injured in falling from trains. Those thus killed and injured in falling from trains, no doubt, in most cases came to their injuries while engaged in setting brakes upon the tops of freight trains, a most hazardous business, the necessity of which would be entirely dispensed with if train power brakes were used on freights which could be operated from the locomotive instead of hand brakes which must be set separately by men running from car to car.

Experience has fully demonstrated the efficiency of automatic couplers and of train brakes in actual practice upon passenger trains, which latter trains are now nearly all equipped with the improved couplers and brakes. No reason is perceived why freight trains could not be equipped in the same way. The first expense would no doubt be considerable, but we firmly believe when all phases of the question are considered, that in the end it would be economy for the companies to equip their freight trains as to couplers and brakes in the same manner that all first-class companies long since equipped their passenger trains. The transition period between the two systems will necessarily be fraught with much danger and should be made as short as possible when once it is determined to enforce this large and salutary reform.

It is not our purpose to recommend particular patents or appliances, but rather to endeavor to give information through

the medium of this report to the legal functionaries of the State. Hence with the observations above made, and, referring again to the able paper of Mr. Hansel, we submit this subject for your candid consideration.

CROSSINGS AND CROSSING EQUIPMENTS.

In our report for the year 1889, we briefly called attention to the subject of interlocking and signaling devices, and spoke with approval of their introduction at the various crossings in this State. We then said, "Inasmuch as such interlocking systems can at present be introduced only by the mutual agreement of the companies controlling the crossing tracks, and the difficulty of arriving at such agreement often prevents the introduction of interlocking machines where the public good really requires them, we think it advisable that a law be enacted under which some proper tribunal would be designated to hear the cases where there is disagreement between the crossing roads, and with power to make such order covering the case, as may be found under all circumstances to be for the public good."

Our observation and experience since that report was submitted have fully confirmed our expressed conviction that additional legislation is necessary to compel the proper interlocking of crossings. The number of grade crossings in this State is very large. There are two hundred and thirty-one stations where roads cross, not including crossings in the cities of Chicago, East St. Louis and other railroad centers where tracks are concentrated. We have no reliable data for fixing with certainty the number of crossings in the large cities, but consider that a conservative estimate would place the total number of grade crossings in the State at not less than seven hundred. Of this large number only thirty-four have been equipped with interlocking and signaling devices. Those crossings that have been so equipped and are now operated under permits issued by the Commission are shown, together with the style of each machine, by the following table:

CROSSINGS EQUIPPED WITH INTERLOCKING AND SIGNALING DEVICES.

File number.	LOCATION.	CROSSING OF	No. of levers or wheels.	Date of issuing of permit.	By Whom Erected.	Style of Machine.
2	Hegewisch.....	Michigan Central with South Chicago & Southern.....	4	Sept. 19, 1889	Union S. & S. Co.	Wheel.....
3	Bloom.....	Chicago & Eastern Illinois with Michigan Central.....	4	April 5, 1889	"	"
4	Turner Jc.....	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern with Chicago & Northwestern.....	8	Feb. 27, 1889	"	"
6	Joliet.....	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern with Michigan Central.....	10	May 26, 1889	"	"
7	Perru.....	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific with Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	4	April 5, 1889	"	"
8	Bloom.....	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern with Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	4	"	"	"
9	Washington H.....	Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh with Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	10	"	"	"
10	Rockford.....	Chicago, Madison & Northern with Chicago, Rock Island & Iowa.....	12	Feb. 27, 1889	"	Saxby & Farmer
11	Mazon Bridge.....	Chicago & Alton with Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	18	"	"	"
12	Matteson.....	Illinois Central with Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	13	July 30, 1889	"	"
13	Coal City.....	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern with Chicago & Alton and Chicago, Santa Fe & California.....	9	Jan. 17, 1890	Nat. S. & Sig. Co.	Randolph.....
14	Kensington.....	Illinois Central with Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	7	Dec. 5, 1889	"	"
15	Ash St. (Chic.).....	Chicago, Madison & Northern with Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe and Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburg with Union Stock Yard Tracks.....	54	"	Union S. & S. Co.	Saxby & Farmer
16	Barrington.....	Waukegan & Northwestern with Chicago & Northwestern.....	13	Jan. 3, 1890	"	"
17	Spaulding.....	Waukegan & Northwestern with Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	8	"	"	"
18	Leithton.....	Waukegan & Northwestern with Wisconsin Central.....	6	"	"	"
19	Clybourn Jc.....	Chicago & Northwestern with Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	32	"	"	"
20	Grayland.....	Chicago & Northwestern with Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	10	"	"	"
21	Rondout.....	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern with Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	7	"	"	"
22	Blue Island.....	Chicago & Calumet Terminal with Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	15	Mar. 29, 1890	"	Horizontal L.....
23	Montrose.....	Chicago & Northwestern Terminal with Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	13	Feb. 12, 1890	"	Saxby & Farmer
24	Chicago, 49th st.....	Chicago & Calumet Terminal with Wabash Railroad.....	6	Mar. 29, 1890	"	"
25	Chicago, 79th st.....	Chicago & Western Indiana Double Track Junction.....	33	"	"	"
26	Colon.....	Chicago & Rock Island & Pacific with Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	6	"	"	"
27	Dwight.....	Chicago & Alton with Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	6	April 17, 1890	"	Horizontal L.....
28	Summit.....	Chicago & Calumet Terminal with Chicago & Alton.....	6	"	"	Saxby & Farmer
29	Bridgeport.....	Chicago, Madison & Northern, Chicago & Alton, and Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	36	"	"	"
30	Gardner.....	Chicago & Alton and Kankakee & Seneca.....	6	"	"	"
31	Gal. a. Jacksonvill. Jc.....	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy with Rock Island & Peoria.....	16	May 24, 1890	"	Horizontal L.....
32	Washington H.....	Chicago & Alton with Jacksonville Southern.....	7	Nov. 12, 1890	"	Horizontal L.....
33	Matteson.....	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific with Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	25	Sept. 29, 1890	"	Saxby & Farmer
34	Tower Hill.....	Illinois Central with Michigan Central.....	11	"	Johnson Sig. Co.	Johnson.....
		Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis with Ohio & Mississippi.....	12	Dec. 2, 1890	Union S. & S. Co.	Saxby & Farmer

Total number machines, 34. Total number levers or wheels, 452. Permits were never granted for Nos. 1 and 5, devices not put in. Nos. 19 and 24 include two crossings in each case.

This leaves the approximate number of six hundred and sixty-six crossings unequipped with any devices for the protection of life and property at said crossings: and we have reason to believe that the employes of roads do frequently, either through negligence or purposely, disregard the statute which requires that all trains shall come to a full stop before passing over the crossing of another road, unless authorized to do so by a permit of the Commission, which can only be given when the crossing is properly interlocked.

THE JACKSONVILLE DISASTER.

The recent appalling disaster at the crossing of the Chicago and Alton and Wabash roads, at Jacksonville, Illinois, though happening after the date of this report, is thought of so much importance that it should be mentioned here and considered in connection with the topic of needed legislation. We have been at some pains to collect the facts surrounding this accident, so far as the same might guide us in our duties with reference to matters of this kind. Our function in the premises can, of course, be no more than the recommendation of such measures for the consideration of your Excellency and the General Assembly as may hereafter tend to prevent what, in this case, seems a needless loss of human life.

The facts of the Jacksonville disaster are in brief these: On the night of Dec. 5, 1890, the Kansas City limited express on the Chicago and Alton road had made its regular stop at the Jacksonville station, and was standing with the chair car of the train immediately across the track of the Wabash road. The train was about thirty minutes late. Had it been on time it would have been out of the way, and the crossing would have been clear. It is the custom, we believe, of the Alton road to stop its west bound trains across the Wabash track at this place, the depot being so located as to render that necessary. While this is in itself bad practice, we realize that in the case of joint depots at the junction of roads it is not uncommon for the location to be such that trains stop across the tracks of other crossing roads; and, so long as the employes of both companies obey the law, there is no need of any resulting accident. The law expressly directs each engineer in charge of a train to come to a full stop within eight hundred feet of any railroad crossing, and ascertain that the track is clear before proceeding with his train. Had this injunction of the statute been obeyed by the Wabash engineer, he would not have proceeded until the crossing was cleared by the express train of the Chicago and Alton. It, however, appears clearly from the evidence that the Wabash engineer made little or no effort to comply with the law, notwithstanding his testimony to the contrary. The engineer of the Alton train heard the train approaching upon the Wabash road, which train was loaded with coal, and realizing from the rate of speed the train was making

that it was probably not under the control of the engineer, attempted to pull his train off the crossing, but succeeded only in pulling up one car length, bringing the middle of the sleeping car "Matterhorn" immediately across the Wabash track, at which juncture the Wabash locomotive crashed through the sleeper, instantly killing two men and injuring others, one so severely that he soon afterwards died. The clean manner in which the locomotive cut through the sleeping car makes it very evident that the Wabash train must have been proceeding at a high rate of speed; and such a rate of speed at this point of crossing cannot be at all reconciled with the Wabash engineer's statement that he shut the steam off of his locomotive one and a half miles before reaching the Alton crossing. There is between the point designated by him as the one at which he shut off steam and the Alton crossing a sharp up-grade near three-quarters of a mile in length. It is scarcely conceivable that the train, although a heavy one, would by its own momentum have climbed this grade and struck the Alton train with such velocity had the steam been shut off at the point stated, even though no brakes had been set; and when the testimony of the engineer, that he called three times for brakes, and that of the Wabash brakemen, that they answered said call by setting brakes as fast as they could, are remembered and considered in connection with the great force of the blow upon the Alton train, the incredible character of the testimony reaches the limits of the impossible. The case looks much to us as though the Wabash engineer was either wholly inattentive, or else intended to run the crossing without stopping, relying upon the fact that the Alton had no train due to be at the Jacksonville crossing at that time, and that the hour was late enough so the infraction of law was not likely to be observed and reported.

We have on file all the evidence taken in regard to said disaster, and we have obtained a profile of the Wabash road showing grades as they affect the question of stopping this train. Had the engineer given the signals as he states, and the brakemen obeyed them as they state, they would, we think, have set every brake on the train before it arrived at the crossing; and besides, unless the train was going at an almost incredible rate of speed, it would have required few brakes to stop it; provided the engineer ceased to work steam as he states.

We have thus commented upon this accident in this connection because it peculiarly points the necessity, that crossings such as that at Jacksonville, (and there are hundreds of them in the State) should in the interest of safety and humanity, be equipped with interlocking devices, which is the point of our present discussion. The law as it stands confers no adequate power upon this Commission to compel the interlocking of crossings. A majority of the Commission are of the opinion that it does not even authorize them to compel the putting in of interlocking plants at new crossings, and an opinion of the majority of the

the Commission to that effect will be found in the appendix, and is here referred to as a general expose of the views of the Commissioners as to their powers under the crossing act.

We therefore urgently present to your Excellency the necessity of recommending the passage of a law by the General Assembly requiring that all crossings in this State be equipped with interlocking devices such as may be approved by the Commission. These interlocking devices are of different cost, the cheapest kind that is effective for a plain crossing costs about \$2,500. The original cost, however, is of less consequence than the annual cost of operating and maintaining such devices, there being required the services of two men to relieve each other so that one man can be always in the tower to operate the machine. The salary of these men may be estimated at from \$40 to \$45 per month apiece. The cost of maintaining the apparatus would not be great; and it might probably be safely said that an original cost of \$2,500, and a subsequent annual outlay of \$1,200 per year will be required to equip each plain crossing in the State, to be borne by the two companies either equally or in different proportion as may be determined upon the equities of each case. In neighborhoods where more complicated and expensive machinery would be required, the cost would be much greater. Such are those crossings in large cities where there is a complication of tracks. A single interlocking device in such a place may often cost from twenty-five to forty thousand dollars; but generally in such cases the cost is paid by a number of roads, and is therefore not as heavy upon each road as a statement of the same would seem at first to indicate. It is probable, too, that companies equipping all their crossings at one time, could get considerably better terms than are above stated, because they would be in that case buying a large number of machines at one purchase.

Inasmuch as there are some crossings of small roads which run but few trains, and which, therefore, do not present the same dangers which are presented by those roads which run numerous trains, a law may be thought sufficient which would vest in the Commission a discretion over the question as to what crossings should be interlocked. There may be cases, too, where it would be inequitable to require the expense of the interlocking to be borne equally between the companies. One company may run many trains and carry an immense traffic in passengers and freights, while another company which crosses this line may run not more than two or three trains per day. There are such cases that we know of, and it may be doubted whether in such a case it would be fair to require the weaker company to pay as much of the cost as the stronger one. Should this view of the case be taken by your Excellency, or by the General Assembly, the necessity will be apparent of fixing some tribunal, (which would probably be the Railway Commission) to fix in each individual case the amount to be paid by each of said companies toward equipping the crossing with in-

terlocking. That additional legislation should be had upon this question, does not, in the mind of the present Commissioners, admit of any doubt.

Had the crossing at Jacksonville been equipped with an interlocking plant which would have ditched the Wabash train at the derail point, it is highly improbable that the engineer of that train would have come up to the crossing with any such reckless rate of speed as that at which he was running. If the safety of others was not a consideration that would deter him, the safety of his own neck would have been quite certain to have influenced him differently, and he would have, almost certainly, approached this crossing "under control." It may be that the customary distance of three hundred feet for the derailing point would not have been sufficient to stop the Wabash train at the great rate of speed at which it was evidently proceeding; but the engineer never would have approached the crossing at any such rate of speed had he known that a derailing switch was open to throw his train from the track. We earnestly commend this subject to your attention.

REFRIGERATOR CARS.

A subject which has not received the attention of the General Assembly is that of refrigerator cars. The statute of this State provides that this Commission shall make "a schedule of reasonable maximum rates of charges for the transportation of passengers and freights," etc. It frequently happens that shippers desire to have carried articles which are perishable, and which, particularly in the summer time, require to be carried in cars of a particular construction which will guard against the heat. These cars are generally furnished by a company different from the carrying company, and this company charges a sum for the use of its facilities over and above the freights allowed by the schedule of the Commission for the simple "transportation" of the freight. It is claimed that an extra service is performed with reference to this freight over and above what is taken into consideration in fixing the schedule of maximum rates. Just how far the Commission have, under the statute, power over the question of what are reasonable charges for this extra service rendered by those who furnish refrigerator cars, or cars of some peculiar construction required for the transportation of perishable freights, may be regarded as a question of some difficulty. It would seem it might, without straining the law, be held that as the general progress of carrying appliances brings into use new and improved methods of carrying for freights in transit, that a new and increased duty attaches to the carriers to provide themselves with such improved facilities, where the same are not so expensive as to render the requirement unreasonable. It would not seem just that railroad companies and transportation companies, alone should reap the pecuniary benefits arising from the general progress of discovery. The community, as a

whole, surely has some right in those improvements which in this age are constantly leading to higher and better forms of commercial activity. We are not at this time prepared to make a recommendation upon this subject, but it is one which we think may well be mentioned here for the serious consideration of your Excellency and of the General Assembly.

A COMMON SEAL NEEDED.

We think the law should be so amended as to provide that this Commission may keep and use a common seal for the authentication of its proceedings, and that provisions should be made for the authentication of its reports and papers by copies certified to by the Secretary of the Commission under the seal of the Commission, substantially in the manner that is now provided in the case of records of courts. It sometimes occurs that it is important to prove the action of the Railroad Commission in court. Outside of the provision of the statute as to the admission in evidence of the schedules of said Commission, there is no way which our proceedings could be proven, except by carrying the record books of the Commission into court and taking the Secretary along as a witness to their correctness. All this we think could be obviated by providing that the Commission may keep a seal and may authenticate its proceedings by the use thereof. We recommend that a law be passed to the effect suggested.

LIVE STOCK RATES.

We have the honor to report to your Excellency that we have adopted and published, as provided by law, a new schedule of maximum rates to be charged for the transportation of live stock by the roads of this State. This new schedule is to go into effect January 1, 1891, and the same is based upon weight, the freights under it to be computed at so many cents per hundred pounds of live stock actually transported.

In submitting this new tariff of maximum rates of freight upon live stock, based upon weight as distinguished from the car-load basis, the Commission deem it proper to recount the history of the investigation and discussion which has been had touching the relative merits of these two systems.

While hundred-weight rates upon live stock have never been formally adopted by the Commission, freights have in fact been computed by the roads upon the hundred-weight basis since January 1, 1889. When the present members of the Commission came into office they found the freights upon live stock then being computed upon the hundred-weight basis, as it had been some time before their accession to office.

There had previously been extensive preparation for the change made by the roads of the State in the way of experimental weighing, and in procuring statistics upon which it was pro-

posed by the roads to inaugurate the weighing system. Many of the shippers of the State had, however, filed a formal protest, or complaint before the Commission objecting to the proposed change. This protest or complaint, it appears from the record, after some preliminary meetings, came up for final hearing before the Commission at Springfield, on June 30, 1887.

The record kept by the then Secretary of the Commission, which is the source of our information upon this subject, recites that the leading railroads of the State were, at this meeting, represented by their officials, in most cases by their general managers, and that the shippers were represented, as the record further recites, by "one hundred delegates."

The record further recites that after the meeting had been called to order and the object thereof stated, a request was made on behalf of the shippers that time be granted in which to hold a preliminary meeting with the railroad officials before proceeding with the hearing; that such time was granted and the Commission adjourned until the afternoon. The record further shows that in the afternoon the parties again all came before the Commission, and it was then stated that the companies and the shippers had come to a satisfactory agreement among themselves; and the shippers thereupon withdrew their protest and asked that the matter be dropped without further present action by the Commission, which was accordingly done.

Such, in brief, is the history of the subject, so far as official action by the Commission is concerned. The present Board found the weighing system in full operation, under some arrangement made with the "one hundred shippers" who attended that meeting at Springfield as delegates, the exact terms of which arrangement were not made a matter of record before the Commission and are in fact unknown to the present Commissioners.

In view of the manner in which the weighing system was thus originally begun, and particularly in view of the fact that, at its inception as an experiment, it had the sanction of a large, if not a representative body of the shippers of the State, the present Commissioners have not felt at liberty to act with haste in the premises, nor to arbitrarily change the practice thus entered upon. We believed it prudent to wait until such time as substantial results and fair conclusions could be arrived at from actual experience with the weighing system. That time has now, we think, arrived; though it cannot be said our data was sufficient on which to have acted intelligently at a much earlier time. Those who have upon them, and duly measure, the responsibility of binding action, can rarely keep pace with the flippant advice of those, who, wholly without responsibility, are ready to direct with great confidence, and without reflection, the gravest affairs of the world from the standpoint of the smallest possible information.

The old Commissioners' schedule of maximum live stock rates, which became practically a dead letter by the tacit consent of our predecessors, was highly unsatisfactory and illogical. Though the amount of freights it took from shippers was not seriously complained of, it had been outgrown and needed revision. Adopted when stock cars were of a uniform length of twenty-eight feet, it took no account of the widely varying sizes of new cars. The managers of roads, in their intense competition for stock shipments, began years ago, to increase the size of their stock cars; and now these cars range all the way from twenty-eight to thirty-six feet in length; there being now, perhaps, more cars of thirty-five feet length than of twenty-eight feet, on which latter the car-lot rates were originally based. Under the old schedule, however, a car was a car, whether long or short. We are aware some roads actually added something to the Commissioners' rates for the longer cars; but this was without any recorded authority from the Commission; and we understand that the only decision ever made by the Commission, though unrecorded, was that the length of the car could not be considered in fixing the freight; that a car was a car, whatever the size.

So, if, on the one hand, the railroad could get no more for a long car, the shipper on the other hand could get no reduction for a short one, provided the Commissioners' tariff was observed. The inequality and injustice of such a tariff is obvious, and no doubt greatly assisted the old schedule to go into that state of "innocuous desuetude" where the present Commissioners found it upon their accession to office.

The objections then, to the old car-lot rates, may be summarized as follows:

1. That under them freights were paid unequally by the shippers.

2. That they created a demand for the longest cars only, shippers being unwilling to take small cars when long ones could be had under the Commissioners' tariff at the same rates.

3. That they held out a constant temptation for shippers to overcrowd cars, the freight depending entirely on the car, and not on the amount carried in it.

Such, briefly, was the situation of matters when the present Board came into office in March, 1889. Complaints had already begun to come in to the effect that the roads were charging more freight under their new system of hundred-weight rates than were charged under the old car-load system. It was further alleged that annoying delays were occasioned to shippers of stock who, it was said, were compelled to wait an unreasonable length of time for the weighing to be done at the scales in Chicago, thereby delaying shippers in getting their stock upon the market, and also further delaying them in getting their settle-

ments with the commission men, who frequently had to wait for the freight bills to be made out, before rendering their accounts and settling with the shippers.

These complaints have been extensively investigated by the present Commissioners in a period extending for several months, and much testimony has been taken from the shippers of the State and from some officials of the companies. Much of this testimony was taken down and is on file, and much of it was not preserved in writing. Of course, there has not been full agreement among all the shippers who have testified; but the general expressions of shippers to the Commission have been to the effect that if rates by the hundred-weight were fixed at a point where they would not increase the revenue previously charged by car loads, and if a better system of weighing were adopted more accurate and expeditious than the present, they would not object to hundred-weight rates as such. In other words, the principal sentiment among shippers, as recorded in their testimony before us, seems to be that a system that bases the freight upon the amount in weight actually transported, is in itself fair. In this view the Commission entirely concur.

We have been convinced, however, by the testimony taken, that the rates upon live stock were slightly raised to the domestic shippers by the several roads of the State when the hundred-weight system was put in operation. We are further of the opinion that such live stock rates were amply high under the old system; and we have endeavored, in the distance tariff now published, to reduce the rates to what we think fair, taking into consideration the low price at which live stock is selling, and all other facts which should legitimately enter into the problem. The rates now fixed will certainly prove to be no higher on the average than the old car-load rates in force before the change was made, and with which shippers have almost universally expressed themselves as satisfied.

It is, of course, not possible to adopt a tariff upon the basis of weight which will, upon each individual car, yield the exact freight revenue that would be realized from the former car-load rates. Upon an exceptionally heavy load of stock the shipper will undoubtedly pay more freight than he would if his freight were computed simply upon the car; but upon the other hand, when he ships a lighter load he will pay less than he would have paid; and the freight will, in every case, be according to the service. The Commission have endeavored, upon a very full study of the question, and particularly of the statistics they have obtained, to hit upon what will prove a fair rate, and express the hope that the same may prove satisfactory alike to shippers and to the companies.

With reference to the complaints as to the inaccuracy of weighing and delays in getting stock upon the market and

making settlements, occasioned by the new system, the Commission is satisfied, from the evidence before them, that accurate weights cannot be obtained upon the track scales now largely in use; and, while the hundreds of freight bills that have been exhibited to the Commission appear to show that on the average the weights on actual shipments have been quite low enough, as ascertained by comparing the freight weights with the yard weights, and making necessary deductions for filling, yet it is desirable that a system of weighing should be used in which shippers can have perfect confidence, and which can be relied upon to wrong neither party to the transaction. If a shipper happens to get weights at one time several hundreds or even thousands of pounds too small, that fact is itself proof of looseness and inaccuracy, and that at another time the error may be the other way. Hence, the desirability of adopting an accurate system of weighing; one which, while ascertaining the weight accurately, will, at the same time, avoid the delays complained of, which the Commission are satisfied at the present time, in the case of most roads, are quite vexatious to shippers and not at all necessary under a proper plan of weighing.

We are clearly of opinion that the best way to get the weight of the live stock shipped, if the method were practicable, would be to weigh at the point of shipment, before loading the stock in the cars. The billing could then be made upon actual weight instead of arbitrarily putting in the bill a nominal weight which must be corrected at the destination upon the true weight being ascertained. This method would necessitate the putting in of scales at so many points, and at so great expense, that it was thought it would work an undue hardship to the roads; although if at all feasible, we are convinced it would be the proper plan. It would also fail in practice at many points where stock is shipped in small quantities, but at which no agent is kept, there being no need of an agent for other purposes. To compel the roads at such points to put in cattle scales, and also to keep there agents, whose sole business would be to weigh and bill a few cars of hogs and cattle each year, would amount to compelling the roads to lose money on the business of such points. This would not be just; and, being unjust, it would not be lawful. Besides, reasonable freight rates cannot in the end be gotten by means that would oppress or cripple railroads. A man cannot get his wagon out of the mud by cutting the hamstring of his horse, even though the horse be a balky one.

A plan of weighing which we deem both just and practicable is for the several roads to put in at the stock yards a series of scales upon a platform of the height of a car floor, alongside of which platform the trains can be drawn up to discharge the stock. There should be a scale for each car, so arranged that the gates of the pens enclosing the scales' opening, will form chutes, through which the stock from each car will pass on to

the corresponding scale. Then, upon the opposite side of the scale from the car should be another gate, through which each car of stock when weighed could pass off into a pen, and thence into the yards as desired. Care should be taken to arrange the scale gates so that stock would not need to be headed about and driven back through the same gate at which they pass onto the scale. Fat stock, particularly cattle, and especially in hot weather, are greatly injured by any handling that worries and frets them. Hence, facilities should be used which will obtain the weight quickly, and then allow the stock to pass on in the same direction to the pen.

There is no reason why scales of the kind described would not weigh accurately. The results would be greatly better than can be gotten by the present method of catching the weight as the cars pass over track scales, in which process unequal heights of couplers often throw part of the weight of one car upon the wheels of its supporting neighbor, to say nothing of the disturbing influences of wind and weather. Then, too, with chute scales of the kind described there would be no waiting for the stock cars to be again passed over the scales to get the weights of empty cars for deduction, before the freight bills could be made out.

All things considered, scales of the kind described, we think, should be put in and used by the several roads. We think the roads themselves would profit by the use of such scales; for hundreds of freight bills have been exhibited to us which prove, when freight and yard weights are compared, that the weighers, perhaps in their anxiety to satisfy shippers and vindicate the system used, have actually made weights too low.

The Illinois Central and the C. & E. I. companies have in use scales which in most particulars correspond with those here recommended; and, as a result of their use, we have heard few complaints, either of inaccurate weights or of delays arising against these companies.

It is proper to observe before leaving this subject of live stock scales, that the railroad companies have contended with justice that it would be a hardship to require them at large expense to fit up facilities for weighing, such as we have recommended, unless some assurance were given that the weighing system would be continued, provided it proves, in other respects, efficient and satisfactory. The companies generally have expressed a readiness, if the hundred-weight system were adopted and legalized, to put in chute scales and all necessary facilities to make weights accurate and satisfactory. The uncertainty being now removed, it will be in order for these expressions to be put speedily into practice.

In consideration, therefore, of what we deem the inherent fairness of the weighing system, and the further fact that we believe the inconveniences and drawbacks brought to our notice in connection with the system are susceptible of being almost

entirely removed, we have concluded to put in force a schedule of hundred-weight rates upon live stock, which will not, in the matter of freights, in any degree increase the burdens of shippers as they existed before the weighing experiment was commenced. We think our schedule will diminish rather than increase the former car-lot rates; but when we say this we, of course, have in view the average of rates taking the State over. Some roads may give better rates than others, and particular sections of the State, owing to local causes, have perhaps been accustomed to have better rates than others. Our field is the entire State, and our tariff is strictly a distance tariff, such as we think the law requires. We could not undertake to preserve the existing relative conditions in all places. If some who have been particularly favored heretofore do not find themselves benefited by this comprehensive and uniform tariff, they should remember there are many others who were less fortunate who will reap benefit from the new order. Other things being equal, "equality is equity," and this equity we have sought to establish.

We may add that the Inter-State Commerce Commission, after a hearing had at Jefferson City, Mo., upon this subject rendered an opinion approving of the hundred-weight system of computing freights upon live stock. Our action is therefore in harmony with the views of the National Commission on this subject and we have little doubt that the system will ere long become universal. All other freights are now based upon weights and there is surely the same reason for weighing live stock that there is for weighing other freights, provided the annoyances above commented upon are removed, which we think can easily be done.

We, however, have adopted this hundred-weight tariff with the understanding and upon the condition that the railroads of the State will make arrangements within a reasonable time whereby accurate weights may be obtained without unreasonable delays.

Should the roads fail to make such arrangements as will effect the object here stated, then the Commission may feel at liberty to return to a car-load tariff corresponding in amount of revenue yielded to the rates allowed in the tariff we have adopted.

We are not unaware that the system is even yet in an experimental stage, but have a strong belief that, with a tariff such as now proposed, and with such weighing facilities as the principal roads have proposed to adopt, shippers as well as railroad companies will like the system better than the old one. To pay in exact proportion to the extent of the services rendered, is certainly in itself equitable.

Should it be found on further actual practice, that those features of the weighing system which have not given satisfaction to shippers are not remediable, or being so, the roads

fail or refuse to put in force the proper remedy, it will then be in the power of the Commission to adopt a more satisfactory system.

UNIFORM CLASSIFICATION.

In the last annual report the Commission referred to the disadvantages resulting from the use of varying classifications upon freight carried within the limits of our State.

The Official Classification, so called, applies on all freight originating east of Illinois and destined to points within this State; in other words, it governs on all through shipments from the east as far west as the Mississippi river; while the Western Classification which is used by the roads extending westward from Chicago and St. Paul, applies on business from all points in this State to the territory west thereof.

Thus, on all goods manufactured at eastern points and handled by dealers in Chicago or other distributing centers in Illinois, the Western Classification is applied when such articles are re-sold for delivery to points in trans-Mississippi states.

The same is true of commodities manufactured in this State and sold in competition with similar articles produced east of Illinois and forwarded to western destination. In all such instances wherein the Official Classification rates articles lower than does the Western, dealers in this State are at a disadvantage both as compared with competitors in the east and with those located at Mississippi river cities. For example, the Official Classification rates hardware third-class, while in the Western it is classified second. In Chicago and St. Louis respectively, are wholesale houses among the largest in the country engaged in the hardware business. Their supplies are obtained mainly in the east.

Manifestly, then, the Chicago houses are at a disadvantage compared with their competitors in St. Louis because the latter have their goods carried two hundred miles further westward than Chicago houses at third-class rates.

With Chicago houses the lower rating stops at that city, and when they re-ship, it must be at second-class rates; hence, on shipments to common points west such as Kansas City or Omaha the Chicago dealer, on his sales, is subject to second-class rates for a distance of two hundred miles more than is his competitor at St. Louis or other Mississippi river cities.

Furthermore, the same roads which charge Illinois houses second-class rates on their shipments join with eastern roads in carrying through Chicago, Peoria and other gateways, at third-class rates, as far as the Mississippi river. No sufficient remedy for such discriminations can be found except in the adoption by eastern and western roads of a uniform classification.

The illustration made of hardware may with like force be applied in the case of all articles which by the Official Classification are rated lower than in the Western.

The disabilities herein indicated are greater in the case of citizens of this State than in any other section north of the Ohio river for the reason that in Illinois there is an over-lapping of freight classifications. East of Illinois the Official governs alike on through business and on shipments carried locally in each state; and west of the Mississippi river the Western Classification is applied on through shipments to the Rocky mountains and beyond, whereas in this State three classifications are in use—the Official, Western and the one prescribed by the Commission. Clearly it would simplify matters and facilitate the transaction of business were the rules and classification for the transportation of all freight carried into, within or out of this State to be made uniform.

Entertaining this view the Commission did not hesitate to encourage all efforts put forth by the railroad companies or by other authorities to inaugurate uniformity in the classification of freight.

In furtherance thereof the delegation from this State to the convention of Inter-State and State Railroad Commissioners held in Washington, D. C., last May, introduced a resolution reading as follows:

“Resolved, That the public interests will be best subserved by the adoption of a classification which shall be uniform for our whole country.”

After a discussion which elicited much that was of interest, the proposition above recited was unanimously adopted.

The action thus taken is mentioned with cordial approval in the subsequent annual report of the Inter-State Commerce Commission.

Meantime a committee of traffic officers who, at the time of their appointment, were thought to fairly represent the railroads in the various sections of the country, had been authorized to unify the three leading freight classifications in use, namely: the Official, the Western and the Southern Railway and Steamship the latter being the one used by the carriers operating south of the Ohio river. That committee, at such intervals as their regular duties would permit, worked at the task assigned them for nearly two years. The result was the adoption by unanimous vote in May last of the unified classification the committee had succeeded in evolving.

Several weeks elapsed before corrected copies of the work performed could be laid before the interested roads; and it was not until late in the year that the roads most directly concerned began to vote upon the adoption of the committee's report. Meanwhile the demand for uniformity had grown so rapidly that the adoption of the report by the railroads apparently became a foregone conclusion.

The committee proposed that it be made effective January 1, 1891, but the changes contemplated are so radical, extensive and far-reaching that a later date must be substituted, and it is now believed that it will not be possible to make it generally operative much, if any, before mid-summer 1891.

It should not, however, be expected that with the adoption of the proposed uniform classification every shipper of freight will find himself specially benefited. In uniting three varying classifications, necessarily some advances will be made, because if the committee entrusted with the duty, had, in the new work, given each article the lowest rating shown in either existing classification no discretion or judgment would have been requisite, but simply a tabulation of the lowest designations.

Such a classification would, of course, have been rejected by the railroad companies. Uniformity could not be accepted by them at so great a sacrifice. Concessions had to be made, but those, it was believed, should not proceed solely from the carriers.

Shippers should be expected to contribute somewhat to the procurement of a boon so estimable and convenient as the adoption of a uniform classification of freight throughout the greater portion of the country. This fact, those who find the articles (few in number) in which they are especially interested have been advanced, should bear patiently in mind.

Furthermore it should be remembered that any work of this kind must necessarily be largely tentative. The report of the committee on uniformity in freight classification expressly states that their work is by no means perfect or complete, and they provide a machinery to improve the classification from time to time, and eventually mould it into acceptable shape. Thus, provision is made for a permanent board of traffic officers who shall meet at stated periods to make changes in and additions to the classification.

Representations will doubtless be made to that board by organizations and individuals desiring modifications of the classification, and requests thus presented, it is to be presumed, will be given due consideration, and Mr. J. R. Wheeler, a member of this Commission has been appointed to represent the business interests of Illinois before said board.

A beginning in the direction of uniformity had to be made. It could not be inaugurated by the Inter-State Commerce Commission as the statute creating that body now stands; hence, it had to originate with the railroad companies; and by their representatives it was concluded the movement could best be started in the way it was begun and carried on.

GRAIN INSPECTION AND REGISTRATION.

The largest volume of business ever transacted in a single year by the grain inspection department at Chicago is that shown in the reports of the Chief Inspector and Warehouse Registrar for the year ending October 31, 1890, exceeding, as it does, the hitherto phenomenal year of 1880 by over forty-eight million bushels.

The amount of grain stored in elevators, while it does not bear its usual ratio to the amount received, is still above the average for the past ten years.

The increase of business for the past two years, while it has had the natural effect of increasing the sum total of the department expenses, has reduced the cost of inspection per bushel to a point much below the average, and has made two reductions of the fees for inspection possible, one in 1889 from thirty-five cents to thirty cents per car and a further one recently made to twenty-five cents per car.

The position of the department in its relations to the public is one of peculiar difficulty and responsibility.

It stands as an arbitrator between buyer and seller, between producer and consumer, and practically fixes the value of the immense quantities of grain passing under its supervision. At times it is subjected to violent pressure in one direction from the receivers, and again in the contrary direction from eastern buyers, and to harsh, and often unmerited, criticism from both.

Under such conditions it is worthy of remark when the department is so conducted as to steer clear for any considerable time of Scylla on one side and Charybdis on the other and give satisfaction to both. Any failure to do this, however, is reflected with great accuracy in the office of the Commissioners, to whom any general complaint of deviation from the established standards in either direction is sure to come; and judging from the absence of such complaints, as well as the expressed favorable opinion of prominent grain merchants and others interested, we believe that the work of the department during the past year has been executed with unusual care and discretion, and the rules interpreted with commendable fairness and intelligence.

While no change of rules has been deemed advisable or necessary by us in adjusting differences of opinion between the department and the conflicting interests with which it has to deal, it has been our policy, as it has been the aim of the Chief Inspector, to secure such fair interpretation and impartial application of existing rules as to do exact justice to producer and shipper and at the same time to maintain the enviable reputation borne by the certificates of the department in the markets of this country and Europe. In this we believe we have been fairly successful.

The reputation of Chicago inspection, which has been of slow and steady growth, has practically changed the business meth-

ods of the grain trade wherever American cereals are consumed, and it is not too much to say that the pre-eminence of Chicago as a grain market is due in as great measure to the public confidence in the integrity and accuracy of the work of her inspectors as to her favorable location, her unsurpassed facilities or the push and enterprise of her citizens.

Large quantities of grain are annually diverted from other channels of transportation to those passing through Chicago in order to secure the advantages of "Chicago weights and inspection."

A sufficient evidence of the esteem in which the Chicago department is held by the trade, the confidence with which its decisions are accepted and the demand for its certificates as a basis for values in other markets, is found in the history of the state inspection established some two years ago in Joliet.

Under the same state supervision as Chicago, and governed by precisely the same rules, accurately interpreted and faithfully administered, it has thus far failed to realize the expectations of its promoters in attracting the grain to which they felt their facilities entitled them.

There is little question that much of the grain which now makes its laborious way in immense quantities over the burdened tracks and through the crowded yards of Chicago in order that it may reach its destination with its quality certified by an authority upon which the trade has learned to depend, might be handled more promptly and quite as economically by way of Joliet, if public confidence in the inspection at that point were as thoroughly established as the quality of the work done there would warrant.

There have been requests for the establishment of branches of the Chicago inspection at other points in the State upon direct eastern lines of transportation, the purpose being to secure the benefit of Chicago inspection without diverting the grain from its natural eastward channels.

While the establishment of branches at some of these points would no doubt be a benefit to the public, and certainly so to shippers along the lines of roads in question, there seems to be no warrant in the law for any extension of the Chicago system beyond the limits fixed by the statute, and there is no way in which other points in the State may secure state inspection of grain except through the formation of independent systems.

THE SITUATION AT EAST ST. LOUIS.

There are at East St. Louis a number of capacious elevators through which large quantities of grain annually pass.

No department of our own system of inspection having ever been established there, the work is done by the officials of the State of Missouri, sent over from St. Louis for that purpose.

It is, we think, a subject proper for legislative consideration whether or not the State of Illinois should, by proper enactment, assert its own jurisdiction over an important and extensive business which is thus transacted within the boundaries of the State.

PERIODICAL WEIGHING.

The present warehouse law, while it provides for the inspection of grain as a condition precedent to its storage in any elevator of Class "A", and for a system of reports by which the Warehouse Registrar may know just what receipts are issued and canceled, provides no means of arriving at the quantity of grain received and delivered except through the figures of the elevator weighman, and places within reach of the department no check whatever upon the accuracy of his work.

While we do not regard it in any sense probable, it is certainly possible for a warehouseman, under existing arrangements, by manipulating the figures of the weighman's tickets, to withdraw large quantities of grain without proper cancellation of receipts for the same. The Warehouse Registrar in his report recommends that such amendment be made to the law as shall provide for a balancing of the books and weighing of grain in store as often as once in each year, at the most convenient time, that his accounts may be verified by an actual inventory of the property under the supervision of the state officer. In this recommendation we most heartily concur.

BONDS OF WAREHOUSEMEN.

During the year it has come to our knowledge that the provision in the statute requiring the proprietor, lessee or manager of any warehouse of Class "A", before transacting any business in such warehouse, to give bonds in the sum of \$10,000 for the faithful performance of his duty to the public, was being so construed as to allow a firm managing or owning several different warehouses to operate them all under a single bond.

A careful study of the matter convinced us that such construction was subversive of the plain intent of the law, and we adopted an order requiring new bonds to be given, one for each separate warehouse.

We are pleased to note in the report of the Warehouse Registrar that this requirement of the Commission has in all cases been promptly complied with.

CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES ON ACCOUNT OF FAULTY INSPECTION.

A question of considerable importance has arisen, incident to the inspection of grain. It had long, we are informed, been the practice of this Commission to pay, from the funds of the inspection department, claims for damages arising from the faulty

inspection of grain. That is to say, under the former practice, where an inspector made a blunder in the inspection of grain whereby the owner or the party buying the grain on certificate was damaged, the Commission recognized the damage so arising as a valid claim against the inspection department, and paid it from the funds of that department, which funds are derived from inspection fees. The immediate predecessors of the present Commissioners, we are advised, doubted the power of the Commission to appropriate the funds of the inspection department to the purpose of paying claims. No express ruling was, however, placed on record so far as we are able to find. A particular claim of this nature is now pending before the present Commission, a formal decision of which has not been rendered, although the Commission has been of the opinion that they are not empowered to pay such claims from the funds arising from inspection. The case referred to is as follows:

Franklin, Edson & Co., of New York, claim the sum of \$1,156.70, required, they say, to make them whole on a certain cargo of wheat purchased by them in Chicago on certificates as "No. 2 red winter," and which on its arrival in New York proved to be in fact of a quality much inferior to that named in the certificate. Proofs were submitted to us which convinced us that the inspection was faulty. The inspector at fault was an old employé of the department, and had, until this occurrence, been regarded as competent and reliable. He has since left the force. The decisive question arising upon the facts is, has this Commission any power under the statute to devote the funds of the inspection department to the payment of damages arising from erroneous inspection? We are convinced that the answer to this question must be found in the statute wherein our duties are prescribed, and whence all the powers of the Commission are derived. Since it has been strenuously urged upon us that to deny this claim, and to refuse to become guarantors for the correctness of our inspection, will seriously discredit Chicago inspection in the markets of the world, we think it proper to state the law as we understand it, for your information and that of the General Assembly.

Section 14 of the act in relation to warehouses contains three provisions which, construed together, seem to us decisive of this question. It is first provided that each inspector shall give a bond in the penal sum of \$5,000, with sureties, the condition of which bond shall be:

"That he will faithfully and strictly discharge the duties of his said office of inspector according to law and the rules and regulations prescribing his duties; and that he will *pay all damages to any person or persons who may be injured* by reason of his neglect, refusal or failure to comply with the law and the rules and regulations aforesaid."

It is next provided that the Commission

"Shall have power to fix the rate of charges for the inspection of grain, and the manner in which the same shall be collected, which charges shall

be regulated in such a manner as will, in the judgment of the Commissioners, produce sufficient revenue to meet the necessary expenses of the service of inspection, and no more."

Finally it is provided at the end of said section 14, that

"All necessary expenses incident to the inspection of grain, and to the office of Registrar, economically administered, including the rent of suitable offices, shall be deemed expenses of the inspection service, and shall be included in the estimate of expenses of such inspection services; and shall be paid from the funds collected for the same."

These provisions seem to leave the case in little doubt. The Commission has no funds at its disposal, except those accruing from inspection fees. We are commanded to fix those fees so as to produce "sufficient revenue to meet the necessary expenses of the service of inspection, *and no more.*" Then, as if to leave no doubt as to what the term "necessary expenses" should embrace, it was further specified that "*all necessary expenses incident to the inspection of grain*, and to the office of Registrar, economically administered, including the rent of suitable offices, shall be deemed expenses of the inspection service."

It is a familiar legal maxim that the naming expressly of certain things, in an act such as this, has the effect of excluding other things which are not named. When telling us what should be "included in the estimate of expenses" and "paid from funds collected for the same," the legislature failed to mention damages arising from erroneous inspection. Unless, therefore, such damages may be said to be a "necessary expense incident to the inspection of grain," they are not authorized to be paid from the funds of the department. These two clauses of the statute, if standing alone, would, therefore, seem to be decisive of the question. Damages of this kind can hardly be called a "necessary expense incident to the inspection of grain."

But all possibility that the legislature intended to include such damages as an "expense" of the department is rebutted, it seems to us, by the fact that in the clause of the statute first quoted above, express provision is made for the payment of such damages by the inspector and his sureties. The condition, prescribed by statute for the bond of the inspector, is exclusively for the protection of "any person or persons who may be injured." It is a noticeable, and it would seem, decisive fact that the bond is not for the protection of the inspection fund in case the Commission should pay such damages, but runs entirely to third parties who may be injured. Should the Commission step in and pay this inspector's liability, it is doubtful if the language of the bond, as prescribed by the statute, would admit the Commission to reimburse the department funds by a suit against the inspector's sureties. That the inspector and his sureties in this case are liable to Franklin, Edson & Co., upon this bond, provided they can prove their case in court, admits of no question. This bond was taken to meet just such a case as this and for no other purpose.

Much has been urged upon the score of public policy. We have been exhorted to adopt a rule for such cases that will invite the confidence of the public, etc. To this we can only say the Commission is not at liberty to set up any policy unless that policy finds warrant in the statute. We do not control the general policy of the State touching such questions. We can exercise the powers given us by statute, and no others, and it is a rule too well settled to require the citation of authorities that the powers of a commission, such as this, cannot be extended beyond what is expressly granted, or necessarily implied in the carrying out of the objects of the law. If we are to levy sufficient inspection fees for damages and apply the funds so raised to that purpose, it seems to us the legislature ought to say so. As the case stands, the legislature has, in effect, said exactly the contrary. It has said that bonds shall be taken with sureties from inspectors conditioned for the payment of damages to persons injured, and that the revenue raised from inspection fees shall be confined to the amount needed for the "necessary expenses" of the department. No bond is provided to indemnify the State in case it pays these damages. If it be our duty under the law to pay such damages out of fees collected, then the taking of bonds from inspectors for the protection of persons dealing with the department, is a mere idle ceremony, having, it seems, no purpose at all.

Such is the view the Commission were constrained to take of the law as it now stands; but they have made no formal ruling upon this claim, owing to the urgent representations made that a ruling of the kind above indicated would be injurious to the service. In this view your efficient Chief Inspector, Hon. P. Bird Price, seems from his report, which we print herewith, to concur. The claim of Franklin, Edson & Co. has therefore been referred to the Attorney General for his opinion upon the question as to whether the Commission has power under the statute to devote the funds of the inspection department to the payment of claims of this kind. We are daily expecting the opinion of the Attorney General upon that question, and had it been delivered before the time for our report to go into your hands, the necessity of mentioning this subject might possibly have been avoided, as the Commission would very cheerfully acquiesce in any construction the Attorney General of the State might give to the statute. Since, however, some action of the legislature may become necessary in case the opinion of the Attorney General should be adverse to the claim, we have thought proper to thus set forth the state of the law and our views thereon, in our report to your Excellency. When the Attorney General's opinion is received we will take measures to advise your Excellency and the General Assembly if thought necessary, as to the then status of the case, to the end that legislation may be had empowering the Commission to pay such claims

if they are deemed a legitimate expense of the inspection service, and are not, in the opinion of his honor, the Attorney General, already sufficiently provided for in the statute as it now stands.

TABULATED STATISTICS.

In the body of this report will be found seventeen tables which give tabulated information on the subjects indicated in their titles. These titles are as follows:

Table I. Classification of Railroads and Mileage.

Table II. A. Capital Stock, Bonds and Equipment Trust Obligations for Mileage Owned.

Table II. B. Capital Stock, Funded Debt and Current Liabilities for Mileage Operated.

Table III. Income Account, whole line.

Table IV. Total Earnings from Operations in Illinois.

Table V. Total Earnings and Income in Illinois.

Table VI. Operating Expenses in Illinois.

Table VII. Operating Expenses, Taxes and Average Earnings Per Mile of Road in Illinois.

Table VIII. Passenger and Freight Traffic in Illinois.

Table IX. Classified Freight Traffic in Illinois.

Table X. Employes and Salaries, whole Line and in Illinois.

Table XI. Average Daily Compensation of Employes.

Table XII. Description of Equipment, whole line.

Table XIII. Rails, Ties, Ballast, Bridges, etc., in Illinois.

Table XIV. Consumption of Fuel by Locomotives, whole line.

Table XV. Accidents in Illinois.

Table XVI. Taxes Paid in Illinois in 1888, 1889 and 1890.

Table XVII. Income Account Leased Lines, whole line.

Further along in this report will be found in full the tables above mentioned, a summary of which is as follows:

TABLE I. CLASSIFICATION OF RAILROADS AND MILEAGE.

The railway mileage in the state of Illinois on June 30, 1890, was as follows:

	Miles.	Miles.
Main line.....	10,163.46	
Second, third and fourth tracks	925.77	
Sidings, etc	2,928.34	
Total		14,017.57

The following is a comparison with the mileage as reported for the year ending June 30, 1889:

YEAR.	Main Line.	Second, third and fourth tracks.	Sidings, Etc.	Total.
1889.....	9,936.63	755.67	2,804.68	13,496.98
1890.....	10,163.46	925.77	2,928.34	14,017.57
Increase	226.83	170.10	123.66	520.59
Per cent. of increase.....	2.28	22.51	4.41	3.78

The length of new road built during the year ending June 30, 1890, was 222.65 miles, an increase over the new road built during the period covered by our last report of 151.24 miles, or 212 per cent.

In this connection it may of interest to state that Illinois has the largest railway mileage of any state in the union. In the report of the Inter-State Commerce Commission for the year 1889, the proportion of the railway mileage in Illinois to the total mileage in the United States was 6.23 per cent., and the number of square miles per mile of railway was given as 5.76.

TABLE II-A. CAPITAL STOCK, BONDS AND EQUIPMENT TRUST OBLIGATIONS FOR MILEAGE OWNED.

This table shows the railway capital of the railroads in Illinois to be as follows:

Capital stock	\$847,488,296 90	
Bonds	920,683,061 73	
Equipment trust obligations.....	1,440,595 41	
Total.....		\$1,769,620,864 04
Capital stock per mile of road.....	\$25,573 37	
Bonds per mile of road	27,540 44	
Equipment trust obligations per mile of road.....	644 08	
Total.....		53,757 89

These figures shows an increase of railway capital over the report of last year of \$198,144,498.08.

This table also shows the number of stockholders in Illinois to be 1,399; number elsewhere, 30,398; and amount of stock held in Illinois, \$32,480,885.00, or 3.83 per cent. of the total capital stock.

TABLE II-B. CAPITAL STOCK, FUNDED DEBT AND CURRENT LIABILITIES FOR MILEAGE OPERATED.

This table shows the capital stock, funded debt and current liabilities for mileage *operated* to be as follows:

Capital stock.....	\$930,784,536 78	
Funded debt.....	997,407,939 64	
Current liabilities	27,595,798 37	
Total		\$1,955,698,274 79
Total amount per mile of road operated.....		52,783 18

As compared with last year these figures show a decrease in the current liabilities of \$2,092,484.65.

TABLE III. INCOME ACCOUNT—WHOLE LINE.

This table shows the following facts:

Gross earnings from operation	\$232,091,753 14
Operating expenses	170,329,077 53
Income from operation	91,697,726 74
Income from other sources	6,383,611 57
Total income	98,081,368 31
Total deductions from income	33,838,907 44
Net income (41 roads)	29,591,581 84
Net deficit (21 roads)	1,384,172 13

This table also shows that during the year there were 12 operating railroad corporations paid dividends, amounting to \$19,127,823.20. In 1889, 11 corporations paid dividends amounting to \$16,978,464.66. The increase in the amount of dividends paid during 1890 over that of 1889, is \$2,149,358.54, or 12.66 per cent.

The following tables show the names of the operating railroads paying dividends, and the comparative rates and amounts paid during the years 1889 and 1890.

Name of Company.	1889.	Percent. on Com. Stock.	Percent. on Pref. Stock.
Chicago and Alton.....	\$1,407,360 00	8	8
Chicago and Eastern Illinois.....	267,912 00	6
Chicago and Northwestern.....	3,444,504 00	7	6
Chicago, Burlington and Quincy.....	3,055,684 00	4
Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul.....	972,490 50	4.5
Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific.....	2,307,707 00	5
Illinois Central.....	2,200,000 00	5.5
Lake Shore and Michigan Southern.....	2,473,325 00	5
Michigan Central.....	749,528 16	4
Rock Island and Peoria.....	75,000 00	5
St. Louis, Alton and Terre Haute.....	24,684 00	1
Total.....	\$16,978,464 66

Name of Company.	1890.	Percent. on Com. Stock.	Percent. on Pref. Stock.
Chicago and Alton.....	\$1,407,728 00	8	8
Chicago and Eastern Illinois.....	133,950 00	3
Chicago and Northwestern.....	3,444,979 00	6	7
Chicago, Burlington and Quincy.....	3,437,067 00	4.5
Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul.....	1,296,829 00	2.5
Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific.....	1,816,229 00	4	3.5
Clev., Cin., Chicago and St. Louis.....	1,320,000 00	4	5
Illinois Central.....	2,400,000 00	6
Lake Erie and Western.....	355,200 00	3
Lake Shore and Michigan Southern.....	2,473,325 00	5
Michigan Central.....	936,910 20	5
Rock Island and Peoria.....	75,000 00	5
Total.....	\$19,127,823 20

LEASED OR SUBSIDIARY LINES PAYING DIVIDENDS.

In addition to the dividends paid by operating railroads, as shown in Table III., the following leased or subsidiary lines paid dividends during the year out of the net income from lease of road. See Table XVII.

Name of Company.	Amount paid.	Rate per cent. on Com. Stock.	Rate per cent. on Pref. Stock.
Joliet and Chicago.....	\$105,000 00	7
Mississippi River Bridge.....	21,000 00	7
Chicago and Western Indiana.....	150,000 00	3
Peoria and Bureau Valley.....	135,000 00	10
Joliet and Northern Indiana.....	24,000 00	8
Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne and Chicago.....	2,240,146 00	7	7
Belleville and Southern Illinois.....	92,437 50	7½

Table III. also shows that the Centralia and Chester, Fulton County Narrow Gauge, and St. Louis and Peoria roads failed to earn enough to pay their operating expenses.

TABLE IV. TOTAL EARNINGS FROM OPERATIONS IN ILLINOIS.

The total earnings from operation for the year are shown in the following table:

Passenger department—		
From passengers.....	\$14,211,044 93	
From mails.....	1,579,848 98	
From express and extra baggage.....	1,479,541 07	
From other sources.....	193,431 79	
Total.....		\$17,463,866 77
Freight department—		
From freights.....	\$43,856,706 08	
From other sources.....	276,891 23	
Total.....		\$44,133,597 31
From miscellaneous sources.....		1,892,789 23
Total earnings from operation in Illinois.....		\$63,490,253 31

In the passenger department these figures show an increase of \$28,974.76 in the receipts from passengers; a decrease of \$35,994.93 in the receipts from mails; a decrease of \$38,892.30 in the receipts from express and baggage; an increase of \$15,093.27 in the receipts from other sources, or a net decrease in the passenger department of \$30,819.20 as compared with the business of last year.

In the freight department these figures show an increase over the business of last year of \$152,905.37 in the receipts from other sources; an increase of \$4,274,609.54 in the receipts from freights, or a net increase in the freight department of \$4,427,514.91.

The receipts from miscellaneous sources show a gain over the business of last year of \$364,076.23; the total increase in the earnings from operation in Illinois over the business of last year is \$4,760,771.97.

The following table shows the earnings of the passenger and freight departments in Illinois for the last four years:

	Passenger.	Freight.
1887.....	\$15,385,945 66	\$38,524,367 55
1888.....	17,627,983 37	39,652,094 45
1889.....	17,494,685 97	39,706,082 40
1890.....	17,463,866 77	44,133,597 31

TABLE V. TOTAL EARNINGS AND INCOME IN ILLINOIS.

The total earnings and income in Illinois for the year are indicated in the following table:

Total earnings from operation.....	\$63,490,253 31
Interest on bonds owned.....	482,365 60
Dividends on stocks owned.....	323,582 68
Miscellaneous income, less expense.....	1,175,293 22
Total earnings and income in Illinois.....	\$65,471,494 81

This is an increase over the total earnings and income in Illinois of last year of \$2,301,398.62.

The following table shows the total income in Illinois for the last four years:

1887.....	\$56,860,287 34
1888.....	61,333,515 45
1889.....	63,170,096 19
1890.....	65,471,494 81

TABLE VI. OPERATING EXPENSES IN ILLINOIS.

The operating expenses in Illinois for the year was \$40,059,-894.30, divided as follows:

	Chargeable to Pas. Traffic.	Chargeable to Frt. Traffic.
Maintenance of way and structure.....	\$2,990,609 62	\$5,452,657 91
Maintenance of equipment.....	1,811,827 79	4,590,129 18
Conducting transportation.....	6,420,423 68	14,497,094 27
General expenses.....	1,614,385 31	2,682,766 63
Total.....	\$12,837,246 31	\$27,222,647 99

This is an increase in the operating expenses over last year of \$767,869.87, or less than 2 per cent.

The operating expenses in Illinois for the last four years are as follows:

1887.....	\$33,010,187 83
1888.....	38,870,930 54
1889.....	39,292,021 43
1890.....	40,059,894 30

TABLE VII. OPERATING EXPENSES, TAXES AND AVERAGE EARNINGS PER MILE OF ROAD IN ILLINOIS.

This table shows the following facts:

Percentage of operating expenses to earnings.....	63.09
Average passenger earnings per mile of road operated.....	\$1,367 31
Average passenger earnings per train mile.....	765
Average freight earnings per mile of road operated.....	4,196 85
Average freight earnings per train mile.....	1,418
Average gross transportation earnings, including mail, express, baggage, etc., per mile of road operated.....	6,062 78
Average expenses per mile of road operated.....	3,825 37
Net earnings per mile of road operated.....	2,237 41

Only those roads which have made complete detailed reports are taken into consideration in arriving at the above averages.

In last year's report certain average earnings, expenses, etc., per mile of road were given, and for the purpose of comparison with those of this year they are herewith repeated below:

Percentage of operating expenses to earnings.....	66.90
Average passenger earnings per mile of road operated.....	\$1,403 11
Average passenger earnings per train mile.....	74
Average freight earnings per mile of road operated.....	3,898 58
Average freight earnings per train mile.....	1.37
Average gross transportation earnings, including mail, express, baggage, etc., per mile of road operated.....	5,784 46
Average expenses per mile of road operated.....	3,870 70
Net earnings per mile of road operated.....	1,913 76

TABLE VIII. PASSENGER AND FREIGHT TRAFFIC IN ILLINOIS.

The following important facts are shown in this table:

Passenger traffic—	
Number of passengers carried earning revenue.....	24,910,820
Number of passengers carried one mile.....	554,960,062
Average distance carried—miles.....	25.12
Average amount received from each passenger—cents.....	52.9
Average receipts per passenger per mile—cents.....	2.066
Estimated cost of carrying one passenger one mile—cents.....	1.90
Freight traffic—	
Number of tons carried earning revenue.....	48,364,653
Number of tons carried one mile.....	4,271,377,794
Average distance haul of one ton—miles.....	102.45
Average amount received for each ton—cents.....	85.23
Average receipts per ton per mile—cents.....	0.832
Estimated cost of carrying one ton one mile—cents.....	0.517

Owing to the failure of several of the roads which do a large passenger and freight business to furnish the necessary data it is impossible to give estimates and averages which would apply

to all the roads in the State, and in arriving at the above averages and estimates only those roads which have made complete detailed reports are taken into consideration.

In this connection it may be interesting to show the passenger and freight traffic as reported in last year's report, and for the purpose of comparison with that of this year it is here given as follows:

Passenger traffic—		
Number of passengers carried earning revenue.....	23,755,056	
Number of passengers carried one mile.....	586,610,364	
Average distance carried—mile.....	26.25	
Average amount received from each passenger—cents.....	53.5	
Average receipts per passenger per mile—cents.....	2.038	
Estimated cost of carrying one passenger one mile—cents.....	1.721	
Freight traffic—		
Number of tons carried earning revenue.....	44,931,095	
Number of tons carried one mile.....	3,820,299,316	
Average distance haul of one ton—miles.....	98.43	
Average amount received for each ton—cents.....	82.3	
Average receipts per ton per mile—cents.....	0.836	
Estimated cost of carrying one ton one mile.....	0.513	

TABLE IX. CLASSIFIED FREIGHT TRAFFIC IN ILLINOIS.

This table shows that the railroads carried in Illinois during the year 50,796,636 tons of freight, an increase over the business of last year of 3,857,507 tons, or 8.21 per cent.

The following is a classified comparison of the tonnage carried in Illinois in the years 1889 and 1890:

	1889. Tons.	1890. Tons.
Products of agriculture	9,985,424	11,006,271
Products of animals.....	3,453,918	4,120,451
Products of mines	14,360,114	14,944,966
Lumber	3,099,581	3,176,001
Merchandise.....	3,749,310	3,189,436
Manufactures.....	4,323,346	5,584,588
Ice.....	506,682	642,737
Miscellaneous	7,460,754	8,132,186
Totals	46,939,129	50,796,636

TABLE X. EMPLOYÉS AND SALARIES, WHOLE LINE AND IN ILLINOIS.

This table shows that during the year there were 182,680 employés on the entire lines of the railroads doing business in Illinois, whose yearly compensation was \$107,705,205.49. It also shows that of the total number of employés there were in Illinois 57,435, whose aggregate yearly compensation was \$33,991,986.16.

Compared with last year these figures show an increase of 4,460 employés in Illinois, and an increase in the amount of compensation paid them of \$2,388,162.01.

The following table shows the division of the 182,680 employes above referred to:

General officers.....	838
General office clerks.....	5,526
Station agents.....	6,631
Other station men.....	17,768
Engineers.....	8,538
Firemen.....	8,829
Conductors.....	6,011
Other train men.....	13,035
Machinists.....	6,474
Carpenters.....	8,747
Other shopmen.....	21,155
Section foremen.....	7,480
Other trackmen.....	35,781
Switchmen, flagmen and watchmen.....	10,561
Telegraph operators and dispatchers.....	5,597
Employes, account floating equipment.....	413
All other employes and laborers.....	16,383
Total.....	182,680

TABLE XI. AVERAGE DAILY COMPENSATION OF EMPLOYÉS.

This table shows the average daily compensation of the employes as enumerated in table X. An examination of the same will show that the compensation of the same class of employes varies widely on different roads.

TABLE XII. DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT, WHOLE LINE.

Particular attention is called to this important table. In it will be found the number of locomotives owned by the roads classed as passenger, freight and switching; the number of cars owned, classed as cars in passenger, freight and company's service; the number of cars contributed to fast freight line service and the number of cars leased. It also shows what portion of the equipment is fitted with train brakes and automatic couplers. A summary of this table is as follows:

CLASS OF EQUIPMENT.	Number.	Number fitted with train brake.	Number fitted with automatic coupler.
Locomotives—			
Passenger.....	1,746
Freight.....	3,970
Switching.....	1,240
Totals.....	6,956	4,383
Cars—			
Passenger service.....	4,771	1,660	4,494
Freight service.....	207,142	11,481	16,549
Company's service.....	5,792	52	9
Fast freight line service.....	17,510	250	1,102
Totals.....	235,215	16,443	22,154
Total cars and locomotives owned.....	242,171	20,826	22,154
Cars and locomotives leased.....	19,125
Total equipment.....	261,296	20,826	22,154

The foregoing shows the following facts in regard to safety appliances:

Of the locomotives 63 per cent. are equipped with train brakes, of the cars in passenger service 97.67 per cent. are equipped with train brakes, and 94.19 per cent. with automatic couplers; of the cars in freight service 5.54 per cent. are equipped with train brakes, and 7.99 per cent. with automatic couplers, and of cars in company's service less than one per cent. are equipped with safety appliances.

It would seem appropriate in this connection to show what kind of couplers are in use, and the number of each kind used. The following is such a statement:

Style of Coupler.	No. used.
Ames.....	647
Ames-Janney.....	3,773
Blackstone.....	132
Cowell.....	190
Dowling.....	60
Gould.....	1,601
Hinson.....	501
Janney.....	10,345
Janney-Miller.....	21
Keystone.....	10
Lorraine.....	10
M. C. B.....	1,292
McCree.....	158
Miller.....	3,373
Skinner.....	9
Schroyer.....	18
Thurmond.....	1
Unclassified.....	13
Total.....	22,154

TABLE XIII. RAILS, TIES, BALLAST, BRIDGES, ETC., IN ILLINOIS.

The following facts are shown in this table:

Iron rails on road in Illinois, miles.....	1,181.27
Steel rails on road in Illinois, miles.....	8,982.19
Tons steel rails relaid during year in Illinois.....	74,555.11
Ties relaid during year in Illinois.....	3,112,780
Number of stations in Illinois.....	2,459
Length of road unfenced in Illinois, miles.....	1,477.70
Ballast in Illinois—	
Miles of stone.....	891.80
Miles of sand or gravel.....	4,412.08
Miles of cinders.....	560.64
Miles of earth.....	4,043.83
Miles of slag.....	81.83
Unclassified.....	173.92
Bridges in Illinois—	
Number of stone.....	1,020
Number of iron.....	621
Number of wooden.....	1,399
Number of combination.....	148
Trestles in Illinois—	
Number.....	9,237
Aggregate length in feet.....	772,527

TABLE XIV. CONSUMPTION OF FUEL BY LOCOMOTIVES—WHOLE LINE.

This table gives a detailed statement of the fuel consumed by the different classes of locomotives, the number of miles run by such locomotives, and the average pounds consumed per mile. From it will be seen that the locomotives on the lines of the roads reporting this information consumed 9,556,663 tons of fuel in running 252,958,280 miles, or an average of 75.55 pounds per mile.

This table also shows that the cost of coal at distributing points ranged from 60 cents to \$2.75 per ton, and the cost of wood ranged from 91 cents to \$4 per cord.

TABLE XV. ACCIDENTS IN ILLINOIS.

A summary of this table, and a comparison of the same with the accidents as shown in last year's report, is as follows:

	1890.		1889.	
	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.
Passengers	27	136	25	116
Employes.....	176	1,059	172	1,188
Others	365	369	360	402
Totals	568	1,564	557	1,706

From the above it will be seen that during the year there was an increase of 11 in the number of persons killed, and a decrease in the number injured of 142.

The following is a division of the kind of accidents during the year:

	Killed.	Injured.
Coupling and uncoupling cars	39	433
Falling from trains and engines	37	162
Overhead obstructions.....	7	14
Collisions	17	95
Derailements	16	52
Other train accidents.....	102	152
At highway crossings.....	53	70
At stations.....	25	57
Other causes	272	529
Totals	568	1,564

TABLE XVI. TAXES PAID IN ILLINOIS IN 1888, 1889 AND 1890.

The amount of taxes paid by the railroads in Illinois during the year is shown by this table to be \$3,021,904.49, an increase over the amount paid last year of \$195,915.77. This table also gives a comparison of the taxes paid in Illinois in the years 1888, 1889 and 1890.

The following railroads making reports for the year ending June 30, 1890, were in the hands of receivers:

Chicago and Atlantic.

St. Louis and Chicago.

The Indianapolis, Decatur and Western Railway was in the hands of trustees.

For the above summary of statistics, together with the fuller tables printed elsewhere, we take occasion here to acknowledge our indebtedness to the untiring efficiency of our Secretary, Mr. James H. Paddock, whose labors during the past year have been invaluable to the Commission, and daily grow more efficient with his increasing experience. In the preparation of statistics, as well as in his other important labors, Mr. Paddock has been ably assisted by our stenographer, Mr. F. C. Dodds, to whom we also here make due acknowledgment.

APPROPRIATIONS.

It only remains to submit our financial statement, which will be found below. We have not, during the past year, been under the necessity of expending any of the funds appropriated for "suits and investigations," for counsel fees; and the unexpended balance of this fund, as indeed that of other funds, will be found to be somewhat larger than last year. From this it would not be safe to conclude that smaller appropriations for the next two years might safely be made. An emergency is liable at any time to arise which would require all, or more, than the amount that was appropriated by the last General Assembly. It has been sufficiently demonstrated that if more funds are appropriated than are needed the Commission may be safely trusted not to expend the surplus needlessly, simply because it is at hand, but will see that such surplus remains in the treasury and lapses unexpended at the time fixed by the statute. We therefore ask that appropriations be made for the use of the Commission in the same amount and for the same purposes, as those made by the last General Assembly.

In this connection we would add that in our judgment an additional appropriation, placed at our disposal, for the purpose of enabling us to employ at a liberal salary, a competent and experienced civil engineer to be designated as the "Consulting Engineer" of the Commission, would be an expenditure wisely made. There is enough work to keep an expert of this kind fully employed under the direction of the Commission. His chief business would be the inspection of railroads, bridges, viaducts and other structures, and through his labors a complete record of the physical condition of all structures and the progress of all betterments could be kept in the office of the Commission. Mr. Chas. Hansel, who has for the past year and a half sustained the relation of "Consulting Engineer" to the present Commissioners, and so designated by us, is, as explained in our last

report, not upon the Commissioners' pay-roll, but has been paid by the job for the work actually done under our direction. We think such an expert might with profit be added to the regular force of the office.

The financial statement above alluded to is as follows:

FINANCIAL STATEMENT.

OFFICE EXPENSES.		
Unexpended appropriation December 1, 1889.....		\$3,309 31
Appropriation for year ending June 30, 1891.....		1,000 00
Total		\$7,309 31
Secretary's salary	\$1,500 00	
Janitor's salary	600 00	
Printing and binding.....	373 15	
Postage stamps	100 00	
Railway periodicals, law books and newspapers	46 05	
Express, freight and drayage	180 56	
Telegraph.....	132 80	
Telephone	48 00	
Labor	8 00	
Stationery and typewriter supplies	34 60	
Furniture, repairs and supplies.....	81 65	
Ice.....	30 00	
Washing towels for office	12 00	
Total.....		\$3,146 81
Unexpended balance December 3, 1890		\$4,162 50
SUITS AND INVESTIGATIONS.		
Unexpended appropriation December 1, 1889		\$4,512 88
Appropriation for year ending June 30, 1891.....		5,000 00
Total		\$9,512 88
Suits, investigations and expenses.....	\$1,922 63	
Clerk hire and stenographer.....	1,500 00	
Total		3,422 63
Unexpended balance December 3, 1890		\$6,090 25
RAILROAD MAPS.		
Unexpended appropriation December 1, 1889		\$1,200 00
Appropriation for year ending June 30, 1891.....		1,200 00
Total		\$2,400 00
Expended for maps.....	\$1,017 50	
Total		1,017 50
Unexpended balance December 1, 1890.....		\$1,382 50
SCHEDULES AND CLASSIFICATION.		
Unexpended appropriation December 1, 1890		\$2,875 50

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN R. WHEELER,
ISAAC N. PHILLIPS,
W. L. CIRM,
Commissioners.

STATISTICAL TABLES.

EXPLANATORY NOTES.

In the following statistical tables the principal operating road in an operating system and those which operate on their own account appear in alphabetical order; all subordinate roads are grouped under the roads to which they are leased or otherwise controlled, and are indented.

Chicago & Calumet Terminal — Embraces but one month's business—a switching business.

Chicago & Northern Pacific—Leased to the Wisconsin Central Company and the Wisconsin Central Railroad Company on April 1, 1890, and the operations, etc., are included in the report of the Wisconsin Central lines.

Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific—Report for the lines east of the Missouri river.

Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau — Report for the seven months ending June 30, 1890.

Ohio, Indiana & Western—Report for the six months ending December 31, 1889, at which time the road was sold under order of the U. S. Court and reorganized as the Peoria and Eastern Railway Company.

Pawnee—Report for the six months ending June 30, 1890.

Peoria & Eastern—Report for the six months ending June 30, 1890.

St. Louis & Chicago—Includes reports of the St. Louis & Chicago Railroad and the St. Louis & Chicago Railway.

St. Louis & Peoria — Report for the eight months ending June 30, 1890.

Terre Haute & Peoria—The mileage in Indiana is so small that no division of its accounts is kept as between Indiana and Illinois, and it has submitted its report of operations, etc., as being wholly within the State of Illinois.

COMPARATIVE TABLES.

TABLE I.—*Classification of Railroads and*

	NAME OF COMPANY.	1		2	
		DATE OF FILING REPORT. (OPERAT- ING OR FINAN- CIAL.)		HOW OPERATED.	
1	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe *	Dec.	8, 1890 O. & F.	A. T. & S. Fe R. R. Co.
2	Chicago, Santa Fe & California...	Dec.	8, 1890 F.	"
3	Atch., Top. & Santa Fe in Chi...	Dec.	8, 1890 F.	"
4	Miss. R. R. R. & Toll Bridge Co	Dec.	8, 1890 F.	"
5	Baltimore & Ohio	Nov.	21, 1890 O. & F.	B. & O. R. R. Co.
6	Baltimore & Ohio & Chicago...	Nov.	21, 1890 F.	"
7	Belt Railway of Chicago	Sept.	6, 1890 O. & F.	Belt Ry. Co. of Chicago
8	Centralla & Chester	Nov.	29, 1890 O. & F.	C. & C. R. R. Co.
9	Chicago & Alton	Sept.	17, 1890 O. & F.	C. & A. R. R. Co.
10	Joliet & Chicago	Sept.	17, 1890 F.	"
11	Mississippi River Bridge Co	Sept.	17, 1890 F.	"
12	Chicago & Atlantic	Nov.	8, 1890 O. & F.	Volney T. Malott, receiver
13	Chicago & Calumet Terminal (6)	Nov.	11, 1890 O. & F.	C. & C. T. Ry. Co.
14	Chicago & Eastern Illinois	Sept.	17, 1890 O. & F.	C. & E. I. R. R. Co.
15	Chicago & Western Indiana	Sept.	6, 1890 F.	(2)
16	Evansville, Terre Haute & Chi	Oct.	13, 1890 F.	C. & E. I. R. R. Co.
17	Chicago & Grand Trunk	Sept.	15, 1890 O. & F.	C. & G. T. Ry. Co.
18	Grand Trunk Junction	Sept.	15, 1890 F.	"
19	Chicago & Illinois Southern	Aug.	28, 1890 F.	Danville Elevator Co.
20	Chicago & Iowa	Oct.	31, 1890 O. & F.	C. & I. R. R. Co.
21	Chicago & Northwestern (1)	Sept.	4, 1890 O. & F.	C. & N. W. Ry. Co.
22	Chicago & Northern Pacific	Nov.	11, 1890 F.	Northern Pacific R. R. Co.
23	Chicago & Ohio River	Nov.	11, 1890 O. & F.	C. & O. R. R. R. Co.
24	Chicago, Burlington & Northern	Oct.	23, 1890 O. & F.	C. B. & N. R. R. Co.
25	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	Nov.	3, 1890 O. & F.	C. B. & Q. R. R. Co.
26	Galesburg & Rio	Nov.	3, 1890 F.	"
27	Illinois Valley & Northern	Nov.	3, 1890 F.	"
28	St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago	Nov.	3, 1890 F.	"
29	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	Oct.	31, 1890 O. & F.	C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co.
30	Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis	Dec.	11, 1890 O. & F.	C. P. & St. L. Ry. Co.
31	Jacksonville Southeastern	Dec.	11, 1890 F.	"
32	Litchfield, Carrollton & Western	Dec.	11, 1890 F.	"
33	Louisville & St. Louis	Dec.	11, 1890 F.	"
34	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	Oct.	13, 1890 O. & F.	C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co.
35	Peoria & Bureau Valley	Sept.	15, 1890 F.	"
36	Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh	Oct.	2, 1890 O. & F.	C. St. L. & P. R. R. Co.
37	Englewood Connecting	Nov.	1, 1890 F.	"
38	Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	Sept.	8, 1890 O. & F.	C. St. P. & K. C. Ry. Co.
39	Cleveland, Cin., Chicago & St. L	Oct.	21, 1890 O. & F.	C. C. C. & St. L. Ry. Co.
40	Cairo, Vincennes & Chicago	"
41	Cin., Lafayette & Chicago	"
42	Kankakee & Seneca	Oct.	21, 1890 O. & F.	"
43	Peoria & Eastern	Oct.	21, 1890 O. & F.	"
44	DePue, Ladd & Eastern (5)	Nov.	5, 1890 F.	C. B. & Q. R. R. Co.
45	East St. Louis & Carondelet	Sept.	29, 1890 O. & F.	E. St. L. & Car. Ry. Co.
46	East St. Louis Connecting	Oct.	6, 1890 O. & F.	E. St. L. Con. Ry. Co.
47	Electric City & Illin is	Oct.	5, 1890 F.	Under construction
48	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	Nov.	17, 1890 O. & F.	E. J. & E. Ry. Co.
49	Gardner, Coal City & Northern	Nov.	17, 1890 F.	"
50	Waukegan & Southwestern	Nov.	17, 1890 F.	"
51	Fulton County Narrow Gauge	Dec.	10, 1890 O. & F.	F. C. X. G. Ry. Co.
52	Fulton County Extension	Dec.	10, 1890 F.	"
53	Grand Tower & Carbondale	Nov.	8, 1890 O. & F.	G. T. & C. R. R. Co.
54	Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau	Nov.	18, 1890 O. & F.	G. T. & C. G. R. R. Co.
55	Illinois Central	Oct.	22, 1890 O. & F.	I. C. R. R. Co.
56	Chicago, Havana & Western	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
57	Chicago, Madison & Northern	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
58	Chicago & Springfield	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
59	Danleith & Dubuque Bridge Co.	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
60	Kankakee & Southwestern	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
61	Mound City	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
62	Rantoul	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
63	South Chicago	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
64	St. Charles Air Line	Oct.	22, 1890 F.	"
65	Indiana & Illinois Southern	Dec.	10, 1890 O. & F.	I. & I. S. R. R. Co.
66	Indianapolis, Decatur & Western	Nov.	17, 1890 O. & F.	I. D. & W. Ry. Co.
67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	Nov.	8, 1890 O. & F.	I. I. & I. R. R. Co.
68	Iowa Central	Sept.	10, 1890 O. & F.	Ia. Central Ry. Co.
69	Keithsburg Bridge Co	"
70	Peoria Terminal	"
71	Lake Erie & Western	Sept.	26, 1890 O. & F.	L. E. & W. R. R. Co.

Mileage for year ending June 30, 1890.

3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
LENGTH OF LINE OPER- ATED—IN MILES.		LENGTH OF LINE OWNED —IN MILES.				Second, third and fourth tracks in Illinois.		Yard tracks, sidings and spurs in Illinois.		New road built during year in Illinois.			
Whole Line.	In Illinois.	Whole Line.	In Illinois.										
515.27	294.79												1
		490.97	278.30						70.00				2
		2.12	2.12						35.88				3
		.61	.51										4
271.00	14.31												5
		262.60	5.91		5.90		21.75						6
21.31	21.31												7
8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00				.13	8.00					8
848.68	586.06	548.86	548.86		41.03		122.77						9
		37.20	37.20		40.30		33.01						10
		1.30	.68										11
268.40	19.90												12
32.00	27.00	32.00	27.00				4.00	19.71					13
435.75	202.55	351.46	180.08		32.92		69.59						14
		48.58	48.58		39.61		57.99						15
		48.58	5.48										16
335.27	30.65	326.50	21.88				16.40						17
		3.90	3.90		3.90		17.25						18
		.41	.41										19
104.00	104.00	104.00	104.00				20.00						20
4,254.92	586.28	4,258.38	594.28		158.41		216.33	8.00					21
18.07	18.07	18.07	18.07		14.73		30.72						22
86.00	86.00	86.00	86.00				5.24						23
371.11	110.18	349.17	93.57				17.35						24
5,138.82	1,230.71	4,663.33	817.05		222.56		312.02						25
		12.45	12.45				.65						26
		58.74	58.74				7.22						27
		283.82	283.82				58.28						28
5,685.92	339.15	5,656.83	318.08		28.03		163.64						29
315.20	315.20	118.10	146.10				10.55	(7) 28.00					30
		112.30	112.30				6.30						31
		51.60	51.60				1.56						32
		16.40	16.40				.76						33
3,354.98	236.40	1,185.80	189.70		168.10		125.20						34
		46.70	46.70				10.70						35
636.05	28.00	580.52	28.00		11.73		38.40						36
2.35	2.35	2.35	2.35				.73	2.35					37
862.68	172.16	815.67	146.73				18.19						38
1,296.90	477.70	761.30	761.30										39
		267.00	261.00										40
		56.30	29.30		2.75		117.63						41
42.08	42.08	42.08	42.08				5.39						42
350.45	131.52	341.43	122.50				27.11						43
		3.50	3.50				.50	3.50					44
12.01	12.01	12.01	12.01				4.38						45
9.20	9.20	1.80	1.80				8.80						46
		1.50	1.50					1.50					47
172.28	150.98	103.10	81.80				22.60						48
		33.58	33.58				7.54						49
		35.60	35.60				5.40	35.60					50
61.00	61.00	31.00	31.00				2.40						51
		30.00	30.00				.61						52
32.90	32.90	26.20	26.20				6.00						53
28.80	28.80	28.80	28.80				2.00	28.80					54
2,279.22	1,285.28	1,609.43	705.50		111.75		197.99						55
		131.62	131.62				12.10						56
		222.11	130.83				29.11						57
		111.47	111.47				17.76						58
		.59	.17										59
		131.26	131.26				12.99						60
		2.87	2.87										61
		74.43	66.21				4.51						62
		4.76	4.76		4.76		3.22						63
		.76	.76		.76								64
90.00	56.00	90.00	56.00				2.00						65
152.51	75.76	152.51	75.76				7.93						66
169.09	68.95	118.19	68.95				8.13						67
488.39	93.25	503.02	88.65				11.90						68
		2.57	1.10										69
		4.00	4.00										70
585.84	121.02	582.07	118.60				17.56						71

Table I—

NAME OF COMPANY.	DATE OF FILING REPORT. (OPERAT- ING OR FINAN- CIAL.)	1	2
		HOW OPERATED.	
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	Sept. 13, 1890 O. & F.	L. S. & M. S. Ry. Co.	
73 Liverpool Coal.....	Oct. 7, 1890	Used as switch, C. E. & Q. R. R.	
74 Louisville & Nashville.....	Oct. 27, 1890 O. & F.	L. & N. R. R. Co.	
75 Southeast and St. Louis.....	Oct. 27, 1890 F.		
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. L. Con.	Oct. 31, 1890 O. & F.	L. E. & St. L. Con. R. R. Co.	
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	Dec. 12, 1890 O. & F.	L. N. A. & C. Ry. Co.	
78 Michigan Central.....	Sept. 19, 1890 O. & F.	M. C. R. R. Co.	
79 Joliet & Northern Indiana.....	Oct. 6, 1890 F.		
80 Mobile & Ohio.....	Sept. 5, 1890 O. & F.	M. & O. R. R. Co.	
81 St. Louis & Cairo.....	Sept. 5, 1890 F.		
82 Nat'l Stock Yards Co. (East St. L.)	Nov. 12, 1890	Used as switch	
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	Oct. 2, 1890 O. & F.	N. Y., C. & St. L. R. R. Co.	
84 Chicago & State Line.....	Sept. 24, 1890 F.		
85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	Oct. 7, 1890 O. & F.	O. & M. Ry. Co.	
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	Nov. 1, 1890 O. & F.	O., I. & W. Ry. Co.	
87 Pawnee.....	Dec. 9, 1890 O. & F.	P. R. R. Co.	
88 Pennsylvania Co.....	Oct. 2, 1890	Penn. Company	
89 Calumet River.....	Nov. 1, 1890 F.		
90 Pittsburgh, t. Wayne & Chicago.....	Oct. 24, 1890 O. & F.		
91 South Chicago & Southern.....	Oct. 15, 1890 O. & F.		
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	Dec. 13, 1890 O. & F.	P. & P. U. Ry. Co.	
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	Oct. 31, 1890 O. & F.	P., D. & E. Ry. Co.	
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	Nov. 12, 1890 O. & F.	Q., O. & K. C. Ry. Co.	
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	Oct. 9, 1890 O. & F.	R. I. & P. Ry. Co.	
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	Dec. 6, 1890 O. & F.	St. L., A. & S. R. R. Co.	
97 Alton Terminal.....			
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	Sept. 22, 1890 O. & F.	St. L., A. & T. H. R. R. Co.	
99 Belleville & Carondelet.....	Oct. 21, 1890 F.		
100 Belleville & Eldorado.....	Oct. 21, 1890 F.		
101 Belleville & Southern Illinois.....	Oct. 21, 1890 F.		
102 Chicago, St. Louis & Paducah.....	Oct. 21, 1890 F.		
103 St. Louis Southern.....	Oct. 17, 1890 F.		
104 Carbondale & Shawneetown.....	Oct. 17, 1890 F.		
105 St. Louis & Chicago.....	Nov. 14, 1890 O. & F.	R. J. Cavett, receiver.	
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	Nov. 25, 1890 O. & F.	St. L. & P. R. R. Co.	
107 Terminal R. R. Ass'n of St. Louis.....	Oct. 21, 1890 O. & F.	T. R. R. Ass'n of St. Louis.	
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	Oct. 22, 1890 O. & F.	T. H. & I. R. R. Co.	
109 St. Louis, Vandalia & Terre Haute.....	Nov. 13, 1890 F.		
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	Nov. 13, 1890 O. & F.	T. H. & P. R. R. Co.	
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	Sept. 6, 1890 O. & F.	T., P. & W. Ry. Co.	
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	Nov. 14, 1890 O. & F.	T., St. L. & K. C. R. R. Co.	
113 Union Stock Yards & Transit Co.....	July 11, 1890	For switching cars to Stock Yds.	
114 Wabash.....	Sept. 13, 1890 O. & F.	Wabash R. R. Co.	
115 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	Sept. 1, 1890 O. & F.	W., C. & W. R. R. Co.	
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	Sept. 25, 1890 O. & F.	(4) Wisconsin Central Lines	
117 Chicago & Wisconsin.....	Sept. 25, 1890 F.		
Total.....			

- (1). Includes 8 miles Junction Railway, not yet in operation.
 (2). Operated by C. & E. I., Wabash, C. & Atlantic, C. & G. T., L., N. A. & C., A., T. & S. Fe and Belt.
 (3). Includes mileage of Champaign & Sidney R. R.—11.70 miles belonging to Purchasing Committee.
 (4). From April 1, 1890, operated by Northern Pacific R. R. Co.
 (5). Owned by Chicago, Wilmington & Vermilion Coal Co., and connects mines with C., B. & Q. R. R.
 (6). Operated one month prior to June 30, 1890.
 (7). Still in hands of contractor.
 (8). Not yet in operation.
 (9). Unofficial.

Continued.

3		4		5		6		7		8		9	
LENGTH OF LINE OPER- ATED—IN MILES.		LENGTH OF LINE OWNED —IN MILES.		Second, third and fourth tracks in Illinois.		Yard tracks, sidings and spurs in Illinois.		New road built during year in Illinois.					
Whole Line.	In Illinois.	Whole Line.	In Illinois.										
1,445.36	14.02	1,086.82	14.02	14.02		55.23		72					
208.00	179.97	2.50	2.50			.50		73					
		208.00	179.97			10.07		74					
(9) 296.74	93.80	(9) 297.51	163.53			17.62	(8) 64.35	75					
537.07	19.86	510.46						76					
1,608.27	49.07	270.07	6.07	6.07		40.65		77					
		45.00	29.00			16.92		78					
160.69	160.60							79					
		160.60	160.60			36.27		80					
						15.00		81					
523.02	18.86	502.56						82					
		9.96	9.96	1.31		17.29		83					
628.48	375.52	628.48	375.52			61.94		84					
350.45	131.52							85					
6.61	6.61	4.11	4.11			.50	4.11	86					
480.14	26.57							87					
		4.43	4.43				4.43	88					
		469.89	16.32	14.31		11.50		89					
		10.25	10.25			1.52		90					
18.01	18.01	18.01	18.01	2.00		33.80		91					
256.63	213.25	233.28	192.92			25.28		92					
137.53	2.02	131.51						93					
113.00	113.00	113.00	113.00			11.98		94					
96.00	96.00	81.00	81.00			18.16		95					
		2.00	2.00					96					
239.04	239.04	207.40	198.40			13.30		97					
		17.20	17.20			2.78		98					
		50.20	50.20			3.40		99					
		56.40	56.40			11.10		100					
		53.50	53.50			7.10		101					
		29.74	29.74			3.89		102					
		17.50	17.50			.50		103					
52.75	52.75	51.25	51.25			3.97		104					
14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00			1.00	14.00	105					
3.20	1.88	3.20	1.88	.79		10.85		106					
158.30	158.30							107					
		158.30	158.30			46.11		108					
172.89	165.94	144.74	144.74			13.07		109					
247.10	247.10	230.10	230.10			36.10		110					
450.72	179.49	450.72	179.49			23.90		111					
		50.00	50.00			85.00		112					
1,921.00	731.00	1,443.40	(3) 671.30			163.20		113					
42.26	42.26	42.26	42.26			2.88		114					
867.06	60.26	233.37						115					
		49.36	49.36			7.96		116					
								117					
			10,163.46	925.77		2,928.34	222.65						

TABLE II, A.—*Capital Stock, Bonds and Equipment Trust*
Ending June

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4
	CAPITAL STOCK.		BONDS.	
	Amount outstanding.	Amount per mile of road.	Amount outstanding.	Amount per mile of road.
1 Atehison, Topeka & Santa Fe				
2 Chicago, Santa Fe & California	\$15,000,000 00	\$50,551 76	\$17,553,000 00	\$35,812 78
3 Ateh., Top. & Santa Fe in Chgo.	5,000,000 00	2,463,054 18	6,773,000 00	3,336,453 20
4 Miss. River R. R. & Toll Brdg. Co.	1,000,000 00	\$1,639,344 00	650,000 00	\$1,065,574 00
5 Baltimore & Ohio (4)				
6 Baltimore & Ohio & Chicago	1,503,450 00	5,725 25	7,744,000 00	29,489 72
9 Chicago & Alton	17,594,500 00	32,056 44	10,360,950 00	18,877 21
10 Joliet & Chicago	1,500,000 00	40,322 58		
11 Mississippi River Bridge Co.	300,000 00	230,769 23	626,000 00	481,538 46
12 Chicago & Atlantic	In hands of Receiver.			
13 Chicago & Calumet Terminal	5,000,000 00	156,250 00	1,752,000 00	54,750 00
14 Chi. & Eastern Illinois	10,663,000 00	30,339 15	14,273,000 00	40,610 59
15 Chicago & Western Indiana	5,000,000 00	102,923 01	8,592,666 67	176,876 63
16 Evansville, Terre Haute & Chgo.	581,370 00	11,967 27	1,250,000 00	25,730 75
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk	6,600,000 00	20,214 40	12,000,000 00	36,753 44
18 Grand Trunk Junction	500,000 00	128,205 13	3,201,200 00	843,897 43
19 Chicago & Illinois Southern	500 00			
20 Chicago & Iowa	1,428,000 00	13,730 70	2,150,000 00	20,673 00
21 Chicago & Northwestern	66,282,820 53	15,594 56	104,985,500 00	24,700 26
22 Chicago & Northern Pacific	30,000,000 00	1,660,210 29	19,549,000 00	1,081,818 37
23 Chicago & Ohio River	438,800 00	5,102 32	868,300 00	10,096 51
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern	9,289,500 00	26,604 52	12,800,500 00	36,659 79
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	76,394,505 00	16,381 96	(2)106,519,288 70	22,799 00
26 Galesburg and Rio	240,000 00	19,656 01	243,800 00	19,967 23
27 Illinois Valley & Northern	1,500,000 00	25,536 26	1,163,200 00	19,802 91
28 St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago	3,000,000 00	10,570 07	2,500,000 00	8,808 39
29 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	61,708,861 00	10,908 73	125,693,000 00	22,219 69
30 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis	2,500,000 00	21,168 50	2,095,000 00	17,739 20
31 Jacksonville Southern	1,000,000 00	8,901 71	1,120,000 00	12,644 70
32 Litchfield, Carrollton & Western	598,800 00	11,604 65	422,000 00	8,178 29
33 Louisville & St. Louis	1,000,000 00	60,975 60	247,000 00	15,060 97
34 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	46,156,000 00	38,923 93	21,500,000 00	18,131 22
35 Peoria & Bureau Valley	1,500,000 00	32,120 00		
36 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburg	26,748,044 87	46,076 01	19,585,300 00	33,737 51
37 Englewood Connecting	98,500 00	41,914 89		
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	14,892,000 00	18,258 50	30,108,750 00	36,912 90
39 Cleveland, Cine., Chicago & St. L.	30,500,000 00	28,131 31	26,963,750 00	24,869 71
42 Kankakee & Seneca	10,000 00	237 64	650 000 00	15,446 76
43 Peoria & Eastern	10,000,000 00	29,288 58	13,430,000 00	39,334 56
44 DePue, Ladd & Eastern	30,000 00	8,571 42		
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet	120,000 00	34,970 85	200,000 00	16,652 78
46 East St. Louis Connecting	20,000 00	11,111 10		
47 Electric City & Illinois (under con.) ..	2,500 00			
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	4,000,000 00	40,399 96	3,127,000 00	34,612 66
49 Gardner, Coal City & Northern	1,000,000 00	31,328 32	850,000 00	26,629 07
50 Waukegan & Southwestern	1,000,000 00	28,089 89	850,000 00	23,876 40
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge	375,515 77	12,113 41	171,000 00	5,516 12
52 Fulton County Extension	260,900 00	8,696 66	313,000 00	10,433 33
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale	50,000 00	1,908 39		
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau	350,000 00	12,152 77	350,000 00	12,152 77
55 Illinois Central	40,000,000 00	21,853 52	34,081,000 00	21,177 68
57 Chicago, Madison & Northern			2,500,000 00	11,254 16
63 South Chicago			200,000 00	12,016 80
65 Indiana & Illinois Southern	1,400,000 00	15,555 00	1,239,000 00	13,766 00
66 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western	1,000,000 00	6,577 37	4,218,950 00	31,599 70
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	3,597,800 00	30,410 81	1,216,000 00	10,542 34
68 Iowa Central	13,479,503 44	26,796 88	5,916,956 21	11,762 74
71 Lake Erie & Western	23,680,000 00	40,682 00	5,920,000 00	10,171 00
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern	50,000,000 00	58,197 05	46,266,000 00	45,393 52
73 Liverpool Coal	25,000 00	10,000 00		
74 Louisville & Nashville (4)				
75 Southeast & St. Louis	1,000,000 00	4,807 69	6,500,000 00	31,249 98
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. L., Con.	5,086,000 00	14,154 54	7,890,375 00	21,959 19
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	5,900,000 00	11,558 20	10,000,000 00	19,539 17
78 Michigan Central	18,738,204 00	69,382 77	10,000,000 00	37,027 43

Table II, A.—

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4
	CAPITAL STOCK.		BONDS.	
	Amount outstanding.	Amount per mile of road.	Amount outstanding.	Amount per mile of road.
79 Joliet & Northern Indiana	\$300,000 00	\$6,666 66	\$800,000 00	\$17,777 77
80 Mobile & Ohio (4).....	6,500,000 00	40,473 32	4,000,000 00	24,906 60
81 St. Louis & Cairo.....	30,000,000 00	59,694 36	19,784,000 00	39,366 44
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	1,500,000 00	15,060 24		
84 Chicago & State Line.....	24,062,692 15	38,334 86	15,842,000 00	25,206 85
85 Ohio & Mississippi	29,600 00	7,201 94		
87 Pawnee				
88 Pennsylvania Co. (4).....				
89 Calumet River.....	65,500 00	14,785 50	65,000 00	14,672 50
90 Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago	32,090,785 71	68,278 26	12,410,000 00	26,404 26
91 South Chicago & Southern.....	123,000 00	12,000 00	123,000 00	12,000 00
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	1,000,600 00	55,524 70	2,994,000 00	166,240 97
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	8,380,000 00	35,922 50	4,845,000 00	20,769 03
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	1,623,240 00	12,067 80	1,739,240 00	12,930 19
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	1,500,000 00	13,274 33	600,000 00	5,369 73
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	1,500,000 00	18,072 28	818,692 94	9,863 77
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	4,768,400 00	23,035 75	8,057,000 00	38,922 71
99 Belleville & Carondelet.....	500,000 00	29,069 76	485,000 00	28,197 67
100 Belleville & Eldorado.....	1,000,000 00	19,920 31	550,000 00	9,960 15
101 Belleville & Southern Illinois.....	1,705,000 00	30,230 49	1,022,000 00	18,120 56
102 Chicago, St. Louis & Paducah.....	1,000,000 00	18,691 59	2,000,000 00	37,583 18
103 St. Louis Southern.....	500,000 00	16,812 37	1,075,000 00	36,146 60
104 Carbondale & Shawneetown.....	356,600 00	20,377 14	250,000 00	14,285 71
105 St. Louis & Chicago.....	2,800,000 00	52,830 18	1,400,000 00	26,415 10
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	280,000 00	20,000 00	182,000 00	13,000 00
107 Terminal Railroad Assn. of St. L. (1)	10,681,200 00	3,357,875 10	10,000,000 00	3,125,000 00
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis (4).....				
109 St. Louis, Vandalia & Terre Haute	3,924,058 10	24,782 48	4,499,000 00	28,413 54
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	5,400,000 00	37,500 00	1,800,000 00	12,500 00
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	4,500,000 00	19,556 71	4,800,000 00	20,860 50
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	17,055,000 00	37,900 00	9,800,000 00	20,000 00
114 Wabash.....	52,000,000 00	33,661 31	78,000,000 00	50,492 00
115 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	250,000 00	5,915 76	300,000 00	7,098 91
116 Wisconsin Central Co.....	3,618,237 33	15,504 30	11,209,642 21	48,033 77
117 Chicago & Wisconsin.....	1,500,000 00	30,388 97	1,500,000 00	30,388 97
Total.....	\$847,488,296 90	\$25,573 37	\$920,683,061 73	\$27,540 44

(1). Includes stock and bonds of the St. Louis Bridge Co. and stock of the Tunnel Railroad of St. Louis.

(2). Includes \$6,330,780.84 contingent liabilities of leased lines.

(3). These figures are on the basis of one mile.

(4). Inserted to show proper relation of road following.

Continued.

5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
EQUIPMENT TRUST OBLIGATIONS.		SUMMARY.		No. of stock- holders in Ill- nois.....	No. elsewhere...	Amount of stock held in Illinois.	
Amount outstanding.	Amount per mile of road.	Total Capital Stock, Bonds and Equipment Trust Obligations.	Total amount per mile of road.				
.....	\$1,100,000 00	\$24,444 43	79
.....	80
.....	10,500,000 00	65,379 82	6	6	\$600	81
.....	49,781,000 00	99,060 80	2	1,276	6,000	83
.....	1,500,000 00	15,060 24	5	4	500	84
\$504,000 00	\$801 93	40,438,632 15	64,343 64	6	773	148,000	85
.....	29,600 00	7,201 94	56	29,600	87
.....	88
.....	130,500 00	29,458 00	3	3	300	89
.....	44,500,785 71	94,682 52	23	2,444	212,200	90
.....	246,000 00	24,000 00	5	3	500	91
.....	3,904,000 00	221,765 67	92
57,000 00	244 34	13,282,000 00	56,335 87	93
.....	3,362,480 00	24,007 99	29	70	409,720	94
.....	2,100,000 00	18,584 06	15	110	979,500	95
63,321 94	762 92	2,382,014 88	28,698 97	3	2	1,000,000	96
.....	12,825,400 00	61,958 46	11	189	2,600	98
.....	985,000 00	57,267 43	4	499,700	99
.....	1,550,000 00	29,880 46	19	24	258,100	100
.....	2,727,000 00	48,351 05	2	52	186,700	101
.....	3,000,000 00	56,074 77	6	3	899,800	102
.....	1,575,000 00	52,958 97	5	24	8,300	103
.....	606,600 00	34,662 85	94	26	50,800	104
.....	4,200,000 00	79,245 28	105
71,457 64	5,104 11	533,457 64	38,104 11	3	1,500	106
.....	20,681,200 00	6,462,875 10	107
.....	108
.....	8,423,058 10	53,196 02	185	87	342,150	109
.....	7,200,000 00	50,000 00	4	64	400	110
.....	9,300,000 00	40,417 21	12	262	560,000	111
.....	26,855,000 00	57,900 00	3	63	300	112
.....	130,000,000 00	84,153 31	Not known.	114
.....	550,000 00	13,014 67	5	3	233,100	115
.....	14,827,879 54	63,538 07	116
.....	3,000,000 00	60,777,94	3	3	300	117
\$1,449,505 41	\$644 08	\$1,769,620,864 04	\$53,757 89	1,399	30,398	\$32,480,885	

TABLE II. B.—*Capital Stock, Funded Debt and Current Liabilities for Mileage Operated, for year ending June 30, 1890.*

NAME OF COMPANY.	CAPITAL STOCK.		FUNDED DEBT.		CURRENT LIABILITIES.		SUMMARY.	
	Amount.	Amount per mile of road.	Amount.	Amount per mile of road.	Amount.	Amount per mile of road.	Total amount Capital Stock, Funded Debt and Balance Current Liabilities.	Total amount per mile of road.
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe (5).....	\$21,000,000 00	\$12,543 70	\$25,000,000 00	\$20,659 42	\$5,400 017 75	\$10,039 81	\$51,406 017 75	\$104,112 96
2 Baltimore & Ohio (2).....	1,363,450 00	3,725 25	7,714,000 00	29,189 72	3,829,027 51	57,414 88	19,907,577 51	72,053 85
3 Belt Railway of Chicago.....	200,000 00	3,385 26			767,888 45	33,218 60	40,671,350 00	47,053 86
9 Chicago & Alton.....	25,028,000 00	25,450 51	15,613,350 00	18,432 09			6,732,000 00	211,000 00
13 Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....	5,000,000 00	156,250 00	1,752,000 00	54,750 00			26,952,800 00	65,411 70
14 C. leago & Eastern Illinois.....	11,319,800 00	27,431 25	15,673,000 00	37,980 11	430,631 39	1,391 51	22,821,531 39	69,071 51
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	7,100,000 00	13,450 10	15,251,200 00	36,280 87	167,019 32	1,611 73	3,715,619 32	36,014 73
20 Chicago & Iowa.....	1,428,000 00	13,739 00	2,150,000 00	39,673 00	277,763 63	65 35	49,549,000 00	40,360 17
21 Chicago & Northwestern.....	66,282,820 53	15,394 56	101,985,500 00	24,769 26			1,316,010 30	2,742,658 66
22 Chicago & Northern Pacific.....	30,000,000 00	1,600,210 29	19,549,000 00	1,081,848 37	8,910 39	103 62	22,690,000 00	15,362 45
23 Chicago & Ohio River.....	438,800 00	3,162 32	868,300 00	10,096 51			191,360,735 70	38,152 36
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....	9,289,500 00	26,604 52	12,800,500 00	36,659 79			187,401,861 00	33,128 42
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	84,131,565 00	16,167 63	(4)10,226,288 70	21,964 73			9,282,800 00	31,108 57
29 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	61,708,861 00	10,908 73	125,655,000 00	22,219 69			137,586,737 36	82,283 90
30 Chicago, Peoria, & St. Louis.....	5,098,800 00	17,087 13	4,181,000 00	14,021 44	602,677 36	139 25	47,767,592 62	41,911 89
34 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	81,513,080 00	27,911 16	52,471,000 00	17,317 62	880,957 75	1,517 53	45,165,047 75	56,626 40
36 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	26,748,011 87	46,076 01	20,138,500 00	31,650 45			60,469,778 85	23,067 59
37 Englewood Connecting.....	98,500 00	41,914 89					36,000 00	8,571 42
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	14,892,900 00	18,258 50	30,108,750 00	36,912 90	163,387 75	200 33	620,000 00	51,623 63
39 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chi. & St. Louis.....	39,500,000 00	23,517 63	26,963,750 00	29,790 92	3,006,028 85	7,317 31	11,257,391 61	115,217 00
42 Kankakee & Seneca.....	10,000 00	237 64	650,000 00	15,446 76	310,681 53	7,383 19	1,147,514 59	66,816 78
43 Peoria and Eastern.....	10,000,000 00	29,288 58	13,430,000 00	39,331 56			1,147,514 59	18,609 64
44 DePue, Judd & Eastern.....	30,000 00	8,571 42					36,000 00	8,571 42
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....	420,000 00	31,970 85	200,000 00	16,652 78			620,000 00	51,623 63
46 East St. Louis Connecting.....	20,000 00	11,111 10			187,391 61	104,106 50	11,257,391 61	115,217 00
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	6,000,000 00	56,026 54	5,127,000 00	30,787 24			1,147,514 59	66,816 78
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	636,415 77	1,903 39	481,000 00	7,931 42			1,147,514 59	18,609 64
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....	50,000 00	10,908 73					31,573 86	3,619 51
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....	350,000 00	12,152 77	550,000 00	24,152 77	31,891 32	1,211 61	731,894 32	25,517 18
55 Illinois Central.....	50,000,000 00	21,972 32	57,362,000 00	12,378 63	1,931,277 45	850 01	107,296,277 45	17,150 96
65 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....	1,400,000 00	15,555 00	1,278,515 00	14,295 38	192,342 89	2,137 11	2,870,887 89	31,897 62

65	Indianapolis, Decatur & Western	1,000,000 00	6,557 37	4,218,450 00	31,599 70	171,261 60	1,122 95	5,390,211 60	39,280 02	66
66	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	3,297,800 00	30,440 81	1,298,419 00	10,985 85	19,691 21	129 45	4,945,010 61	11,847 11	67
67	Iowa Central	14,679,503 44	27,872 41	6,616,517 61	13,086 59	328,110 33	618 95	21,424,131 41	41,582 95	68
71	Lake Erie & Western	23,680,000 00	10,682 00	5,920,000 00	10,171 00	188,916 21	321 61	29,788,916 21	51,177 61	72
72	Lake Shore & Michigan Southern	50,000,000 00	58,197 05	16,265,000 00	45,393 52			66,265,000 00	103,560 52	73
73	Louisville & Nashville (3)	1,000,000 00	1,807 69	6,500,000 00	31,219 98			7,500,000 00	96,057 67	74
76	Louisville, Evansville & St. L. Consol.	5,086,000 00	17,600 47	7,800,375 00	27,305 17	341,511 34	1,112 61	13,297,895 32	46,018 25	75
77	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	5,900,000 00	11,558 26	10,000,000 00	10,590 17	883,623 16	1,731 03	16,783,623 16	32,879 46	76
78	Michigan Central	43,730,524 00	27,420 81	11,684,111 02	28,428 15			55,414,625 02	75,457 96	77
80	Mobility & Ohio	6,500,000 00	10,172 22	1,000,000 00	21,906 60			7,500,000 00	65,379 92	78
82	New York, Chicago & St. Louis	30,000,000 00	40,173 30	19,784,000 00	28,621 42			49,784,000 00	97,135 72	79
87	Ohio & Mississippi	21,002,692 15	58,331 86	16,346,000 00	26,008 78	551,005 85	843 31	40,888,697 85	95,186 95	80
87	Pawnee	25,600 00	7,291 91					29,600 00	7,201 94	81
88	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago)	32,090,785 71	68,278 25	12,440,000 00	26,401 26			44,500,785 71	94,682 52	82
92	Peoria & Southern	123,000 00	12,000 00	123,000 00	12,000 00			246,000 00	24,000 00	83
93	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	1,000,000 00	35,521 70	2,494,000 00	106,216 97			3,494,000 00	221,765 67	84
94	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	8,380,000 00	56,053 86	4,202,000 00	21,090 23	297,797 35	1,132 16	13,582,797 35	58,296 25	85
95	Rock Island & Kansas City	1,623,240 00	12,067 80	1,733,210 00	12,939 19	31,571 20	234 73	3,394,651 20	25,232 72	86
96	St. Louis, Alton & Springfield	1,500,000 00	13,271 33	600,000 00	3,500 73			2,100,000 00	18,381 06	87
98	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	1,500,000 00	18,072 25	882,011 88	10,626 69	71,462 40	801 00	2,384,477 28	29,539 97	88
105	St. Louis & Chicago	9,830,000 00	24,773 60	13,552,000 00	31,350 19			23,382,000 00	54,123 79	89
106	St. Louis & Peoria	2,800,000 00	52,830 18	1,400,000 00	26,115 10			4,200,000 00	73,245 28	90
107	Terminal R. R. Ass'n of St. Louis (4)	280,000 00	20,000 00	2,53,157 67	18,104 11	95,215 52	6,801 13	628,673 49	14,965 24	91
108	Terre Haute & Indianapolis	10,681,200 00	3,337,875 10	10,000,000 00	3,125,000 00	23,163 19	7,219 74	20,704,303 19	470,094 84	92
110	Terre Haute & Peoria	3,421,058 10	21,752 48	4,499,000 00	28,413 54			8,123,658 10	53,196 02	93
111	Toledo, Peoria & Western	5,400,000 00	37,500 00	1,800,000 00	12,500 00	1,333 37	9 26	7,201,333 37	50,009 26	94
112	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	4,500,000 00	19,556 71	4,800,000 00	20,860 50	185,987 31	808 29	9,185,987 31	41,225 50	95
114	Wabash	17,055,000 00	37,900 00	9,800,000 00	20,000 00	16,781 19	37 29	26,871,781 19	57,937 29	96
115	Wabash, Chester & Western	52,000,000 00	33,661 31	78,000,000 00	50,492 00			130,000,000 00	84,133 31	97
116	Wisconsin Central Co.	250,000 00	5,915 76	300,000 00	7,068 91	13,454 75	318 57	563,454 75	13,333 01	98
Totals		16,949,612 24	62,046 11	7,718,237 33	19,116 25	116,325 72	288 56	21,781,295 26	94,480 95	99
		\$830,784,536 78	\$21,789 66	\$997,407,439 64	\$26,180 72	\$27,505,798 37	\$1,506 80	\$1,955,698,274 79	\$52,783 18	

(1) Includes stock and bonds of St. Louis Bridge Co. and stock of Tunnel Railroad of St. Louis.

(2) Represents stock, bonds, etc., of B. & O. & C. R. R.

(3) Represents stock, bonds, etc., of S. E. & St. L. Ry.

(4) Includes \$6,329,789.84 contingent liabilities of leased lines.

(5) Represents stocks, bonds, etc., of C., S. Fe & C. R. L., A., T. & S. Fe R. R. in Chicago, and Miss. River R. R. & Toll Bridge Co.

TABLE III. *Income Account—Whole Line,*

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2
	Gross earnings from operation.	Operating expenses.
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$4,561,391 97	\$3,013,960 10
5 Baltimore & Ohio.....	2,588,523 18	2,141,558 08
7 Belt Railway of Chicago.....	613,719 58	409,942 18
8 Centralia & Chester.....	5,186 89	5,992 50
9 Chicago & Alton.....	8,063,498 50	4,870,785 96
12 Chicago & Atlantic.....	2,678,926 65	1,912,953 37
13 Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....	5,040 69	4,628 62
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	2,911,722 65	1,632,442 20
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	3,956,315 43	2,872,120 19
20 Chicago & Iowa.....	476,533 10	254,290 47
21 Chicago & Northwestern.....	27,133,180 94	17,055,856 33
23 Chicago & Ohio River.....	70,319 26	60,534 29
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....	1,942,340 49	1,225,004 55
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	28,238,424 92	17,306,244 80
29 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	26,473,486 44	16,110,829 33
30 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	683,196 03	493,600 42
34 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	17,915,916 32	12,004,405 14
36 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	6,483,726 69	4,769,374 50
37 Englewood Connecting.....	3,124 78	1,899 03
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	4,225,664 81	3,178,885 34
39 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	11,131,259 76	7,131,184 57
42 Kankakee & Seneca.....	63,881 95	62,565 97
43 Peoria & Eastern.....	719,142 01	497,802 18
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....	80,481 78	55,288 06
46 East St. Louis Connecting.....	149,703 82	140,693 17
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	459,172 59	297,845 07
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	47,059 43	47,763 69
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....	83,788 30	66,464 54
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....	14,018 55	13,575 25
55 Illinois Central.....	14,365,231 38	8,772,998 18
65 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....	83,713 36	61,171 24
66 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	436,397 25	321,669 16
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	326,887 38	255,349 81
68 Iowa Central.....	1,604,366 69	1,176,348 35
71 Lake Erie & Western.....	2,500,097 86	1,453,903 17
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	20,462,638 87	13,357,872 29
74 Louisville & Nashville.....	1,175,540 40	778,991 87
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....	1,145,063 97	707,608 13
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	2,583,610 50	1,648,061 27
78 Michigan Central.....	14,340,498 45	10,968,789 74
80 Mobile & Ohio.....	727,094 91	538,100 69
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	5,548,086 78	4,220,762 49
85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	4,244,746 65	2,770,311 48
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	774,896 22	622,727 87
87 Pawnee.....	3,274 80	2,044 39
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago).....	11,650,600 73	7,282,155 98
92 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chicago & Southern).....	28,193 15	22,102 57
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	462,663 80	276,498 01
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	178,912 00	416,233 30
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	247,442 29	170,645 36
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	656,026 00	309,784 82
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	115,711 93	107,527 05
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	1,207,362 80	649,116 09
106 St. Louis & Chicago.....	62,710 60	50,954 97
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	9,009 24	12,550 20
107 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	1,739,443 96	685,236 14
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	1,832,391 26	1,174,240 98
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	340,277 73	246,725 17
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	904,980 50	653,591 91
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	1,460,049 88	953,697 79
114 Wabash.....	13,352,872 40	9,673,221 18
115 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	61,327 26	46,677 60
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	4,780,314 67	2,770,157 18
Totals.....	\$262,091,753 14	\$170,309,077 33

(1) Deficit from operation.

for year ending June 30, 1890.

3	4	5	6	7	8	
INCOME FROM OTHER SOURCES.					Total income.	
Income from operation.	Interest on bonds owned.	Dividends on stocks owned.	Miscellaneous income less expenses.	Total income from other sources.		
\$1,550,431 83			\$3,285 83	\$3,285 83	\$1,553,717 66	1
446,965 10					446,965 10	5
233,777 40					233,777 40	7
(1) 805 91					(1) 805 91	8
3,192,712 54	\$233,200 00	\$32,032 00	7,949 28	273,271 28	3,465,983 82	9
765,973 2		30,000 00	1,349 83	31,349 83	797,323 11	12
412 07					412 07	13
1,279,280 45	742 67	26,061 85	5,187 57	31,992 09	1,311,272 54	14
1,084,195 24			100,175 51	100,175 51	1,184,370 75	17
222,242 63					222,242 63	20
10,377,324 61	458 34	285,243 00	124,178 36	409,879 70	10,787,204 31	21
9,784 97					9,784 97	23
717,335 94					717,335 94	24
10,932,180 12	752,435 50		201,443 43	1,043,878 93	11,976,659 05	25
10,062,657 11	32,400 97	58,151 00	116,677 64	207,229 61	10,269,886 72	29
189,595 61			65,853 79	65,853 79	255,449 40	30
5,911,511 18	4,890 00	52,110 00	1,436,606 79	1,493,606 79	7,405,117 97	31
1,714,352 19			12,013 24	12,013 24	1,726,365 43	36
1,224 85					1,224 85	37
1,046,779 47					1,046,779 47	38
3,999,775 19	36,820 00	19,899 52	390 00	57,109 52	4,056,884 71	39
1,315 98					1,315 98	42
221,339 83					221,339 83	43
25,193 72			75 00	75 00	25,268 72	45
9,010 65			3,382 75	3,382 75	12,393 40	46
161,327 52			85,766 67	85,766 67	247,094 19	48
(1) 704 26					(1) 704 26	51
17,323 76					17,323 76	53
443 30					443 30	54
5,592,233 20	529,289 47	181,380 32	69,832 47	780,502 26	6,372,735 46	55
22,542 12					22,542 12	65
114,728 09					114,728 09	66
71,537 57					71,537 57	67
428,018 34			471 50	471 50	428,489 84	68
1,046,194 69					1,046,194 69	71
7,104,766 58	45,200 00	217,193 75	286,581 56	549,065 25	7,653,831 83	72
396,548 53					396,548 53	74
437,455 84			24,348 43	24,348 43	461,804 27	76
935,549 23		30,000 00		30,000 00	965,549 23	77
4,271,708 71	460 00	42,015 50	12,335 20	54,810 70	4,326,519 41	78
188,694 22					188,694 22	80
1,327,324 29			8,993 29	8,993 29	1,336,317 58	83
1,444,435 17			711 90	711 90	1,445,147 07	85
149,168 35					149,168 35	86
670 41					670 41	87
4,367,144 75			145,179 81	145,179 81	4,512,324 56	88
5,790 58					5,790 58	88
186,165 79					186,165 79	92
362,678 70					362,678 70	93
76,796 43			29,525 72	29,525 72	106,322 65	94
346,241 18		640 00	4,000 00	4,640 00	350,881 18	95
8,181 88					8,181 88	96
558,186 71			444,301 57	444,301 57	1,002,488 28	98
11,755 63					11,755 63	105
(1) 3,540 96					(1) 3,540 96	106
1,054,207 82			185,912 50	185,912 50	1,240,120 32	107
658,750 28					658,750 28	108
93,552 56					93,552 56	110
251,388 59			33,850 00	33,850 00	285,238 59	111
506,352 09					506,352 09	112
3,679,651 22		51,000 00	221,434 51	272,434 51	3,952,085 73	114
14,649 66					14,649 66	115
1,810,187 49			23 59	23 59	1,810,211 08	116
\$91,697,726 74	\$1,636,076 95	\$1,025,726 94	\$3,721,837 68	\$6,383,641 57	\$98,081,366 31	

TABLE III. *Income Account—Whole Line,*

NAME OF COMPANY.	9	10
	DEDUCTIONS	
	Interest on funded debt, <i>accrued.</i>	Interest on interest bearing current liabilities <i>accrued</i> not otherwise provided for.
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	5901,480 00	
5 Baltimore & Ohio.....	461,640 00	8328,016 28
7 Belt Railway of Chicago.....		
8 Centralia & Chester.....		
9 Chicago & Alton.....	821,296 88	
12 Chicago & Atlantic.....	13,605 36	
13 Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....	7,300 00	
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	768,662 83	2,851 45
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	659,979 97	50,958 66
20 Chicago & Iowa.....	172,000 00	
21 Chicago & Northwestern.....	5,803,688 38	
23 Chicago & Ohio River.....	14,270 00	
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....	695,075 00	
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	5,532,620 17	
29 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	7,214,154 84	
30 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	75,000 00	280 00
34 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	2,677,225 00	
35 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	1,095,782 79	
37 Englewood Connecting.....		
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	54,589 79	
39 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	1,439,081 02	
42 Kankakee & Seneca.....	39,000 00	
43 Peoria & Eastern.....	206,100 00	
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....	14,000 00	
46 East St. Louis Connecting.....		14,656 96
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	171,350 00	
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	33,880 00	
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....		
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....	21,000 00	
55 Illinois Central.....	1,464,925 00	63,631 59
65 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....		1,846 73
66 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	132,880 00	795 83
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	51,430 00	
68 Iowa Central.....	296,796 76	4,784 97
71 Lake Erie & Western.....	296,000 00	
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	3,234,765 00	
74 Louisville & Nashville.....	300,000 00	
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, (Consolidated).....	329,648 38	
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	579,767 93	46,722 29
78 Michigan Central.....	1,073,800 00	
80 Mobile & Ohio.....	169,000 00	
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	786,660 00	
85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	1,054,245 31	921 12
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	282,099 28	
87 Pawnee.....		
88 Pennsylvania Co., (Op. Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago).....		
89 Pennsylvania Co., (Op. South Chicago & Southern).....		
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	157,155 00	
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	275,157 50	11,031 02
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	61,275 28	
95 Rock Island and Peoria.....	12,000 00	
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....		
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	469,000 00	
105 St. Louis & Chicago.....	84,000 00	
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	6,066 67	
107 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	168,750 00	
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....		
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	90,000 00	
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	180,210 00	42,128 97

for year ending June 30, 1890.—Continued.

11	12	13	14	15	16	
FROM INCOME.			Total deduc- tions from income.	Net income.	Net deficit.	
Rental of leased lines.	Taxes.	Other deductions.				
\$700,934 22	\$180,413 19		\$1,782,827 41		\$229,109 75	1
	78,672 00		871,328 28		424,363 18	5
100,005 00	28,000 00		128,005 00	\$105,772 40	805 91	7
						8
670,316 57	243,198 67	\$92,387 60	1,827,199 72	1,638,784 10		9
171,821 85	77,823 86		263,251 07	534,072 04		12
			7,300 00		6,887 93	13
200,060 74	98,927 26		1,070,502 28	240,770 26		14
164,560 00	123,421 54		998,920 17	185,450 58		17
	16,556 87	4,325 77	192,882 64	29,359 99		20
	758,043 04	202,570 00	6,764,301 42	4,022,902 89		21
	7,752 78		22,022 78		12,237 81	23
101,454 76	80,421 22	2,109 18	879,060 16		161,724 22	24
216,346 90	1,191,624 88	785,332 50	7,725,924 45	4,250,134 60		25
	830,046 55		8,044,201 39	2,225,685 33		29
20,709 05	16,737 61		112,786 66	142,662 74		30
2,000,885 74	712,128 34		5,120,239 08	1,984,878 89		34
55,103 88	190,818 88	192,017 02	1,533,722 57	192,642 86		36
	1,813 33		1,813 33		588 48	37
(1) 296,234 87	87,000 00		437,824 66	608,954 81		38
744,584 76	330,350 00	11,754 04	2,528,769 82	1,528,111 89		39
	6,496 59		45,496 59		44,180 61	42
23,054 67	9,000 00	110 67	238,265 34		16,925 51	43
	3,135 72		17,135 72	8,133 00		45
23,316 71	2,100 54		40,074 21		27,680 81	46
57,397 24	13,639 35	114 00	212,500 59	4,593 60		48
	2,589 81		36,469 81		37,174 07	51
5,499 98	5,030 60		10,530 58	6,793 18		53
		402 92	21,402 92		20,959 62	54
1,506,955 48	709,331 81	213,415 00	3,958,258 97	2,414,176 49		55
	7,257 30	75 00	9,179 03	13,363 09		65
	22,200 00	2,694 56	158,570 39		43,842 30	66
	11,055 52	2,100 00	61,585 52	6,952 05		67
42,935 16	59,357 23		403,874 12	21,615 72		68
	92,382 44	441,201 53	829,583 97	216,610 72		71
541,009 22	503,116 61	53,350 00	4,332,540 83	3,321,291 00		72
	12,929 70		342,929 70	53,618 83		74
17,590 90	45,486 34		392,695 62	69,108 65		76
152,914 05	84,277 40		863,681 67	101,867 56		77
456,233 92	345,162 35	962,886 52	2,808,082 79	1,518,436 62		78
	28,755 58	43,364 79	232,120 37		43,426 15	80
94,512 12	135,962 20		1,017,104 32	319,213 26		83
	142,161 39	62,303 53	1,259,631 35	185,515 72		85
22,793 26	31,354 35		336,246 89		187,078 54	86
181 00			181 00	489 41		87
3,137,633 73	355,183 53		3,492,817 56	1,019,507 00		88
1,123 33	4,067 25		5,790 58			88
	22,936 45		180,091 45	6,074 34		92
28,500 00	40,228 12	33,000 00	387,919 64		25,240 91	93
	12,110 01		73,385 29	32,937 36		94
	23,296 44		65,296 44	285,584 74		95
	8,653 21		8,653 21		470 53	96
341,318 77	36,921 65	137,748 26	984,983 68	17,499 60		98
	3,724 69		87,729 69		75,974 06	105
	800 00	274 50	7,141 17		10,682 13	106
718,108 77	81,079 05	62,245 70	1,030,183 52	209,336 80		107
549,897 58	54,720 60		549,897 58	108,852 90		108
	11,996 64		104,996 64		11,444 08	110
57,602 76	34,836 45		284,808 18	430 41		111

Table III—

NAME OF COMPANY.	9	10
	DEDUCTIONS	
	Interest on funded debt, <i>accrued.</i>	Interest on interest bear- ing current liabilities <i>accrued</i> not otherwise provided for.
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City		
114 Wabash	\$2,801,920 82	
115 Wabash, Chester & Western	15,000 00	
116 Wisconsin Central Lines		
Totals	\$43,289,334 96	\$538,628 87

Continued.

11	12	13	14	15	16	
FROM INCOME.						
Rental of leased lines.	Taxes.	Other deductions.	Total deduc- tions from income.	Net income.	Net deficit.	
.....	\$36,000 00	\$36,000 00	\$470,352 09	112
\$60,900 00	396,490 54	\$454,982 87	3,714,294 23	237,791 50	114
.....	3,025 36	18,025 36	\$3,375 70	115
527,540 22	35,321 04	562,861 26	1,247,349 82	116
\$13,810,097 01	\$8,467,080 58	\$3,763,766 05	\$69,868,907 47	\$29,591,584 84	\$1,384,172 13	

(1) Includes rent of equipment.

(2) Paid by lessor company.

TABLE III. *Income Account.—Whole Line.*

NAME OF COMPANY.	17	18	19	20	21
	DIVIDENDS DECLARED.				Other payments from net income.
	PREFERR'D STOCK		COMMON STOCK.		
	Amount.	Rate per cent.	Amount.	Rate per cent.	
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe					
5 Baltimore & Ohio					
7 Belt Railway of Chicago					
8 Centralla & Chester					
9 Chicago & Alton	\$278,360 00	8.	\$1,129,368 00	8.	
12 Chicago & Atlantic					
13 Chicago & Calumet Terminal					
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois	133,956 00	3.			
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk					\$185,450 58
20 Chicago & Iowa					
21 Chicago & Northwestern	1,562,785 00	7.	1,882,194 00	6.	
23 Chicago & Ohio River					
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern			3,437,667 00	4.5	
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy					
29 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	1,296,829 00	12.5 13.5			
30 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis					
34 Chicago, Rock I-land & Pacific			1,846,229 00	4.	
36 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh					
37 Englewood Connecting					
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City					
42 CleveInd, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. L.	500,000 00	5.	820,000 00	4.	
43 Kankakee & Seneca					15 55
43 Peoria & Eastern					
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet					4,000 00
46 East St. Louis Connecting					
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern					
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge					
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale					
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau					
55 Illinois Central			2,400,000 00	6.	
65 Indiana & Illinois Southern					
66 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western					
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa					\$1,586 75
68 Iowa Central					
74 Lake Erie & Western	355,200 00	3.			
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern			2,473,325 00	5.	
74 Louisville & Nashville					
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. L., Con					
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago					
78 Michigan Central			936,910 20	5.	430,430 86
80 Mobile & Ohio					
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis					99,954 25
85 Ohio & Mississippi					
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western					
87 Pawnee					
88 Penn. Co. (Op. Pitts., Ft. W. & Chi.)					
88 Penn. Co. (Op. So. Chi. & Southern)					
92 Peoria & Pekin Union					
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville					
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City					
95 Rock Island & Peoria			75,000 00	5.	
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield					
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute					
105 St. Louis & Chicago					
106 St. Louis & Peoria					
107 Terminal Railroad Assoc. of St. Louis					
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis					
110 Terre Haute & Peoria					

for year ending June 30, 1890—Continued.

22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
Total payments from net income.	Surplus for year ending June 30, 1890	Deficit for year ending June 30, 1890	Surplus on June 30, 1889.	Deficit on June 30, 1889.	Balance surplus car- ried for- ward to next year.	Balance deficit car- ried forward to next year.	
.....	\$220,109 75	\$1,179,231 73	\$1,408,341 48	1
.....	421,363 18	3,941,726 23	4,366,689 41	5
.....	105,772 40	602,049 38	496,267 98	7
.....	805 91	805 91	8
\$1,407,728 00	231,056 10	\$2,493,242 14	(182,358,259 50	9
.....	(11573,045 29	12
.....	6,887 93	6,887 93	13
133,956 00	106,814 26	55,101 39	(51,063,381 35	14
185,450 58	17
.....	29,359 99	45,952 65	75,312 64	20
3,444,979 00	577,923 89	5,042,579 52	(105,304,843 51	21
.....	12,237 81	17,750 33	29,988 14	23
.....	161,724 22	501,804 58	663,528 80	24
3,437,667 00	812,467 60	9,279,141 57	10,091,609 17	25
1,296,829 00	928,856 33	1,538,682 44	(102,419,514 55	29
.....	142,662 74	30
1,846,229 00	142,662 74	536,598 50	397,948 61	34
.....	138,649 89	933,408 18	740,765 32	36
.....	192,642 86	588 48	37
.....	588 48	(3)	608,954 81	38
1,320,000 00	608,954 81	149,538 52	(9) 633,717 40	39
15 55	208,114 89	42
.....	44,196 16	244,259 50	288,455 66	43
1,000 00	16,925 51	16,925 51	45
.....	1,133 00	30,971 39	35,104 39	46
.....	27,680 81	64,320 81	36,610 03	48
.....	4,593 60	3,205 01	7,798 64	51
.....	37,174 07	29,979 19	67,153 26	53
.....	6,793 18	11,671 33	18,464 51	54
2,400,000 00	20,959 62	20,959 62	55
.....	14,476 49	5,890,527 10	5,905,003 59	56
.....	13,363 09	21,843 44	35,206 53	57
.....	43,842 30	70,472 66	114,314 96	66
81,586 75	74,634 70	2,898,176 44	2,972,811 14	67
.....	24,615 72	20,773 36	68
355,200 00	138,589 28	391,640 28	3,842 36	71
2,473,825 00	847,966 00	10,833,821 94	253,051 00	72
.....	53,618 83	30,272 74	11,681,787 94	74
.....	69,108 65	(14) 807,423 47	23,346 09	76
.....	101,867 56	(131,135,985 06	876,532 12	77
1,367,341 06	151,095 56	7,125,828 38	1,237,852 62	78
.....	49,426 15	68,879 63	(6) 6,879,181 34	80
99,954 25	(7) 225,304 76	1,182 71	112,305 78	83
.....	185,515 72	237,919 34	224,122 05	85
.....	187,078 54	327,467 12	423,435 06	514,545 06	86
.....	184 41	87
.....	1,019,507 00	103,828 69	615,678 31	88
.....	5,985 31	5,985 34	88
.....	6,071 34	70,690 81	76,765 15	92
.....	25,210 94	181,864 02	156,623 08	93
.....	32,937 36	16,228 34	49,165 70	94
75,000 00	210,584 74	455,678 21	646,262 98	95
.....	470 33	14,056 65	13,586 32	96
.....	17,499 60	(8) 283,938 97	301,438 57	98
.....	75,974 06	81,434 99	157,409 05	105
.....	10,682 13	10,682 13	106
.....	209,936 50	55,734 78	156,202 02	107
.....	108,852 90	16,339 68	125,192 58	108
.....	11,444 08	92,425 04	103,869 12	110

Table III—

NAME OF COMPANY.	17	18	19	20	21
	DIVIDENDS DECLARED.				Other payments from net income.
	PREFERR'D STOCK		COMMON STOCK.		
	Amount.	Rate per cent.	Amount.	Rate per cent.	
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western					
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City					(12)\$470,352 09
114 Wabash.					
115 Wabash, Chester & Western.....					
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....					
Totals.....	\$4,127,130 00	\$15,000,693 20	\$1,271,790 08

(1) Less \$315,659.90 charged to income account C., St. P., M. & O. R. R.

(2) Includes \$133,862.56, balance improvement account.

(3) \$731,358.29 created during construction period, charged to cost of road.

(4) Surplus on June 30, 1890, less \$366,038.74 charged to permanent improvements.

(5) Includes \$901,465.70, increase in ledger value of other stocks owned.

(6) Less accrued interest, \$397,742.60, not heretofore deducted.

(7) Includes \$6,045.75 discount on bonds of company purchased.

(8) Difference between amount here reported and amount reported in last year's report as surplus on June 30, 1889, is caused by a change in the method of auditing taxes.

Continued.

22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
Total payments from net income.	Surplus for year ending June 30, 1889	Deficit for year ending June 30, 1890	Surplus on June 30, 1889.	Deficit on June 30, 1889.	Balance surplus car- ried for- ward to next year.	Balance deficit car- ried forward to next year.	
.....	(2)\$134,292 97			\$320,280 31	\$185,987 34	111
\$470,352 09	237,791 50				\$237,791 50		112
.....		\$3,375 70		75,286 79		78,662 49	114
.....	1,247,349 82				1,247,349 82		115
							116
\$20,399,613 28	\$9,584,089 56	\$1,597,411 66	\$46,478,192 55	\$12,436,999 22	\$53,966,169 38	\$12,761,279 12	

(9) Less net deductions for year, \$23,936.01.

(10) Less net deductions for year, \$48,024.22.

(11) Surplus to balance, \$38,973.16.

(12) Turned over to contractors.

(13) Does not include \$123,397.49, deductions for year.

(14) Includes \$384,501.85 additions during current year.

TABLE IV.—Total Earnings from Operation in Illinois, for year ending June 30, 1890.

NAME OF COMPANY.	PASSENGER DEPARTMENT.					
	1	2	3	4	5	Total passenger department.
	From passengers.	From mails.	From express and extra baggage.	From other sources.		
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	\$376,015 48	\$22,427 49	\$63,163 76	\$947 13	\$462,553 86	1
2 Baltimore and Ohio	30,959 72	3,261 02	2,478 35	281 05	36,980 74	2
3 Belt Railway of Chicago	2,096 86	261 92	249 67	2,608 45	3
4 Centralia & Chester	1,702,941 03	130,993 09	145,886 42	1,979,820 54	4
5 Chicago & Alton	33,504 24	1,334 26	3,591 09	827 46	39,257 05	5
6 Chicago & Atlantic	6
7 Chicago & Calumet Terminal	410,731 34	21,972 41	38,037 25	3,878 14	474,619 14	7
8 Chicago & Eastern Illinois	126,898 36	4,118 02	16,898 70	129 00	148,035 68	8
9 Chicago & Grand Trunk	116,274 13	15,836 82	24,999 96	157,110 41	9
10 Chicago & Northwestern	873,736 90	80,930 20	67,871 00	2,565 14	1,025,103 84	10
11 Chicago & Ohio River	12,171 55	3,089 75	301 83	16,163 13	11
12 Chicago, Burlington & Northern	87,963 46	8,431 03	7,000 40	155 17	103,540 06	12
13 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	1,477,252 75	20,873 89	169,740 44	9,559 99	1,897,427 07	13
14 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	336,168 13	50,651 55	40,493 61	12,007 11	438,720 40	14
15 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis	183,655 29	17,570 91	27,249 96	238,176 16	15
16 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	(9471,839 52	(940,352 89	(940,246 50	(911,995 29	(9561,501 11	16
17 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh	59,876 59	11,383 02	6,338 88	470 12	78,068 61	17
18 Englewood Connecting	18
19 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	186,683 06	14,150 58	17,995 16	43,062 21	261,891 01	19
20 Cleveland Cincinnati Chicago & St. Louis	1,021,617 40	108,151 81	86,341 54	1,216,110 75	20
21 Kansas & Nebraska	11,290 36	1,892 20	2,400 00	15,582 56	21
22 Peoria & Eastern	74,008 65	8,003 90	7,402 62	89,415 17	22
23 East St. Louis & Carondelet	23
24 East St. Louis Connecting	24
25 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	11,357 93	827 76	3,500 00	15,685 69	25
26 Fulton County Narrow Gauge	13,776 41	2,512 06	2,880 70	19,470 13	26
27 Grand Tower & Carbondale	9,458 33	1,219 79	108 56	10,166 68	27
28 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau	1,982 63	376 74	105 00	2,460 37	28
29 Illinois Central	1,805,992 19	188,269 85	297,315 11	67,480 27	2,358,067 42	29
30 Indiana & Illinois Southern	1,492 33	2,286 80	1,063 49	13,354 61	30
31 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western	63,702 36	8,243 64	9,703 71	331 36	82,644 21	31

67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	4, 259 63	2, 719 44	11, 880 79	67
68	Iowa Central	6, 875 61	3, 169 82	48, 541 61	68
69	Iowa Erie & Western	4, 466 26	9, 170 27	157, 736 69	71
70	Lake Erie & Michigan Southern	131, 295 99	13, 970 43	151, 225 68	72
71	Lake Shore	124, 498 21	9, 157 01	349, 793 16	73
72	Louisville & Nashville	296, 044 71	25, 834 68	62, 346 45	74
73	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis Consolidated	54, 354 22	4, 000 00	29, 346 77	77
74	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	21, 957 55	4, 461 52	173, 692 90	78
75	Michigan Central	3, 787 17	5, 298 53	158, 942 92	83
76	Mobile & Ohio	3, 198 72	23, 444 85	825, 110 17	85
77	New York, Chicago & St. Louis	11, 884 55	69, 843 70	116, 402 55	86
78	Ohio & Mississippi	677, 114 27	7, 067 36	102, 753 13	88
79	Ohio, Indiana & Western	100, 673 36	7, 219 69	16, 005 86	92
80	Pawnee	945 49	3, 178 03	94
81	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago)	87, 169 35	1, 225 20	138, 506 10	95
82	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chicago & Southern)	13, 757 51	13, 834 60	42, 078 14	96
83	Peoria & Pekin Union	14, 773 66	2, 400 00	253, 807 34	98
84	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	147, 144 22	7, 507 30	23, 588 97	105
85	Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City	2, 667 61	240 00	213, 586 95	107
86	Rock Island & Peoria	111, 332 58	4, 858 58	664, 814 31	108
87	St. Louis, Alton & Springfield	32, 731 79	4, 478 67	97, 281 67	110
88	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	211, 323 21	21, 228 00	297, 881 56	111
89	St. Louis & Chicago	20, 520 61	883 16	62, 946 69	112
90	St. Louis & Peoria	173, 861 87	21, 225 08	1, 313, 451 87	114
91	Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis	441, 472 08	16, 787 53	21, 115 52	115
92	Terre Haute & Indianapolis	79, 752 73	46, 787 53	263, 814 36	116
93	Terre Haute & Peoria	258, 062 17	7, 825 06
94	Toledo, Peoria & Western	52, 613 37	33, 047 05
95	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	1, 059, 120 89	3, 600 00
96	Wabash	17, 198 66	136, 681 61
97	Wabash, Chester & Western	17, 198 66	1, 020 43
98	Wisconsin Central Lines	159, 142 19	8, 961 31
99	Totals	\$14, 211, 044 93	\$1, 479, 541 67	\$193, 431 79	\$17, 463, 866 77

(1) Estimated by office.

67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	181,756 97	181,756 97	931,799 94	193,637 76
68	Iowa Central	283,136 06	283,136 06	931,799 94	68
71	Lake Erie & Western	539,170 47	539,170 47	500,519 94	71
72	Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	295,582 96	295,582 96	380,530 96	72
74	Louisville & Nashville.....	664,367 52	664,367 52	1,019,546 26	74
76	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....	121,938 84	121,938 84	100,896 64	76
77	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	33,601 52	33,601 52	95,543 42	77
78	Michigan Central	335,206 86	335,206 86	508,849 76	78
80	Mobile & Ohio	184,307 35	184,307 35	797,091 01	80
83	New York, Chicago & St. Louis	366,525 45	366,525 45	100,731 12	83
85	Ohio & Mississippi.....	1,233,325 92	1,233,325 92	2,050,442 39	85
86	Ohio, Indiana & Western	160,864 39	160,864 39	274,456 94	86
87	Pawnee	2,265 24	2,265 24	3,274 80	87
88	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago).....	269,722 57	269,722 57	306,393 92	88
88	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chicago & Southern).....	11,677 24	11,677 24	28,193 15	88
92	Peoria & Pekin Union	40,819 19	40,819 19	405,858 75	92
93	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	397,280 39	397,280 39	662,075 20	93
94	Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	6,556 29	6,556 29	9,777 68	94
95	Rock Island & Peoria.....	526,600 07	526,600 07	636,026 00	95
96	Rock Island & Springfield.....	72,884 57	72,884 57	115,711 93	96
98	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	926,658 82	926,658 82	1,207,592 80	98
105	St. Louis & Chicago.....	36,006 15	36,006 15	62,740 60	105
106	St. Louis & Peoria.....	8,725 02	8,725 02	9,009 24	106
107	Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis	565,643 13	565,643 13	815,750 08	107
108	Terre Haute & Indianapolis	1,163,661 39	1,163,661 39	1,832,991 26	108
110	Terre Haute & Peoria.....	240,161 04	240,161 04	340,277 73	110
111	Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	583,131 79	583,131 79	904,980 50	111
112	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	371,451 50	371,451 50	438,044 96	112
114	Wabash.....	3,086,017 51	3,137,505 60	4,450,357 47	114
115	Wabash, Chester & Western.....	40,211 74	40,211 74	61,327 26	115
116	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	408,332 51	111,070 64	614,991 43	116
Totals.....		\$43,856,706 08	\$44,135,567 31	\$3,490,253 31	
			\$1,862,789 23		

(1) Estimated by office.

TABLE V. *Total Earnings and Income in Illinois for year ending June 30, 1890.*

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	5
	Gross earnings from operation.	Interest on bonds owned.	Dividends on stocks owned.	Miscellaneous income less expenses.	Total earnings and income in Illinois.
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$2,520,668 77			\$2,033 10	\$2,522,701 87
5 Baltimore & Ohio.....	136,674 01				136,674 01
7 Belt Railway of Chicago.....	643,719 58				643,719 58
8 Centralia & Chester.....	5,186 80				5,186 80
9 Chicago & Alton.....	6,034,688 80	\$235,200 00		7,949 28	6,307,900 08
12 Chicago & Atlantic.....	187,524 86			7,94 49	189,719 35
13 Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....	4,251 62				4,251 62
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	1,963,495 52	536 80	26,641 82	1,093 50	1,991,187 67
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	432,901 32			10,017 55	442,918 87
20 Chicago & Iowa.....	476,533 10				476,533 10
21 Chicago & Northwestern.....	3,784,023 25	63 22	29,827 70	26,646 24	3,840,540 41
23 Chicago & Ohio River.....	70,319 25				70,319 25
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....	535,953 87				535,953 87
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	6,777,221 98				6,777,221 98
29 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,487,809 03	1,820 93	3,258 00	6,557 28	1,499,445 25
30 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	683,196 03			65,823 70	749,019 82
31 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	1,939,794 38	(1) 520 58	(1) 5,643 51	(1) 153,584 51	(2) 2,101,551 96
35 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	285,283 97				285,283 97
37 Cleveland, Cuyahoga & Western.....	3,124 78				3,124 78
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	1,300,725 92				1,300,725 92
39 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	4,000,642 96	13,520 80	7,328 30	143 63	4,124,636 38
42 Kanabec & Seneca.....	65,881 95				65,881 95
43 Iowa & Eastern.....	257,093 26				257,093 26
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....	80,481 88				80,481 88
46 East St. Louis Connecting.....	143,703 82			75 00	143,780 57
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	440,732 94			3,382 75	444,115 69
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	47,059 43			65,405 66	112,465 09
52 Grand Tower & Carbonate.....	83,788 30				83,788 30
53 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....	14,018 55				14,018 55
55 Illinois Cen. ral.....	9,173,606 73	231,839 26	181,380 32	69,832 47	9,656,658 78
56 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....	50,228 01				50,228 01
60 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	218,138 62				218,138 62
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	133,637 76				133,637 76
68 Iowa Central.....	331,739 24				331,739 24
71 Lake Erie & Western.....	509,519 94				509,519 94
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	1,019,546 20	674 82	5,429 81	77,968 90	1,093,546 20
74 Louisville & Nashville.....	1,019,546 20				1,019,546 20
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....	190,896 63			4,005 42	194,902 06
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	95,533 42		1,100 00		96,633 42

78 Michigan Central.....	508,869 70	90 19	11,770 38	520,730 33	78
80 Mobile & Ohio.....	727,001 91	727,001 91	80
82 New York Chicago & St. Louis.....	199,731 12	323 76	200,051 88	82
85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	2,059,442 39	125 37	2,059,867 76	85
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	276,956 91	276,956 91	86
87 Pawnee.....	3,274 80	3,274 80	87
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chic.)	346,693 92	70,383 41	437,577 36	88
89 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chicago & Southern)	28,193 15	28,193 15	89
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	462,683 80	462,683 80	92
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	632,075 30	632,075 30	93
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	9,777 68	1,181 02	10,958 70	94
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	656,026 00	610 00	4,000 00	660,636 00	95
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	115,711 85	115,711 85	96
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	1,207,302 80	1,207,302 80	98
100 St. Louis & Chicago.....	62,710 00	414,301 57	1,651,601 57	100
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	9,069 24	9,069 24	106
107 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	815,750 08	50,371 25	866,121 33	107
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	1,852,491 26	1,852,491 26	108
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	340,277 75	340,277 75	110
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	901,980 50	33,850 00	938,830 50	111
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	438,011 96	438,011 96	112
114 Wabash.....	4,590,957 47	17,000 00	73,811 50	4,547,768 97	114
115 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	61,327 26	61,327 26	115
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	611,491 43	1 74	614,963 17	116
Totals.....	\$63,490,253 31	\$182,365 60	\$323,582 08	\$1,175,203 22	\$65,471,494 81	

(U) Estimated by office.

TABLE VI.—Operating Expenses in Illinois for year ending June 30, 1890.

NAME OF COMPANY.	CHARGEABLE TO PASSENGER TRAFFIC.				
	1	2	3	4	5
	Maintenance of way and structures.	Maintenance of equipment.	Conducting transportation.	General expenses.	Total.
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$163,340 55	\$55,307 67	\$318,839 31	\$76,830 31	\$554,317 84
5 Baltimore & Ohio.....	3,185 89	4,932 69	16,914 32	3,295 65	28,208 55
7 Belt Railway of Chicago.....					
8 Centralia & Chester.....	962 33	56 30	2,005 92		3,024 55
9 Chicago & Alton.....	280,281 62	170,721 29	554,150 43	196,314 02	1,201,470 36
12 Chicago & Atlantic.....	6,970 24	2,568 33	19,646 81	5,732 81	34,938 82
13 Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....					
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....					
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....					
20 Chicago & Iowa.....	78,863 29	43,050 21	222,535 08	47,403 60	391,852 21
21 Chicago & Northwestern.....	11,813 63	16,485 82	56,981 89	14,212 33	99,493 67
22 Chicago & Ohio River.....	13,215 15	20,622 82	67,977 59	9,377 49	111,193 05
23 Chicago & Burlington & Northern.....	159,484 52	84,329 53	412,891 47	65,119 44	721,854 96
24 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	5,916 51	1,570 91	4,448 63	11,719 42	23,655 47
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	24,674 92	12,110 49	80,601 25	26,627 26	144,013 92
26 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	288,891 77	255,607 01	750,813 33	144,227 33	1,439,539 44
27 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	66,038 00	44,292 35	143,096 22	39,438 18	292,864 75
30 Chicago, Peoria & St. Paul.....	32,848 01	22,220 88	52,553 93	15,777 57	123,400 09
31 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	108,011 52	67,078 48 (1)	256,492 61 (1)	57,115 63 (1)	488,698 24
32 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	13,146 02	12,353 83	28,437 28	3,636 38	57,573 51
33 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburg.....					
37 Englewood Connecting.....					
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	31,277 69	19,178 14	107,319 89	64,397 81	222,173 53
39 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	189,637 65	152,662 77	411,501 63	116,607 86	870,409 91
42 Peoria & Eastern.....	2,080 42	1,801 29	8,300 46	13,181 09	24,363 26
43 Peoria & Seneca.....	4,100 63	11,826 92	20,914 65	3,221 31	40,126 51
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....					
46 East St. Louis Connecting.....					
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	7,719 27	1,748 85	12,011 69	4,020 82	25,100 63
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	7,067 33	1,446 83	4,659 41	2,416 97	15,528 54
52 Grand Tower & Carondelet.....	7,231 67	2,209 98	6,209 16	602 77	16,253 58
53 Illinois Central.....	970 09	2,397 36	2,397 36	389 65	5,090 81
54 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....	484,903 63	852 36	885,596 74	176,459 18	1,785,281 98
55 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	2,656 15	1,280 69	6,368 58	1,396 59	11,702 01
56 Indiana, Peoria & Western.....	21,845 18	9,058 49	34,119 32	21,795 36	89,818 35
57 Indiana, Peoria & Western.....	3,514 15	1,301 52	5,391 12	2,703 49	12,910 31
58 Iowa Central.....	33,575 43	8,225 28	29,660 32	14,371 62	85,832 65
71 Lake Erie & Western.....	28,126 96	16,013 51	65,733 85	13,899 31	123,773 66

72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	24,384 11	15,182 02	47,872 85	8,179 95	95,618 93
74 Louisville & Nashville.....	57,498 73	28,073 56	92,470 21	35,349 44	213,301 94
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....	11,152 70	5,672 67	20,635 04	6,503 30	43,363 71
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	5,101 65	2,747 55	9,340 47	3,642 88	20,832 45
78 Michigan Central.....	30,043 12	24,909 31	66,986 12	14,546 52	136,485 07
80 Mobile & Ohio.....	47,421 47	13,901 93	74,023 48	20,549 05	155,895 93
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	3,510 04	1,354 74	5,957 00	1,799 85	12,622 23
85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	144,013 15	77,986 29	289,001 50	72,101 16	583,192 10
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	32,013 01	16,510 86	41,851 22	11,573 75	101,948 84
87 Pawnee.....	75 24	149 86	332 21	93 75	651 00
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Operating Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago)	16,386 26	11,570 20	29,729 91	3,824 76	61,511 13
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Operating South Chicago & Southern)	2,081 63	912 93	10,557 36	176 96	13,758 88
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	13,963 70	7,210 89	40,427 15	7,522 74	69,124 48
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	45,473 81	14,025 94	48,384 00	17,535 87	125,419 65
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	439 03	92 61	713 70	400 07	1,705 41
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	30,060 26	9,310 41	33,163 06	16,501 91	88,978 66
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	5,468 33	3,025 55	11,410 47	6,877 43	26,891 78
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	57,784 18	31,212 16	98,790 34	26,163 95	213,950 63
103 St. Louis & Chicago.....	6,229 53	1,691 62	13,310 06	6,833 46	28,114 67
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	15,003 83	9,740 12	37,443 18	6,161 76	68,948 89
107 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	84,578 27	44,007 34	228,433 15	37,036 38	393,845 14
110 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	14,163 82	4,980 34	25,041 07	17,497 06	61,681 29
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	57,961 92	23,806 71	90,418 63	31,313 23	203,620 59
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	14,352 30	7,218 21	28,757 73	8,863 03	59,751 27
114 Washash.....	225,013 82	108,188 21	413,693 86	102,938 11	989,733 00
115 Washash, Chester & Western.....	11,000 56	7,084 74	8,717 13	2,917 46	24,638 92
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	8,430 50	7,355 60	34,831 53	16,071 56	66,689 13
Totals.....	\$2,990,609 62	\$1,811,827 70	\$6,420,123 08	\$1,611,385 31	\$12,837,246 31

(1) Estimated by office.

Table VI.—Operating Expenses in Illinois—(Continued.)

NAME OF COMPANY.	CHARGEABLE TO FREIGHT TRAFFIC.							Grand total operating ex- penses in Ill.
	6	7	8	9	10	11		
	Maintenance of way and structures.	Maintenance of equipment.	Conducting transportation.	General expenses.	Total.			
1 Archison, Tonelka & Santa Fe.....	\$212,985 45	\$291,638 35	\$665,549 74	\$613,142 36	\$1,243,335 90	\$1,797,653 74		
2 Baltimore & Ohio.....	9,557 68	11,798 08	50,832 98	9,016 96	81,895 70	113,674 25		
3 Belt Railway of Chicago.....	71,546 49	59,315 52	246,559 70	32,520 47	409,942 18	409,942 18		
4 Carroll & Chester.....	962 33	2,005 92	2,968 25	5,992 80		
5 Chicago & Alton.....	459,584 45	367,396 96	1,040,985 06	267,579 43	2,135,545 90	3,377,016 26		
6 Chicago & Atlantic.....	15,490 87	9,883 91	62,827 93	19,775 20	98,996 79	133,906 73		
7 Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....	491 11	596 73	2,121 33	146 69	3,895 26	3,895 26		
8 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	108,668 39	122,580 01	429,428 93	62,796 37	714,973 70	1,106,825 91		
9 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	21,000 71	27,979 08	120,750 28	17,988 25	187,718 35	287,212 92		
10 Chicago & Iowa.....	13,778 41	29,681 82	100,008 98	8,628 21	143,097 42	254,290 47		
11 Chicago & Northwestern.....	350,320 53	275,525 22	879,639 32	132,975 46	1,639,071 64	2,352,616 60		
12 Chicago & Ohio River.....	17,749 33	21,413 23	115,679 22	8,350 11	153,812 25	205,601 39		
13 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....	33,867 72	24,313 23	137,958 41	23,636 80	219,812 25	363,826 17		
14 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	546,994 47	481,373 68	1,413,974 43	271,617 13	2,713,959 31	4,153,198 75		
15 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	169,490 36	191,627 91	571,661 80	111,698 59	929,127 86	1,422,288 61		
16 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	38,544 35	166,692 53	117,661 80	17,331 82	350,260 33	493,600 92		
17 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	188,232 73	118,018 89	425,363 06	88,267 13	800,201 81	1,288,900 98		
18 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	22,810 90	38,736 42	84,061 17	6,557 47	152,278 96	209,522 47		
19 Englewood Connecting.....	782 10	1,117 83	1,891 93	2,604 56		
20 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	89,797 28	72,864 12	485,145 17	32,530 32	731,817 13	953,896 49		
21 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	392,118 61	314,376 01	965,354 77	263,491 46	1,735,370 88	2,629,389 79		
22 Kanakake & Seneca.....	18,894 81	5,146 35	16,426 77	3,066 92	40,584 85	62,365 77		
23 Peoria & Eastern.....	20,461 41	25,617 85	79,061 53	12,661 88	137,838 67	177,965 18		
24 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....	13,019 84	7,118 71	27,788 91	7,360 37	55,288 66	55,288 66		
25 East St. Louis Connecting.....	27,330 65	25,064 42	81,351 10	6,911 00	149,633 17	140,633 17		
26 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	33,544 90	28,413 37	130,737 55	35,407 66	246,192 68	272,253 31		
27 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	11,011 50	1,112 49	9,318 82	4,761 91	32,531 75	47,763 69		
28 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....	13,591 22	8,301 08	27,261 54	1,125 12	56,210 96	66,461 54		
29 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....	1,659 91	1,869 10	5,142 28	422 12	8,484 44	13,575 25		
30 Illinois Central.....	862,061 57	578,337 07	1,911,689 39	251,718 81	3,631,066 90	5,419,351 88		
31 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....	6,197 70	3,804 07	11,713 18	3,258 75	36,702 71	36,702 71		
32 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	15,665 25	17,971 59	29,750 32	8,531 06	71,016 22	160,834 57		
33 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	31,627 35	11,477 71	62,609 36	27,007 91	135,122 36	118,032 67		
34 Iowa Central.....	58,457 74	24,380 55	42,362 44	21,018 37	149,189 10	285,021 75		
35 Lake Erie & Western.....	33,582 91	39,708 21	90,166 86	18,471 70	172,531 81	290,395 47		

72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	48,726 77	61,252 04	113,250 51	15,128 58	238,327 81	353,946 81	72
73 Louisville & Nashville.....	91,183 75	90,250 06	230,058 19	47,735 71	462,227 71	675,619 65	74
74 Louisville, Evansville & St. L., Consolidated.....	16,183 36	12,207 85	38,006 19	8,084 28	74,570 98	117,031 69	76
75 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	5,753 00	5,775 33	22,571 19	6,045 25	40,144 97	60,977 32	77
76 Michigan Central.....	45,366 32	37,614 05	101,151 71	27,311 01	211,473 09	317,958 16	78
77 Mobile & Ohio.....	78,403 13	52,443 77	213,900 56	37,718 30	382,501 76	538,400 69	80
78 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	29,154 91	18,746 67	78,577 62	12,815 08	139,325 18	151,917 41	83
79 Ohio & Mississippi.....	182,503 67	119,866 18	371,157 65	88,843 64	762,370 85	1,345,562 95	85
80 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	27,753 14	29,692 87	54,111 86	9,617 96	121,475 39	223,424 67	86
81 Pawnee.....	27,925 72	44,449 50	93,510 74	28,127 25	147,917 73	224,428 86	87
82 Pennsylvania Co. (Operating P. F. W. & C.).....	27,170 13	40,198 30	93,511 99	6,498 32	167,917 73	224,428 86	88
83 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. S. Chicago & Southern).....	1,758 21	1,563 97	6,723 29	78 10	8,613 69	22,402 57	88
84 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	41,861 11	21,632 70	121,281 48	22,568 21	207,373 53	276,498 01	92
85 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	14,551 65	50,422 53	111,821 52	10,000 23	228,378 65	353,798 30	93
86 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	1,337 10	30,277 39	2,111 03	1,280 31	5,116 39	6,821 71	94
87 Rock Island & Peoria.....	19,663 00	30,748 31	114,515 05	23,788 32	250,806 16	307,281 82	95
88 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	16,704 49	3,476 66	34,251 31	20,632 31	107,527 05	107,527 05	96
89 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	97,063 39	102,204 67	163,537 54	42,327 87	435,163 36	643,116 09	98
90 St. Louis & Chicago.....	4,106 88	21,222 01	16,477 89	6,653 32	122,810 30	167,454 97	105
91 St. Louis & Peoria.....	4,014 66	773 88	2,772 27	4,989 39	12,530 20	12,530 20	106
92 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	49,473 57	30,254 32	153,154 99	18,481 28	273,609 36	312,418 65	107
93 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	143,000 23	142,073 29	407,609 65	58,242 27	780,365 81	1,174,240 98	108
94 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	42,488 48	14,941 02	73,123 50	52,491 18	185,043 88	246,725 17	110
95 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	81,136 42	79,163 50	238,102 62	38,260 33	449,971 32	633,531 91	111
96 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	39,640 76	28,133 60	120,111 22	38,656 48	226,878 06	286,109 33	112
97 Wabash.....	422,577 98	457,928 11	1,281,151 75	119,703 22	2,281,661 06	3,221,407 66	114
98 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	8,148 79	4,016 45	7,902 44	1,981 00	22,048 68	46,677 60	115
99 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	31,668 60	20,084 77	76,346 79	19,418 66	111,518 82	208,268 01	116
Totals.....	\$5,452,657 91	\$4,500,429 18	\$14,097,091 27	\$2,082,766 63	\$27,222,647 99	\$40,059,894 30	

(1) Estimated by office.

TABLE VII.—Operating Expenses, Taxes and Average Earnings per mile of road, in Illinois, for year ending June 30, 1880.

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	AVERAGE EARNINGS.				10	11
									Passenger per train mile.....	Freight per mile.....	Freight per train mile.....	Gross transportation earnings per mile, including mail, express, etc. ..		
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$1,797,653 74	71 32	\$12,197 79	\$1,569 10	\$0.723	\$6,741 88	\$1,558	\$8,550 72	56,008 08	\$2,452 61	1,649 32
2 Baltimore & Ohio.....	113,071 25	82 73	14,512 28	2,163 68	1.06	6,967 24	.885	9,351 75	7,902 43	4,558 31
3 Bell Railway of Chicago (3).....	49,342 16	64 00	28,000 00	352 11	.210	922 31	.257	654 67	719 10	4,558 31
4 Central & Chester.....	3,337,016 26	55 25	186,403 13	2,965 71	1.178	6,851 16	1.690	10,252 25	5,663 98	2,563 19
5 Chicago & Alton.....	133,906 73	71 31	31,065 29	1,779 30	.696	7,449 64	1.370	9,674 51	7,111 35	2,563 19
6 Chicago & Atlantic.....	3,805 26	91 60	74,075 82	2,027 80	.816	6,943 30	2.017	9,106 01	5,464 45	4,001 36
7 Chicago & Calumet Terminal (3).....	1,106,825 91	57 73	41,110 57	4,110 21	.620	9,294 15	1.110	14,124 02	9,370 70	4,753 52
8 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	257,252 02	66 35	16,585 87	1,118 02	.562	4,852 66	1.490	4,582 65	2,443 10	2,136 95
9 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	251,290 47	53 36	185,483 86	1,718 49	1.014	4,677 88	1.371	6,154 25	4,012 75	2,141 51
10 Chicago & Iowa.....	2,352,616 60	62 17	7,752 78	141 53	.685	6,629 02	1.204	8,290 69	7,033 89	116 80
11 Chicago & Northwestern.....	60,531 29	86 08	7,752 78	141 53	.685	6,629 02	1.204	8,290 69	7,033 89	116 80
12 Chicago & Ohio River.....	363,826 17	68 17	20,884 01	798 57	.682	3,904 18	1.917	4,864 35	3,374 09	1,562 25
13 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....	1,153,498 75	61 25	205,403 11	1,541 37	.682	3,843 38	1.485 25	5,381 75	3,374 09	2,010 66
14 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....
15 Galesburg & Ohio.....	8,389 46
16 Illinois Valley & Northern.....	50, 55 14
17 St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago.....	922,258 61	61 99	90,608 40	1,057 42	.816	3,211 57	1.196	4,679 92	2,901 06	1,632 07
18 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	493,600 42	65 89	16,737 61	612 48	1,411 86	2,376 45	1,925 82	1,452 61
19 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	1,288,900 08	66 95	148,565 77	2,136 56	.56	(25,631 77	(28,265 56	(25,452 20	(22,753 36
20 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	209,852 47	73 56	49,224 24	2,136 56	.681	7,221 38	1.416	10,193 73	7,498 42	2,695 31
21 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	1,819 93	68 02	1,813 33
22 Englewood Connecting (3).....
23 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	953,990 72	73 31	22,681 31	1,084 41	.604	5,869 79	1.136	7,555 39	5,511 30	2,044 09
24 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	2,626,380 79	63 71	135,471 88	2,138 84	.900	5,611 16	1.410	8,627 01	5,498 87	3,128 11
25 Kankakee & Seneca.....	62,565 97	97 94	6,496 59	286 31	.295	1,114 25	1,518 11	1,486 83	31 25
26 Peoria & Eastern.....	177,965 18	69 22	3,155 72	732 54	.712	1,372 58	1.334	2,106 02	1,457 99	648 03
27 East St. Louis & Carondelet (3).....	55,288 06	68 69
28 East St. Louis Connecting (3).....	140,663 17	93 98	2,100 54
29 East St. Louis & Western.....	272,293 31	61 79	17,521 86	98 86	.440	2,910 60	1.867	3,035 13	1,874 91	1,160 22
30 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	47,763 69	101 49	2,589 81	225 84	.300	452 25	.720	783 69
31 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....

53	Grand Tower & Carbondale	66,464.54	79.32	5,030.60	275.33	.5151	2,199.82	2,556	2,546.74	2,020.19	526.55	53
54	Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau	13,375.55	96.81		68.98	.189	401.04	1,020	486.75	471.36	15.39	54
55	Illinois Central	5,430.56	59.06	555,834.39	1,455.31	.315	648.81	1,267	7,159.21	4,228.41	2,930.80	55
56	Indiana & Illinois Southern	336,762.71	73.00	13,520.94	872.18	.561	2,636.67	1,470	9,930.15	679.68	250.47	56
57	Indianapolis, Decatur & Western	160,334.57	73.71	8,826.90	127.89	.487	1,776.94	1,610	2,806.12	2,109.31	770.81	57
58	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	148,032.67	76.40	12,067.10	820.49	.450	3,034.95	1,480	2,806.12	2,115.40	690.94	58
59	Iowa Central	285,021.75	85.90	12,067.10	820.49	.450	3,034.95	1,480	3,557.52	3,056.21	501.58	59
60	Lake Erie & Western	296,365.47	85.15	12,067.10	820.49	.450	3,034.95	1,480	3,557.52	3,056.21	501.58	60
61	Lake Erie & Michigan Southern	333,916.81	88.12	37,282.93	1,931.45	.132	6,682.44	3,314	27,119.14	23,819.31	3,329.83	61
62	Louisville & Nashville	675,019.65	66.26	48,063.90	1,476.82	.855	3,273.66	1,619	2,035.15	3,715.15	1,906.48	62
63	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Concord	117,431.69	61.78	14,513.90	1,361.61	.147	6,531.17	1,514	2,035.15	3,715.15	1,906.48	63
64	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	60,477.52	63.79	17,653.90	1,476.82	.855	3,273.66	1,619	2,035.15	3,715.15	1,906.48	64
65	Michigan Central	317,458.16	65.38	18,017.55	3,361.61	.147	6,531.17	1,514	10,379.25	7,091.06	3,279.22	65
66	Mobile & Ohio	538,100.69	73.90	28,755.58	759.54	.436	3,527.46	1,262	4,527.32	3,352.44	1,171.93	66
67	New York, Chicago & St. Louis	151,947.41	76.70	18,756.56	795.73	.887	9,793.41	1,262	10,379.25	8,069.98	2,355.22	67
68	New York, Mississippi	1,315,562.95	65.33	86,134.08	2,192.42	.129	3,254.31	1,357	5,477.23	3,583.16	1,893.75	68
69	Ohio, Indiana & Western	223,624.67	80.67	14,453.28	918.81	.859	1,314.78	1,375	2,263.62	1,826.18	437.44	69
70	Pawnee	2,604.30	82.20		143.06		312.72		195.45	391.06	101.43	70
71	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pitts., Ft. W. & Chi.)	221,428.86	62.52	61,518.52	5,889.22	.160	17,614.51	1,893	24,791.31	15,590.31	9,203.97	71
72	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. S. Chic. & Southern)	22,062.57	79.46	4,667.25	1,537.36	.567	1,139.21	819	2,750.55	2,185.62	490.98	72
73	Peoria & Rock Island	276,998.01	61.21	29,936.15	1,537.36	.567	1,139.21	819	3,104.61	1,659.67	1,445.62	73
74	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	353,798.30	53.41	51,193.90	832.63		1,862.38	1,140	3,104.61	1,659.67	1,445.62	74
75	Peoria, Omaha & Kansas City	6,820.71	69.75	1,206.57	1,137.32	.1016	4,660.17	2,234	5,807.51	2,741.46	3,064.08	75
76	Rock Island & Peoria	300,784.82	47.22	29,936.15	1,537.36	.567	1,139.21	819	3,104.61	1,659.67	1,445.62	76
77	St. Louis, Alton & Springfield	107,527.65	92.06	26,921.65	1,920.69	.820	3,753.84	1,184	5,650.63	2,715.51	2,935.12	77
78	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	609,116.99	57.77	37,723.69	389.01	.327	622.88	1,184	1,188.82	965.97	222.85	78
79	St. Louis & Chicago	50,954.37	81.10				623.21	1,290	643.51	807.81		79
80	St. Louis & Peoria	72,550.20	114.00									80
81	Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis	312,618.65	42.00	36,457.51	4,199.71	1.000	7,350.59	1,330	11,579.22	7,417.92	4,161.40	81
82	Terre Haute & Indianapolis	1,174,540.98	44.06	11,990.61	433.14	.300	1,380.69	1,320	1,383.38	1,401.23	582.09	82
83	Terre Haute & Peoria	216,725.17	72.56	31,837.43	963.42	.761	2,459.90	1,285	3,062.40	2,645.65	1,417.35	83
84	Tokado, Peoria & Western	656,531.91	72.22	17,787.79	239.69	.404	2,075.14	1,654	2,017.01	1,338.37	678.64	84
85	Wabash, St. Louis & Kansas City	386,199.33	65.90	171,292.60	1,654.01	.880	4,819.30	1,270	6,946.30	5,652.11	1,311.19	85
86	Wabash, St. Louis & Kansas City	3,224,407.60	72.44	3,025.36	1,654.01	.880	4,819.30	1,270	6,946.30	5,652.11	1,311.19	86
87	Wabash, Chester & Western	46,677.60	76.11	3,025.36	1,654.01	.880	4,819.30	1,270	6,946.30	5,652.11	1,311.19	87
88	Wisconsin Central lines	298,268.01	33.86	13,911.01	2,640.32	1.134	6,766.18	2,116	10,265.63	3,453.16	6,812.47	88
Totals		\$40,659,891.30	63.69	\$3,020,496.96	\$1,367.31	.765	\$4,196.85	\$1.418	\$6,062.78	\$3,825.37	\$2,237.41	

(1) Paid by lessor company. (2) Estimated by office. (3) Switching road. (4) Transfer company.

TABLE VIII—*Passenger and Freight Traffic in Illinois, for year ending June 30, 1890.*

NAME OF COMPANY.	PASSENGER TRAFFIC.						FREIGHT TRAFFIC.					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	PASSENGER TRAFFIC.						FREIGHT TRAFFIC.					
	Number of passen- gers carried earning revenue.....	Number of passen- gers carried one mile.....	Average distance carried, in miles..	Average amount received from each passenger, in dol- lars and cents....	Average receipts per passenger per mile, in cents.....	Estimated cost of carrying each pas- senger one mile, in cents.....	Number of tons of freight carried earning revenue.	Number of tons carried one mile.	Average distance haul of one ton, in miles.....	Average amount received for each ton, in dollars and cents.....	Average receipts per ton per mile, in cents.....	Estimated cost of carrying one ton one mile, in cents.
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	250,254	16,681,231	66.66	\$1.592	2.254	3.323	1,632,310	236,992,348	145.00	\$1.215	.837	.525
2 Baltimore & Ohio.....	96,761	1,354,654	14.00	.320	2.250	4.400	1,543,315	20,532,832	14.30	.061	.485	.375
3 Central & Chester.....	8,387	67,096	8.00	.250	3.000	4.400	3,827	30,616	8.00	.073	.842	.973
4 Chicago & Alton.....	1,519,323	79,821,049	52.51	1.125	2.133	1.505	3,498,884	437,919,754	125.16	1.148	.917	.488
5 Chicago & Atlantic.....	21,280	1,771,427	6.00	.120	1.891	2.067	125,514	1,757,196	14.00	.100	.501	.306
6 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	1,810,637	25,004,162	13.80	.226	1.613	1.567	1,876,839	234,153,748	124.80	.749	.601	.305
7 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	550,067	8,990,488	16.30	.230	1.411	1.107	1,365,368	41,125,336	30.60	.210	.653	.450
8 Chicago & Iowa.....	191,414	5,076,293	26.00	.600	2.200	4.101	721,427	37,756,672	52.00	.410	.785	.652
9 Chicago & North-western.....	1,684,638	40,358,734	23.36	.518	2.200	1.788	1,855,284	280,531,284	151.00	1.480	.980	.581
10 Chicago & Ohio River.....	26,970	399,117	14.80	.451	3.496	3.688	61,490	2,084,041	33.90	.879	2.335	2.198
11 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....	138,101	(2) 1,000,000
12 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	(3) 1,341,527	14,400,081	34.16	.797	2.333	2.033	522,266	103,564,789	198.30	1.973	.935	.608
13 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	421,834	7,717,587	19.37	.484	2.501	535,401	36,453,099	68.09	.881	1.229
14 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	398,390	3,279,789
15 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	55,102	2,584,888	46.91	1.086	2.316	2.227	178,300	33,198,200	186.10	1.132	.609	.459
16 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	113,408	9,785,029	86.30	1.646	1.910	2.270	821,254	130,990,981	153.40	1.230	.770	.500
17 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	1,280,356	43,733,222	33.90	.792	2.336	1.990	2,427,690	400,334,156	161.90	1.110	.673	.439
18 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. L.....	22,650	385,396	17.50	.512	2.930	3.420	144,165	4,908,081	31.00	.333	.984	1.006
19 Kankakee & Seneca.....	90,967	2,885,595	31.70	.813	2.565	1.357	161,904	28,519,373	176.20	1.032	.589	.483
20 Kankakee & Eastern.....	32,898	591,030	17.96	.436	2.429	4.416	(1) 1,022,980	47,004,683	45.91	.410	1.809	.052
21 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	32,016	688,800	25.00	.430	2.000	1.800	391,807	2,404,561	25.00	.665	.800	.51
22 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	26,252	319,172	12.14	.345	2.838	5.092	43,002	4,228,420	13.87	.237	1.711	1.187
23 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....	3,982	67,967	17.06	.498	2.922	7.490	43,002	2,227,138	28.53	.208	.941	.688
24 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....	6,990,601	95,766,706	13.70	.266	1.918	1.864	5,279,978	718,644,235	136.10	1.151	.846	.505
25 Illinois Central.....	27,402	419,630	15.00	.387	2.580	1.540	93,530	2,444,730	24.00	.374	1.360	.605
26 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....	80,736	2,471,633	27.54	.707	2.570	3.630	121,024	2,242,615	97.20	1.100	1.140	.601
27 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	14,821	299,461	14.00	.422	2.629	2.733	478,909	27,345,644	57.00	.379	.664	.494
28 Iowa, Illinois & Iowa.....	387,350	24,461,014	63.00	.729	1.157	.814
29 Iowa Central.....	58,040	1,640,025	18.00	.464	2.430	5.234

71 Lake Erie & Western.....	296,289	5,413,322	26.24	.651	2,480	2,286	392,210	52,180,972	172.60	1,122	.650	330
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	998,137	7,636,902	7.50	.130	1,741	1,338	2,712,318	28,336,308	10.40	.075	.811	72
73 Louisville & Nashville.....	287,535	11,890,195	41.29	1,029	2,530	1,450	822,219	66,764,182	81.20	.700	.865	74
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, (Consolidated).....	83,849	2,051,549	24.46	.618	2,619	2,111	146,541	9,784,716	66.77	.832	.702	76
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	23,107	968,954	19.86	.338	2,266	2,111	46,316	6,328,618	19.86	1,372	.917	77
78 Michigan Central.....	348,830	7,132,318	20.44	.418	2,046	1,913	1,863,354	40,822,665	22.00	.180	.821	78
80 Mobile & Ohio.....	136,822	5,669,016	32.30	.777	2,400	3,060	631,445	64,623,667	102.00	.872	.876	80
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	19,287	761,648	39.64	.707	1,783	1,637	111,045	35,211,963	317.00	1,663	.321	83
85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	578,569	28,697,863	50.29	1,170	2,327	2,004	1,197,369	111,451,711	92.75	1,650	1,110	.086
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	117,816	4,949,561	42.00	.854	2,003	2,029	165,117	23,573,146	112.00	.970	.682	86
87 Pawnee.....	3,350	1,265	87
88 Penn. Co. (Op. P., Ft. W. & C.).....	113,578	3,989,894	27.78	.607	2,184	1,541	250,927	38,196,013	152.00	1,039	.635	.139
88 Penn. Co. (Op. So. Chi. & Southern).....	222,530	943,908	4.24	.070	1,069	1,457	35,220	414,376	4.35	.122	2,086	88
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	157,610	92
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	231,220	5,694,418	21.23	.636	2,025	2,248	399,707	33,876,417	109.38	1,282	1,173	.075
94 Quin-cy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	26,714	94
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	180,022	4,310,872	21.11	.619	2,570	2,049	584,750	33,377,530	57.08	.900	1,577	.061
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	81,743	96
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	321,523	8,808,128	27.00	.657	2,349	2,429	1,485,948	82,437,493	55.50	.023	1,121	.527
105 St. Louis & Chicago.....	40,322	890,071	22.06	.507	2,298	66,605	1,899,884	28.52	.510	1,895	105
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	33,028	462,392	14.00	.251	1,887	.735
107 Terminal Railroad Asso. of St. Louis (6)	1,312,355131150	107
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	361,282	18,838,798	52.00	1,210	2,313	2,091	1,577,652	138,453,010	87.76	.740	.810	.561
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	124,900	3,122,500	25.00	.640	2,500	2,800	261,692930	.530	.390
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	432,611	9,660,659	29.33	.550	2,461	2,108	587,350	40,195,316	68.43	.992	1,451	1,119
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	122,630	2,245,776	18.31	.429	2,344	2,637	317,150	70,749,184	223.07	1,171	.525	.321
114 Wabash.....	1,038,574	49,727,669	47.90	1,019	2,130	1,890	2,277,453	476,732,441	290.30	1,355	.647	.479
115 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	39,518	602,557	15.24	.435	2,851	4,087	73,834	1,509,450	21.96	.552	2,514	1,378
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	296,550	8,625,139	41.74	.770	1,823	1,292	779,661	62,973,653	55.11	.523	.950	.329
Totals.....	24,910,820	554,960,062	25.12	\$0.529	2,065	1,900	48,361,653	4,271,377,794	102.45	\$0.855	.832	.517

(1) Estimated by office on mileage basis. (2) Estimated by company. (3) Estimated by office. (4) Includes 135,635 tons of freight transferred in cars, and not included in detail tonnage. (5) Transfer Co.

TABLE IX.—Classified Freight Traffic in Illinois in Tons, for year ending June 30, 1890.

NAME OF COMPANY.	PRODUCTS OF AGRICULTURE.							PRODUCTS OF ANIMALS.						
	Grain.....	Flour.....	Other mill products..	Hay.....	Tobacco	Cotton.....	Fruit and vegetables..	Live stock...	Dressed meats	Other pack- ing house products ...	Poultry, game and fish.....	Wool.....	Hides and leather....	
1 Archison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	538,422	8,516	6,355	3,994	740		5,456	279,139	13,006			10,519		1
5 Baltimore & Ohio.....	200,361	43,330	31,197	6,292			48	50,170						2
8 Central & Chester.....	211	1,218		18,316			9,104	156,647	15,080	3,400		1,010		
9 Chicago & Alton.....	357,283	41,019	8,212	22,185			18,853	37,936	2,257	8,602				
12 Chicago & Atlantic.....	128,125	13,377	15,735	571	1,098		25,877	199,155	106,194	17,014	2,096	66	661	
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	264,292	27,636	19,289	571	6,473		25,877	199,155	106,194	158,397	532	2,820	5,603	
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	430,919	33,830	23,617	17,341	2,333		101,415	190,970	11,648	37,979	6,871	4,818	12,706	
21 Chicago & Northwestern.....	29,960	132	216	1,100	625		216	5,461		75	950	15	36	
23 Chicago & Ohio River.....	113,927	18,875	4,143	5,393	773		4,221	36,143		12,557				
34 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	92,955	44,272	40,363	45,583			40,800	321,636		62,890		4,910	11,622	
36 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	25,332	3,288	5,015	1,451	520		2,381	5,197	4,678	1,311	233	83	998	
38 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	304,770	53,944	15,133	2,040	90		21,009	115,165	3,022	7,021	769		4,808	
39 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	322,178	66,016	39,297	21,338	14,512		24,197	143,568	15,012	16,165	6,296	4,993	8,418	
40 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	41,096	7,074	2,181	1,982	10		1,257	1,384	1,141	2,621	162	2,678	162	
42 Kanakake & Seneca.....	59,577	2,500	3,076	186	317		328	6,463	321	454	141	156	480	
43 Peoria & Eastern.....	32,141	1,839	888	1,726	476		42	2,634		602	36		48	
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	4,624	283	15				577	2,319						
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	100	1,283	80	30			151	72	385	30	3		26	
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....	630	1,377	80	30			470	41		70	7		10	
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....	1,020,380	90,883	47,093	75,205	9,001		111,246	230,390	974	102,256	4,765	1,552	8,012	
55 Illinois Central.....	(1) 12,021							(2) 2,421						
56 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....	63,153	650	213	615				9,583						
60 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	231,320	25,933	5,801	3,128			2,341	7,880	13,616	25,686	522	1,002	9,283	
67 In iana, Illinois & Iowa.....	163,188	847	298	2,192	47		2,120	27,113	50	650	141	4	10	
68 Iowa Central.....	85,904	3,085	8,823					10,012	1,815					
71 Lake Erie & Western.....	353,139	26,222	37,913	4,514	1,946		439	176,856	125,918	1,815	76,630	2,635	15,948	
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	195,237	60,989	37,913	8,173			55,028	18,230						
74 Louisville & Nashville.....	91,184	6,476		5,806			7,205	2,851		23,578				
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis.....	3,297		262	1,120	525			1,202	754		48		120	
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....				1,311			274	2,851	54,297				31	
78 Michigan Central.....	314,394	25,015	34,707	1,392	15,921		36,818	120,810	155,661		32,656	2,721	15,557	

80 Mobile & Ohio.....	58, 18					18, 692	33, 915	7, 170		216			80
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	21, 21	1, 150	3, 512	901	837	1, 945	1, 654	13, 529	891	3, 350	290	604	83
85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	275, 216	33, 386	13, 321	15, 040	2, 227	11, 558	13, 139	40, 301	299	2, 987	1, 065	1, 573	85
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	59, 702	1, 849	3, 383	2, 673	198	1, 859	528	5, 284	350	163	35	82	86
87 Pawnee.....	536	21		25				80			1	1	87
88 Penn. Co. (Op. Pittsburgh Ft. Wayne & Chi.).....	10, 680	4, 115	2, 483	1, 106	159	110	2, 300	5, 945	1, 467	1, 699	338	769	88
92 Penn. Co. (Op. South Chicago & Southern).....	107	66	149	121			42	367		41		2, 635	92
93 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	724	58	151	128				11, 314	232	1		482	93
94 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	80, 571	4, 126		40, 895	2, 185	3, 165	8, 962	165			328	179	94
95 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	75	1, 143		50	25	194	7, 141	20, 150	1, 020	281	128	73	95
96 Rock Island & Peoria.....	223, 688	4, 213	632	9, 363	11			8, 301					96
98 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	14, 213		11, 679	10, 671	2, 989	13, 108	4, 747	59, 007	11, 725	3, 445	1, 159	2, 315	98
99 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	80, 169	76, 121	12, 811	2, 139				1, 156				4	105
105 St. Louis & Chicago.....	12, 821	869											105
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	19	3	98								4	50	106
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	101, 636	21, 683	12, 382	3, 653	17, 012	63, 004	29, 688	46, 892	16, 700	10, 670	808	10, 873	108
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	139, 130	1, 893	2, 216	1, 936			577	11, 167			584		110
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	297, 800	9, 465	7, 827	14, 114	925		4, 736	45, 889		4, 211	1, 765	1, 549	111
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	91, 285	7, 798	10, 081	2, 130	270	2, 928	3, 149	9, 324	363	3, 917	546	1, 298	112
114 Wabash.....	604, 163	45, 606	27, 082	12, 098	3, 903	9, 968	22, 104	129, 139	39, 455	23, 587	1, 706	3, 491	114
115 Waba h, Chester & Western.....	7, 418	8, 604	2, 313	118			302	900					115
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	10, 564	10, 532	4, 657	229	539		61, 958	21, 827	1, 028	460	170	1, 319	116
Totals.....	8, 336, 002	850, 212	162, 845	369, 455	77, 442	239, 166	671, 149	2, 615, 504	441, 813	755, 972	141, 500	46, 637	119, 627

(1) Includes all products of Agriculture.

(2) Includes all products of Animals.

Table IX.—Classified Freight Traffic in Illinois, 1890.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
		MANUFACTURES.												
		Oils.....	Sugar.....	Naval stores ..	Iron, pig and bloom.....	Iron and steel rails.....	Machinery, etc	Bar and sheet metal	Cement, brick and lime.....	Agricultural implements ..	Wagons, carriages, tools, etc	Wines, liquors, beers, etc.....	Household goods and furniture	Tile.....
1	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	19,381	10,911		11,973	23,387	23,217	62,141	17,017	2,513	3,522		5,486	
2	Baltimore & Ohio	16,689			24,898	16,047	106,702					5,754	1,020	
3	Centralia & Chester				17				60				50	
4	Chicago & Alton				206,560				2,080	3,116		2,243	2,461	12,211
5	Chicago & Atlantic				1,084	582	7,205					78		
6	Chicago & Eastern Illinois	14,719	1,438		16,154	5,953	10,384	2,653	37,922	2,861	2,713	6,301	3,702	
7	Chicago & Grand Trunk	7,673	6,373		2,431	21,406	13,036	834	86,361	5,945	5,595	5,582	2,456	
8	Chicago & Northwestern	6,501			105,021	153,373	29,702	96,415	89,909	46,178	52,736	15,134	22,419	
9	Chicago & Ohio River	85,982	31,756	1,979	15	20	84	120	510	24	120		210	
10	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul				13,669		89,919		10,912	14,588		10,829		
11	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	53,595	53,198		9106,061				184,201	25,911	18,311	24,616	6,915	22,675
12	Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh	1,747	1,584	2	2,301	4,497	3,370	7,073	3,615	1,291	551	1,357	1,030	36
13	Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	18,411	13,289		5,426	12,518			7,536	18,515	18,515	5,702	2,583	
14	Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis	73,613	6,759	5,250	71,630	9,162	25,164	24,829	37,636	8,525	4,621	29,425	10,633	9,184
15	Kankakee & Seneca	193	25	154	4,936	900	1,635	1,468	37,639	1,143	4,621	2,636	1,190	311
16	Peoria & Eastern	1,781	971	974	2,267	484	2,428	1,943	1,700	3,561	648	1,457	657	774
17	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	6,376			20,113	45,289	584	3,027	1,429	21	82	12	619	296
18	Fulton County Narrow Gauge				10				27	187	110	65	173	17
19	Grand Tower & Carbondale												212	
20	Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau	1,013	20			1,159	1,707		711	109	110	62	160	
21	Illinois Central	63,631	16,637	20,007	82,451	46,831	43,010	60,926	67,401	183,515	9,802	38,423	23,978	40,817
22	Indiana & Illinois Southern	(3) 3,816												
23	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	2,508	3,436		2,706	7,511	2,130	7,154	482	1,985	275	237	361	1,869
24	Iowa Central	3,212	165		1,023	1,940	1,463	269	9,991	2,248	468	3,370	465	
25	Lake Erie & Western	32,111				9,436	10,692							
26	Lake Shore & Michigan Southern	200,722	15,556		10,882	99,589	66,029		32,108	18,132	114,614	20,809	13,591	
27	Louisville & Nashville	1,052	2,548	357	13,372		885		19,740	1,301		11,402	838	
28	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consol.	7,336			10,500				2,880			5,130	750	
29	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	10,694	31	3	20,008	13,041	23,095	23,300	27,183	133	261	5,130	310	
30	Michigan Central	10,694	14,981		1,137	13,041	23,095	23,300	27,183	8,111	130,312	12,718	11,263	1,510
31	New York, Chicago & St. Louis	2,436	1,101	263		909	1,725	638	616	187	252	757	391	1,144

85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	15,730	15,452	4,541	1,860	9,114	21,062	401	39,987	20,117	2,023	85
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	2,527	793	2,958	991	2,064	1,965	1,949	2,611	413	827	396	32	86
87 Pawnee.....	1,560	33	2	14	96	87
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. P., Ft. W. & Chi.).....	4,784	1	4	13,667	2,730	5,068	10,328	6,403	557	351	986	983	88
89 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. So. Chi. & Southern).....	789	3,627	2,365	5,891	8,794	26,642	30	436	330	89
90 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	299	(2) 136	3	32	15	90
91 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	497	75	3,162	318	1,043	946	82	16	26,334	911	4,816	91
92 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	50	417	2,696	280	552	1,232	92
93 Rock Island & Peoria.....	6,312	369	2,848	148	1,001	1,805	6,671	5,942	2,421	1,185	1,238	733	93
94 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	393	94
95 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	441	3,396	21,206	2,000	5,050	471	10,040	6,550	11,400	21,583	9,790	95
96 St. Louis & Chicago.....	2,760	837	10,447	1,580	63	61	395	496	96
97 St. Louis & Peoria.....	405	97
98 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	11,362	7,104	38,887	27,439	22,696	25,324	39,334	8,968	12,116	12,608	3,217	98
99 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	735	3,246	5,474	3,638	1,025	829	1,301	2,369	99
100 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	13,946	8,690	781	2,087	4,942	3,290	9,363	6,658	1,718	16,342	4,913	5,824	100
101 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	1,536	678	2,647	5,722	20,405	8,234	613	370	492	839	101
102 Wabash.....	18,798	11,412	17,529	11,008	6,263	7,473	30,535	5,097	3,014	10,143	6,044	102
103 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	1,210	1,994	6,522	47	118	345	103	103
104 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	11,783	11,756	55,224	10,797	21,250	6,852	2,963	2,312	1,651	3,394	492	104
Totals.....	722,110	214,930	28,973	906,817	497,748	598,866	448,834	823,544	232,092	527,637	318,123	150,277	114,637	

(1) Includes iron and steel rails.

(2) Iron scrap.

(3) Includes all manufactures.

Table IX.—Classified Freight Traffic in Illinois—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.		PRODUCTS OF MINES.							33	34	35	36	37
		27	28	29	30	31	32						
		Anthra- cite coal.	Bitumin- ous coal.	Coke.....	Ores.....	Stone, sand, and other like articles...	Salt.....	Products of for- est.....	Merchandise....	Ice	Miscellaneous, other commod- ities.....	Total tonnage..	
1	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	3,218	340,549	190,925	13,766	131,644	3,199	87,855	117,657			1,632,310	
5	Baltimore & Ohio.....	1	390,112			95,450	95	65,611	48,378		176,330	1,543,315	
8	Centralia & Chester.....	79,321				125,421	7,256	130,218	175,086	176	3,887	3,887	
9	Chicago & Alton.....	7,298	87,447			165,090		10,559	14,301	73,191	1,008,716	3,498,884	
12	Chicago & Atlantic.....	4,414	1,109,012	6,798		7,872	5,971	10,559	175,086		1,900	1,900	
12	Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	21,462	2,918			53,763	5,314	46,526	35,969	13,721	57,154	1,876,838	
17	Chicago & Grand Trunk.....					23,312		23,312	111,395	15,735	169,717	1,936,368	
17	Chicago & Iowa.....										721,427	721,427	
20	Chicago & Northwestern.....	193,714	370,772	131,983	139,458	369,152	31,220	335,750	189,613	157,738	298,231	4,135,750	
21	Chicago & Ohio River.....	20	9,062			36	525	15,984	3,690	390	394	61,496	
23	Chicago, Burlington & Northern										1,000,000	11,000,000	
25	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy										1,863,625	21,863,625	
25	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	18,267	38,331		2,989	19,170	4,261	75,322	47,798		70,068	522,266	
30	Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	89,993	145,793	23,683	47,417	263,895	40,382	168,313	376,817	11,629	21,401	535,401	
30	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	5,679	17,352	16,115	1,082	7,552		31,465	3,907		29,894	178,390	
34	Chicago, St. Louis & Kansas City		36,671		10,192	3,678	22,108	298,126	65,501	2,680	29,894	821,261	
36	Chicago, St. Paul & Pittsburgh		426,240	37,370	24,341	126,558	6,252	298,126	275,981	2,680	29,894	427,690	
38	Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	36,520	430,413		751	3,718	250	7,835	25,981	8,505	3,789	141,901	
39	Kankakee & Seneca.....	714	13,343	2,751	1,295	3,718	250	7,835	25,981	8,505	3,789	141,901	
42	Peoria & Eastern.....	352	26,749	896	1,261	105,320		15,381	3,685	4,514	16,610	889,345	
43	Peoria & Eastern.....	24,010	373,881	126,183	128,980	41,472	666	11,143	3,685	2,178	16,610	889,345	
45	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....		26,749			896	102	2,607	779		39,500	39,500	
51	Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....		278,316			2,472	101	12,290	2,298	893	316	394,867	
53	Grand Tower & Carbondale.....		31,650			188		3,215	2,180	800	261	43,002	
54	Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....		1,365,546	17,084	52,004	121,204	51,908	443,392	101,494	76,432	667,918	5,279,978	
55	Illinois Central.....	78,902	(352,800)					5,412		12	9,132	93,530	
63	Indiana & Illinois Southern.....		11,276			678	323	14,085	29,067			121,021	
66	Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	351	11,276			4,707		8,213	13,612		15,011	178,909	
67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	33,655	36,452			16,126	290	7,623	14,176	1,235	15,011	387,936	
68	Iowa Central.....	6,336	120,105	337		1,189		52,281	13,612		27,198	392,210	
71	Lake Erie & Michigan Southern.....		19,732	262,267	4,943	330,573		66,665	169,769	15,495	126,656	721,918	
72	Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	69,299	89,717			2,448		66,665			27,198	392,210	
74	Louisville & Nashville.....		100,620			20,881		53,138			9,337	721,918	
76	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....		225,655	1,261	2,907	10,337	740	20,881	41,232		20,115	822,219	
76	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....		225,655	1,261	2,907	10,337	740	20,881	41,232		20,115	822,219	
76	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....		225,655	1,261	2,907	10,337	740	20,881	41,232		20,115	822,219	

77	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	302	6,260	173	113	10,331	657	7,814	3,019	1,791	3,154	46,316	77
78	Michigan Central	65,702	15,188	2,816	3,992	41,371	94,104	107,847	...	74,514	297,993	1,873,351	78
79	Mobile & Ohio	...	182,498	907	81,887	251,085	...	80	134,415	80
80	New York, Chicago & St. Louis	10,026	436,720	15,329	535	5,112	598	40,527	5,523	221	10,163	111,415	83
85	Ohio & Mississippi	2,635	436,518	15,329	8,221	5,116	2,136	40,494	115,175	...	21,840	1,197,380	85
86	Ohio, Indiana & Western	6,436	27,711	1,486	32	2,768	305	11,941	8,535	132	8,169	166,117	86
87	Pawnee	...	139	30	57	46	36	7	1,265	87
88	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pitts., Ft. Wayne & Chil.)	2,780	36,863	30,963	31,311	22,716	...	13,146	2,574	...	92,465	250,957	88
88	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chi. & Southern)	41	2,430	256	2,115	13,546	1,239	...	27,268	95,225	88
92	Peoria & Pekin Union	...	131,162	25	...	872	155	109	1,151	1,130	1,104	157,610	92
93	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	2,307	49,763	128	412	36,369	6,137	...	21,618	369,497	93
94	Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City	2,914	10,701	11,881	3,252	26,714	94
95	Rock Island & Peoria	550	186,633	3,576	...	1,950	316	24,257	14,031	...	35,563	584,790	95
96	St. Louis, Alton & Springfield	10,429	16,760	12,083	1,160	6,650	8,658	...	45,973	81,745	96
98	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	...	828,491	6,650	...	11,156	1,310	138,672	80,279	...	2,576	66,045	106
106	St. Louis & Chicago	36	18,649	92	71	7,061	1,571	106
106	St. Louis & Peoria	...	32,163	139	127	33,028	106
108	Terre Haute & Indianapolis	3,211	535,087	100,030	63,147	11,198	...	51,290	63,783	...	202,277	1,377,652	108
110	Terre Haute & Peoria	1,898	27,499	89	...	4,229	962	21,040	9,101	674	18,652	261,692	110
111	Toledo, Peoria & Western	12,233	123,816	1,585	6	10,093	3,088	27,324	19,219	...	12,790	587,350	111
112	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	9,518	26,937	420	...	6,631	8,259	61,656	20,333	...	8,244	317,150	112
114	Wabash	63,889	534,744	11,441	1,632	39,690	...	189,506	110,023	...	251,400	2,277,453	114
115	Wabash, Chester & Western	81	31,884	562	...	685	203	2,772	2,156	1,100	377	72,831	115
116	Wisconsin Central Lines	514	24,936	1,145	134,578	21,146	425	109,615	87,878	138,266	17,051	779,061	116
Totals		865,742	9,837,082	938,240	854,310	2,917,780	311,812	3,176,091	3,189,436	612,737	8,132,186	50,796,636	

(1) Estimated by company.

(2) Estimated by office.

(3) Includes all products of mines.

TABLE X.—Number of Employés and Salaries, for year ending June 30, 1890—Whole Line and in Illinois.

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	General officers	General office clerks	Station agents	Other station men..	Enginemén	Firemen	Conductors	Other trainmen.....	Machinists	Carpenters	Other shopmen.....	Section foremen....	Other trackmen.....
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	16	146	106	284	136	142	121	275	75	33	430	125	1,092
5 Baltimore & Ohio	5	36	34	503	80	72	60	130	67	152	87	56	255
7 Belt Railway of Chicago.....	9	19	1	32	37	35	27	71	16	16	16	6	48
8 Centralia & Chester	24	1	1		1	1	1						
9 Chicago & Alton	9	78	150	330	157	158	164	363	204	226	104	166	976
12 Chicago & Atlantic	11	43	64	338	92	92	50	131	36	62	135	79	456
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois	11	61	87	120	82	82	54	115	61	61	255	78	326
15 Chicago & Western Indiana	9	11	68	67	4					9		10	326
16 Chicago & Grand Trunk	15	125	68	349	148	136	119	183	212	168	122	78	326
17 Chicago & Iowa	4	11	20	18	14	16	10	23	16	7	20	17	60
20 Chicago & North-western	29	421	667	2,121	1,016	1,100	657	1,365	527	1,105	2,285	729	3,464
21 Chicago & Ohio River	5		19		52		49		1			12	297
23 Chicago, Burlington & Northern	17	81	50	49	58	58	2	94	79	37	148	63	217
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	115	914	693	1,501	884	887	579	1,244	839	1,351	4,424	806	4,251
26 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	50	368	856	992	853	861	651	1,333	421	829	2,428	1,236	3,425
30 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis	11	23	53	25	24	24	19	35	32	52	50	51	211
31 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	12	346	433	1,021	553	553	375	817	769	686	1,571	554	2,362
34 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh	38	163	118	564	253	252	159	381	164	168	737	247	669
36 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	15	201	143	236	145	144	102	193	119	117	275	193	538
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Northern Pacific	35	471	311	1,070	328	358	324	601	267	229	1,506	253	1,394
39 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chi. & St. Louis		2	6	2	2	2	2	4				7	18
42 Kankakee & Seneca	1						32	85	37	37	312	56	190
43 Peoria and Eastern	15	44	63	50	53	55	3	9				2	10
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet	6	3		1	3	3						2	45
46 East St. Louis Connecting	3						4		6	4	15	3	35
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	11	18	24	11	12	12	13	29	7	10	25	25	115
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge	3	1	6		5	5	2	3	10	2		8	21
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale		1	4	1	5	5	3	5	5	2	7	4	20
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau		1			3	3	2	5	2	2		4	20
55 Illinois Central	34	206	416	1,012	488	537	381	855	251	218	1,343	378	2,136
56 Indiana & Illinois Southern	3	3	14		3	3	3	5	2	4	7	13	39

66	Indianapolis, Decatur & Western	6	10	39	25	15	16	101	25	15	26	55	25	92	66
67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	8	17	16	10	10	10	6	14	5	9	8	20	55	
68	Iowa Central	8	41	99	53	51	54	38	70	49	41	157	73	253	67
69	Lake Erie & Western	20	39	113	160	87	88	60	128	44	81	146	98	386	71
70	Lake Shore & Michigan Southern	18	272	253	2,646	637	661	364	808	485	737	1,042	292	3	284
71	Louisville & Nashville	2	5	27	107	45	49	29	91	62	85	364	33	223	72
72	Louisville, Evansville & St. L. Consol.	11	53	54	89	47	58	30	72	42	56	165	52	237	74
73	Louisville, Evansville & Chicago	76	71	121	129	92	94	54	128	62	90	237	94	433	77
74	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	18	248	225	801	488	510	291	713	217	363	723	363	1,084	78
75	Michigan Central	21	98	101	343	36	40	17	44	23	17	71	31	138	80
76	Mobile & Ohio	2	24	23	49	36	35	103	158	96	54	497	100	593	83
77	New York, Chicago & St. Louis	14	98	101	343	154	154	163	158	96	54	497	100	593	83
78	Ohio & Mississippi	16	104	121	253	125	125	129	241	136	293	314	112	821	85
79	Ohio & Mississippi	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	87
80	Pawnee	36	126	131	997	336	368	309	797	227	549	1,766	138	1,460	88
81	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. P. Ft. W. & C.)	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	11	27	29	2	6	9
82	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. So. Chi. & Southern) ..	4	5	3	37	26	31	1	2	38	33	34	41	100	95
83	Peoria & Pekin Union	12	41	49	54	27	35	14	38	30	12	34	23	75	91
84	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	7	5	22	6	7	8	6	9	2	12	4	4	90	95
85	Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City	5	7	25	14	13	13	8	14	12	21	30	19	60	96
86	Rock Island & Peoria	5	4	18	14	4	4	4	8	1	7	95	10	250	98
87	St. Louis, Alton & Springfield	9	37	41	25	33	31	25	59	45	56	1	42	98	
88	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	3	1	8	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	6	18	105
89	St. Louis & Chicago	3	1	8	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	1	6	8	106
90	St. Louis & Peoria	2	5	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
91	Terminal R. R. Association of St. Louis	5	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
92	Terre Haute & Indianapolis	14	60	24	30	60	60	15	15	40	31	40	27	63	107
93	Terre Haute & Evansville	7	21	24	30	58	63	68	178	24	34	45	33	219	108
94	Terre Haute & Peoria	5	21	24	30	12	14	12	23	9	14	45	23	155	110
95	Toledo, Peoria & Western	7	28	34	41	30	30	20	48	16	34	56	40	120	111
96	Toledo, Peoria & Western	11	58	100	73	68	73	56	115	23	34	216	31	1,360	112
97	(1) Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	34	267	300	846	470	497	279	658	519	331	1,149	311	1,360	112
98	Wabash	2	1	9	3	2	2	2	1	1	7	9	6	30	115
99	Wabash, Chester & Western	2	1	9	3	2	2	2	1	1	7	9	6	30	115
100	Wisconsin Central Line's	21	114	123	313	165	138	90	180	53	146	319	134	749	116
Totals		888	5,526	6,631	17,768	8,538	8,829	6,011	13,035	6,474	8,717	24,155	7,480	35,784	

(1) Does not include contractor's employes, to whom monthly allowance is made, to cover cost of maintenance.

66	Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	8	411	294,322.50	198	91,468.86	66
67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	9	296	139,610.92	162	80,521.41	67
68	Iowa Central.....	22	1,131	679,557.32	157	105,144.59	68
69	Lake Erie & Western.....	42	1,771	1,006,589.72	195	70,329.00	69
70	Lake Erie & Michigan Southern.....	47	13,667	7,767,022.80	1,333	777,284.72	70
71	Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	451	1,283	7,084,144.96	1,133	593,558.92	71
72	Louisville & Nashville.....	22	1,013	503,331.37	181	171,886.41	72
73	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis (Consolidated).....	3	1,068	1,052,447.04	214	190,722.76	73
74	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	56	9,331	5,510,800.67	672	467,116.92	74
75	Michigan Central.....	277	742	412,346.60	712	412,346.60	75
76	Mobile & Ohio.....	131	3,316	2,214,730.50	555	367,873.11	76
77	New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	320	3,560	1,808,769.20	2,127	1,080,739.60	77
78	Ohio & Mississippi.....	68	5	(0) 1,310.00	5	1,310.00	78
79	Ohio & Pennsylvania.....	235	8,445	5,054,371.87	265	158,981.19	79
80	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chi.).....	5	22	10,625.88	22	10,625.88	80
81	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chicago & Southern).....	18	464	212,630.41	464	212,630.41	81
82	Peoria & Pekin Union.....	32	583	270,825.48	496	230,268.43	82
83	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	32	210	109,109.00	317	57,753.27	83
84	Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	3	317	180,382.00	317	180,382.00	84
85	Rock Island and Peoria.....	9	131	62,556.00	131	62,556.00	85
86	St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	2	867	40,541.59	867	40,541.59	86
87	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	17	53	31,055.82	53	31,055.82	87
88	St. Louis & Chicago.....	1	2	10,311.04	2	(3) 10,311.04	88
89	St. Louis & Peoria.....	1	294	681,033.00	294	106,533.00	89
90	Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	22	845	620,673.98	961	620,673.98	90
91	Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	61	1,159	332,383.64	1,119	332,383.64	91
92	Terre Haute & Peoria.....	8	211	381,178.19	621	377,098.19	92
93	Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	32	78	883,236.38	226	174,367.31	93
94	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	48	888	5,736,354.98	2,869	1,912,181.89	94
95	Wabash.....	278	8,607	5,736,354.98	62	50,821.48	95
96	Wabash, Chester & Western.....	70	2,897	1,846,319.41	291	128,369.17	96
97	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	70	128	1,846,319.41	291	128,369.17	97
98	Totals.....	10,561	182,680	\$107,705,205.49	57,435	\$33,991,396.16	

(1) Includes six months' salaries paid by Peoria & Eastern Ry. Co., and six months' salaries paid by Ohio, Indiana & Western Ry. Co.

(2) Does not include contractor's employees, to whom monthly allowance is made to cover cost of maintenance.

(3) Salaries for eight months.

(4) Salaries for six months.

TABLE XI.—Average Daily Compensation of Employés, for year ending June 30, 1890.

NAME OF COMPANY.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		General office clerks	Station agents	Other station men..	Enginemén	Firemen	Conduetors.	Other trainmen.	Machinists	Carpenters	Other shopmen.	Section foremen.	Other trackmen	Switchmen, flagmen and watchmen	Telegraph operators and dispatchers	Employés—Account floating equipment	All other employes and laborers.	
1	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.	\$1 99	\$1 65	\$1 64	\$1 42	\$2 34	\$2 52	78 32	22 30	48 07	\$1 61	\$1 66	1 50	01 01	\$1 75	\$1 87	1 5
5	Baltimore & Ohio.	2 79	2 15	1 58	4 07	1 64	1 75	32 07	21 30	73 17	1 99	1 55	1 11	22 77	1 89	1 33	7
7	Belt Railway of Chicago.	1 50	1 00	1 58	3 56	3 83	3 74	2 07	1 58	1 50	1 64	1 30	1 30	1 01	1 18	1 08	8
8	Centralia & Chester.	2 22	2 00	1 71	3 58	3 43	2 97	53	1 08	2 06	1 52	1 76	1 45	2 02	1 91	1 75	9
9	Chicago & Alton.	2 22	1 75	1 66	3 55	3 09	4 05	1 97	2 23	1 81	1 81	1 71	1 27	2 02	1 80	1 52	12
12	Chicago & Atlantic.	2 22	1 75	1 66	3 55	3 09	4 05	1 97	2 23	1 81	1 81	1 71	1 27	2 02	1 80	1 52	12
14	Chicago & Eastern Illinois.	2 22	1 75	1 66	3 55	3 09	4 05	1 97	2 23	1 81	1 81	1 71	1 27	2 02	1 80	1 52	12
15	Chicago and Western Indiana	2 22	1 75	1 66	3 55	3 09	4 05	1 97	2 23	1 81	1 81	1 71	1 27	2 02	1 80	1 52	12
17	Chicago and Grand Trunk	2 22	1 75	1 66	3 55	3 09	4 05	1 97	2 23	1 81	1 81	1 71	1 27	2 02	1 80	1 52	12
20	Chicago & Iowa.	2 02	1 45	1 67	3 33	2 38	2 00	91	1 58	2 23	1 50	1 82	1 10	1 33	2 06	2 64	1 52	20
21	Chicago & Northwestern.	2 55	2 06	1 67	3 33	2 38	2 00	91	1 58	2 23	1 50	1 82	1 10	1 33	2 06	2 64	1 52	21
23	Chicago & Ohio River.	2 55	2 06	1 67	3 33	2 38	2 00	91	1 58	2 23	1 50	1 82	1 10	1 33	2 06	2 64	1 52	23
24	Chicago, Burlington & Northern	2 79	1 77	1 61	3 37	2 37	3 03	1 06	1 84	2 23	1 83	1 52	1 01	1 33	1 60	1 52	24
25	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	2 79	1 77	1 61	3 37	2 37	3 03	1 06	1 84	2 23	1 83	1 52	1 01	1 33	1 60	1 52	25
26	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	2 79	1 77	1 61	3 37	2 37	3 03	1 06	1 84	2 23	1 83	1 52	1 01	1 33	1 60	1 52	26
29	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.	2 12	1 45	1 68	3 58	2 21	3 15	1 80	2 06	2 23	1 53	1 79	1 14	1 33	2 05	1 68	29
34	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.	2 12	1 45	1 68	3 58	2 21	3 15	1 80	2 06	2 23	1 53	1 79	1 14	1 33	2 05	1 68	34
36	Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh	2 12	1 45	1 68	3 58	2 21	3 15	1 80	2 06	2 23	1 53	1 79	1 14	1 33	2 05	1 68	36
37	Englewood Connecting.	2 53	1 85	1 58	3 11	2 62	2 79	1 36	2 24	45	1 44	1 49	1 15	1 33	1 62	1 88	37
38	Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	2 23	1 63	1 51	3 70	10	86	24	2 10	00	1 97	1 48	1 05	1 50	1 81	2 20	38
39	Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	2 23	1 63	1 51	3 70	10	86	24	2 10	00	1 97	1 48	1 05	1 50	1 81	2 20	39
40	Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis	1 60	1 63	1 15	3 70	10	86	24	2 10	00	1 97	1 48	1 05	1 50	1 81	1 85	40
42	Kankakee & Seneca.	1 50	1 15	1 20	3 33	70	3 10	1 03	2 10	00	1 45	1 75	1 05	1 50	1 50	1 85	42
43	Peoria & Eastern.	1 98	1 18	1 61	3 33	2 10	3 10	1 03	2 10	00	1 45	1 75	1 05	1 50	1 50	1 90	43
45	East St. Louis & Carondelet	2 58	1 72	1 42	3 15	1 80	3 45	1 49	2 30	71	2 05	1 66	1 05	1 56	2 16	1 49	45
46	East St. Louis Connecting.	2 58	1 72	1 42	3 15	1 80	3 45	1 49	2 30	71	2 05	1 66	1 05	1 56	2 16	1 49	46
48	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.	2 58	1 72	1 42	3 15	1 80	3 45	1 49	2 30	71	2 05	1 66	1 05	1 56	2 16	1 49	48
51	Fulton County Narrow Gauge.	2 51	1 64	1 70	3 33	1 98	3 45	1 15	2 10	06	1 63	1 92	1 21	1 45	1 71	1 26	1 55	51
52	Grand Tower & Carbondale	2 51	1 64	1 70	3 33	1 98	3 45	1 15	2 10	06	1 63	1 92	1 21	1 45	1 71	1 26	1 55	52
53	Grand Tower & Carbondale	2 51	1 64	1 70	3 33	1 98	3 45	1 15	2 10	06	1 63	1 92	1 21	1 45	1 71	1 26	1 55	53
54	Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau	3 19	1 33	1 34	3 08	1 97	3 55	1 15	2 10	06	1 63	1 92	1 21	1 45	1 71	1 26	1 55	54
55	Illinois Central.	1 50	1 07	1 08	3 63	1 79	3 55	1 15	2 10	06	1 63	1 92	1 21	1 45	1 71	1 26	1 55	55
56	Indiana & Illinois Southern.	2 36	1 39	1 79	3 60	1 80	3 63	1 80	2 10	06	1 63	1 92	1 21	1 45	1 71	1 26	1 55	56
60	Indianapolis, Decatur & Western	1 98	1 39	1 47	3 55	1 71	3 62	1 13	1 63	1 57	1 53	1 61	1 07	1 31	1 62	1 65	60

67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	1.53	1.54	1.85	1.08	1.22	1.43	2.32	2.61	2.07	3.01	1.94	3.20	1.43	1.58	2.46	1.53
68	Iowa Central.....	2.21	1.92	2.43	1.59	1.59	1.91	1.86	2.12	2.00	3.25	2.27	3.07	1.49	1.46	2.10	1.50
69	Lake Erie & Western.....	1.63	1.92	1.66	1.68	1.68	1.80	1.82	2.26	1.68	2.66	1.72	3.52	1.30	1.34	1.67	1.50
70	Lake Erie & Michigan Southern.....	1.95	1.80	1.75	1.16	1.58	1.80	1.82	2.05	1.75	3.05	1.77	3.26	1.35	1.42	1.29	1.50
71	Lake Shore & Nashville.....	1.40	1.81	2.08	1.04	1.58	1.80	1.82	2.05	1.75	3.05	1.77	3.26	1.35	1.42	1.29	1.50
72	Louisville & Nashville.....	1.40	1.81	2.08	1.04	1.58	1.80	1.82	2.05	1.75	3.05	1.77	3.26	1.35	1.42	1.29	1.50
73	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....	1.65	1.66	1.41	1.41	1.57	1.01	1.41	2.11	1.65	2.93	1.95	4.03	1.66	1.66	1.41	1.41
74	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....	1.88	1.65	2.06	1.15	1.65	1.21	1.40	2.12	1.75	3.05	1.91	3.82	1.97	1.66	1.41	1.41
75	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	1.79	1.65	2.00	1.25	1.75	1.01	1.40	2.12	1.75	3.05	1.91	3.82	1.97	1.66	1.41	1.41
76	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	1.97	1.65	2.00	1.25	1.75	1.01	1.40	2.12	1.75	3.05	1.91	3.82	1.97	1.66	1.41	1.41
77	Michigan Central.....	1.69	1.71	1.85	1.01	1.67	1.01	1.40	2.12	1.75	3.05	1.91	3.82	1.97	1.66	1.41	1.41
78	Michigan Central.....	1.69	1.71	1.85	1.01	1.67	1.01	1.40	2.12	1.75	3.05	1.91	3.82	1.97	1.66	1.41	1.41
79	Mobile & Ohio.....	1.85	1.54	1.54	1.05	1.25	1.25	1.80	2.00	1.70	2.80	2.12	3.57	1.80	1.25	1.50	1.50
80	New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	1.69	1.54	1.54	1.05	1.25	1.25	1.80	2.00	1.70	2.80	2.12	3.57	1.80	1.25	1.50	1.50
81	New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	1.69	1.54	1.54	1.05	1.25	1.25	1.80	2.00	1.70	2.80	2.12	3.57	1.80	1.25	1.50	1.50
82	Ohio & Mississippi.....	1.69	1.54	1.54	1.05	1.25	1.25	1.80	2.00	1.70	2.80	2.12	3.57	1.80	1.25	1.50	1.50
83	Pawnee.....	2.10	1.98	1.52	1.15	1.82	1.15	1.90	2.59	2.15	3.16	2.65	3.51	1.71	1.71	2.78	2.78
84	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pittsburg, Ft. W. & Chi.).....	2.10	1.98	1.52	1.15	1.82	1.15	1.90	2.59	2.15	3.16	2.65	3.51	1.71	1.71	2.78	2.78
85	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chi. & Southern).....	2.10	1.98	1.52	1.15	1.82	1.15	1.90	2.59	2.15	3.16	2.65	3.51	1.71	1.71	2.78	2.78
86	Peoria & Pekin Union.....	1.33	1.45	1.52	1.25	1.62	1.25	1.45	2.50	1.30	2.66	1.75	3.51	1.34	1.34	2.30	2.30
87	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	1.33	1.45	1.52	1.25	1.62	1.25	1.45	2.50	1.30	2.66	1.75	3.51	1.34	1.34	2.30	2.30
88	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	1.33	1.45	1.52	1.25	1.62	1.25	1.45	2.50	1.30	2.66	1.75	3.51	1.34	1.34	2.30	2.30
89	Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	1.25	1.45	1.52	1.25	1.62	1.25	1.45	2.50	1.30	2.66	1.75	3.51	1.34	1.34	2.30	2.30
90	Rock Island & Peoria.....	1.25	1.45	1.52	1.25	1.62	1.25	1.45	2.50	1.30	2.66	1.75	3.51	1.34	1.34	2.30	2.30
91	Rock Island & Peoria.....	1.25	1.45	1.52	1.25	1.62	1.25	1.45	2.50	1.30	2.66	1.75	3.51	1.34	1.34	2.30	2.30
92	St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	1.97	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
93	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	1.97	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
94	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	1.97	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
95	St. Louis & Chicago.....	2.30	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
96	St. Louis & Chicago.....	2.30	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
97	St. Louis & Chicago.....	2.30	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
98	St. Louis & Chicago.....	2.30	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
99	St. Louis & Chicago.....	2.30	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
100	St. Louis & Chicago.....	2.30	1.53	1.85	1.14	1.93	1.14	1.96	2.56	1.92	3.80	1.71	3.50	1.51	1.51	1.58	1.58
101	Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	4.29	2.32	2.08	2.52	2.50	2.08	2.50	2.32	2.22	3.88	2.16	3.33	1.40	1.40	1.58	1.58
102	Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	1.63	1.30	1.40	1.30	1.50	1.30	1.50	1.32	1.70	2.52	1.94	4.00	1.29	1.29	1.90	1.90
103	Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	1.63	1.30	1.40	1.30	1.50	1.30	1.50	1.32	1.70	2.52	1.94	4.00	1.29	1.29	1.90	1.90
104	Terre Haute & Peoria.....	1.63	1.30	1.40	1.30	1.50	1.30	1.50	1.32	1.70	2.52	1.94	4.00	1.29	1.29	1.90	1.90
105	Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	1.49	1.33	1.54	1.05	1.33	1.05	1.33	1.45	1.86	2.88	1.95	3.49	1.56	1.56	1.66	1.66
106	Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	1.49	1.33	1.54	1.05	1.33	1.05	1.33	1.45	1.86	2.88	1.95	3.49	1.56	1.56	1.66	1.66
107	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	1.77	1.90	1.90	1.43	1.56	1.43	1.90	1.73	1.88	3.09	1.95	3.49	1.56	1.56	1.66	1.66
108	Wabash.....	2.28	1.71	1.71	1.53	1.53	1.43	1.90	1.73	1.88	3.09	1.95	3.49	1.56	1.56	1.66	1.66
109	Wabash.....	2.28	1.71	1.71	1.53	1.53	1.43	1.90	1.73	1.88	3.09	1.95	3.49	1.56	1.56	1.66	1.66
110	Wabash, Chester & Western.....	2.16	1.33	1.33	1.10	1.53	1.10	1.53	1.00	1.62	2.78	2.00	3.67	1.38	1.38	1.33	1.33
111	Wabash, Chester & Western.....	2.16	1.33	1.33	1.10	1.53	1.10	1.53	1.00	1.62	2.78	2.00	3.67	1.38	1.38	1.33	1.33
112	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	2.03	1.90	1.75	1.16	1.56	1.16	1.90	2.34	1.58	2.88	2.19	3.25	1.55	1.55	1.71	1.71
113	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	2.03	1.90	1.75	1.16	1.56	1.16	1.90	2.34	1.58	2.88	2.19	3.25	1.55	1.55	1.71	1.71
114	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	2.03	1.90	1.75	1.16	1.56	1.16	1.90	2.34	1.58	2.88	2.19	3.25	1.55	1.55	1.71	1.71
115	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	2.03	1.90	1.75	1.16	1.56	1.16	1.90	2.34	1.58	2.88	2.19	3.25	1.55	1.55	1.71	1.71
116	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	2.03	1.90	1.75	1.16	1.56	1.16	1.90	2.34	1.58	2.88	2.19	3.25	1.55	1.55	1.71	1.71

[illegible]

- (1) Illinois proportion of entire equipment.
- (2) Includes construction locomotives.
- (3) Includes equipment east of the Missouri river.
- (4) Includes freight and switching locomotives.
- (5) Includes combination cars.

Table XII.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	CARS IN FREIGHT SERVICE.										CARS IN COMPANY'S SERVICE.					
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	Gravel cars....	Derrick cars...	Caboose cars..	Others.....	Total.....	Fitted with automatic coupler.....
	Box cars.....	Flat cars.....	Stock cars.....	Coal cars.....	Tank cars.....	Refrigerator cars.....	Others.....	Total.....	Equipped with train brake...	Fitted with automatic coupler.....						
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	825	165	36	1,346				2,372				3	3	4	10	1
2 Baltimore & Ohio.....	364							364								5
3 Belt Railway of Chicago.....				10									9		9	7
4 Centralia & Chester.....	2															8
5 Chicago & Alton.....	3,177	561	1,898	1,407		130		7,123	474		92	3	100	13	208	12
6 Chicago & Atlantic.....	1,129	416	1,112	510				2,716				2	41	43		13
7 Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....		10	20					30				1	3		4	13
8 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	1,628	226	209	5,828		92		7,983			11	3	47	11	71	14
9 Chicago & Western Indiana.....		80					50	130			20		5	48	53	15
10 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	148	145	15				63	371		167		2		8	10	17
11 Chicago & Iowa.....	148		1	47				196	11	11			12	1	13	20
12 Chicago & North-western.....	14,469	2,197	1,861	1,950		156	4,051	25,281	2,617	2,369	29	29	451	155	615	31
13 Chicago & Ohio River.....	6							6				1	25			23
14 Chicago & Burlington & Northern.....	2,450	100	300	500				3,350		1,676			217	17	292	24
15 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	12,857	1,600	2,562	2,344		330		19,693	2,311	1,676			15	51		25
16 Chicago, Rock Island & Chicago.....	386	130	91	261				871					450	51		28
17 Chicago, Rock Island & St. Paul.....	14,814	4,101	2,499			408		21,882		289		10	7	67	73	29
18 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Louis.....	416	2		298				716				2	187		407	30
19 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	4,870	866	1,139	547		70		7,492	900	990	218	1	110	10	125	31
20 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	386	321	321	274				1,645	231	231	5	3	72	31	166	36
21 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburg.....	1,522	357	449	234		10	5	2,587					111	62	219	38
22 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	8,109		3,600	290		200		12,996	1,532	3,132		3	26	10	39	39
23 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	1,098	1,219	533					1,850					1	4	5	43
24 Peoria & Eastern.....																45
25 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....		30						30								46
26 East St. Louis Connecting.....		50	620					700				1	22		23	48
27 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	41	18	25	55			8	117								49
28 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....		6														51
29 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....		15	959	65			350	436				1		34	252	53
30 Illinois Central.....	6,374	256		2,693		503		10,785	200			4	217	2	4	55
31 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....				100				102								56
32 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	501	71	89	110				771				1			9	

67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	5	883	47	108	2	175	6	44	56	67
68	Iowa Central.....	1,751	657	57	277	3	2,722	20	15	37	68
71	Lake Erie & Western.....	7,371	2,128	1,417	4,138	50	15,104	270	35	45	71
72	Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	110	75	20	350	75	555	12	270	7	72
74	Louisville & Nashville.....	517	133	46	910	15	1,006	1	10	17	74
76	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated.....	1,758	449	81	1,070	49	3,445	1	35	15	76
77	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	4,211	2,970	1,322	514	32	9,113	1	30	66	77
78	Michigan Central.....	144	50	18	208	10	221	26	49	330	78
80	Mobile & Ohio.....	3,364	1,121	648	208	9	5,491	7	274	51	80
83	New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	1,877	289	108	250	150	2,545	4	77	82	83
85	Ohto & Mississippi.....	1	1	1	20	21	1	66	27	93	85
87	Pawnee.....	4,628	450	2,212	2,435	1	9,755	162	6	172	87
88	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pitts'ph, Ft. W. & Chi.).....	25	3	150	15	1	40	4	1	14	88
88	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. S. Chicago & Southern).....	3	3	150	15	1	40	1	1	1	88
92	Peoria & Pekin Union.....	1,300	129	92	346	6	1,867	11	90	108	92
93	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	12	20	46	29	1	137	6	57	57	93
94	Quincy, Ottawa & Kansas City.....	191	30	30	147	1	401	1	2	9	94
95	Rock Island & Peoria.....	15	20	30	20	1	55	1	2	2	95
96	St. Louis, Alton & Springfield.....	238	154	23	1,117	13	1,375	1	15	43	96
98	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	2	3	17	17	1	40	1	1	1	98
103	St. Louis & Chicago.....	25	3	200	200	1	200	1	1	1	103
106	St. Louis & Peoria.....	25	25	25	200	1	25	1	4	5	106
107	Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	805	25	290	455	1	1,612	1	27	16	107
108	Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	36	11	24	64	8	205	105	10	149	108
110	Terre Haute & Peoria.....	442	4	125	206	1	537	1	16	18	110
111	Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	1,013	398	259	300	50	1,803	32	32	27	111
112	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	6,104	3,546	1,553	300	100	11,453	10	201	3	112
114	Wabash.....	29	2	5	25	1	39	1	74	416	114
115	Wabash, Chester & Western.....	737	445	117	117	832	2,131	1	1	3	115
116	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	113,801	21,972	22,888	36,737	32	2,120	817	1,285	5,792	116
	Totals.....	113,801	21,972	22,888	36,737	32	2,120	817	1,285	5,792	9

Table XII—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	CARS CONTRIBUTED TO EAST FREIGHT LINE SERVICE.					38	39	40	41	42	
	35	36	37	CARS CONTRIBUTED TO EAST FREIGHT LINE SERVICE.							
				Number	Equipped with train brake...						
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....						2,388		2,405	17		1
5 Baltimore & Ohio.....						364		364			2
7 Belt Railway of Chicago.....						9		28	19		7
8 Centralia & Chester.....						11	125	15			8
9 Chicago & Alton	316					7,779		8,007	745		9
12 Chicago & Atlantic.....	500					2,747		3,819	66		12
13 Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....						34		38			13
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....						8,126		8,217	95		14
15 Chicago & Western Indiana.....						183		197	9		15
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....						428	2,107	559	166		17
20 Chicago & Iowa.....						220		236	38		20
21 Chicago & Northwestern.....	480					26,958		27,764	3,890		21
22 Chicago & Northern Pacific.....						21		27	57		22
23 Chicago & Ohio River.....						8		11	93		23
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....						3,679		3,735	35		24
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....						20,302		20,771	3,155	2,018	25
26 Chicago, Rock Island & Chicago.....						908		938	50	20	26
28 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	834	150				23,854		24,630	1,073	870	28
29 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....						817		846	41	26	29
30 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....						8,745		9,280	1,529	30	30
34 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	612	100				1,896	5,882	2,090	1,214	1,214	34
36 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....						2,702	2,176	2,814	552	357	36
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....						15,712	1,229	16,439	151	69	38
39 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	2,216		600			1,946	780	2,017	2,017	4,015	39
43 Peoria & Eastern.....						5	200	9	77	57	43
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....						39		39		45	45
46 East St. Louis Connecting.....						50		50	16	46	46
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....						726		757		48	48
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....						152		156		51	51
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....						411		417	5	53	53
55 Illinois Central.....	50					11,370		11,607	567	269	55
56 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....						108		112	6		56

Indianapolis, Decatur & Western					798			816				66
Indiana, Illinois & Iowa					235			247				67
Iowa Central & Western					1,735			1,792				68
Lake Erie & Western					3,327			3,417				69
Lake Shore & Michigan Southern					21,092	499		21,631				70
74 Louisville & Nashville	5.166				385			415				71
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidated ..					1,659			1,761				72
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago					3,571			3,652				73
78 Michigan Central	3.218				12,856			13,377				74
80 (1) Mobile & Ohio					286			300				75
83 N. W. York, Chicago & St. Louis					7,015			7,173				76
85 Ohio & Mississippi	1.422				2,715			2,858				77
87 Pawnee					2			3				78
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Operat'g Pitts(b)h, Ft. W. & Chi.)					10,113			10,451				79
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. St. Chicago & Southern) ..					55			60				80
92 Peoria & Pekin Union					158			170				81
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville					1,994			2,026				82
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City					200			208				83
95 Rock Island & Peoria					129			135				84
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield					69			74				85
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute					1,644			1,674				86
105 St. Louis & Chicago					47			54				87
106 St. Louis & Peoria					201			202				88
107 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis ..					37			59				89
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis					1,822			1,869				90
110 Terre Haute & Peoria					232			245				91
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western					885			927				92
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	341				2,256			2,322				93
114 Wabash	1,855				14,003			14,400				94
115 Wabash, Chester & Western					65			68				95
116 Wisconsin Central Lines					2,246			2,310				96
Totals	17,510		2.0	1,102	235,215		19,125	242,171		20,826		97
												98
												99
												100
												101
												102
												103
												104
												105
												106
												107
												108
												109
												110
												111
												112
												113
												114
												115
												116

(d) Illinois proportion of entire equipment.

TABLE XIII.—*Rails, Ties, Ballast, Bridges, etc., in Illinois, for year ending June 30, 1890.*

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	RAILS.			Steel.	TIES.		Number of stations	Length of road unfenced...
	Iron.		Number laid during year.....		Average number per mile			
	Number of miles—main line....	Tons laid during year				Number of miles main line.....		
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe*	13.03		265.27	381.25	35,498	2,992	61	
2 Chicago, Santa Fe & California			2.12					
3 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe in Chicago.			.51					
4 Mississippi River R. R. & Toll Bridge Co.								
5 Baltimore & Ohio.			5.91	2.69	2,400	3,000	3	
6 Baltimore & Ohio & Chicago.								
7 Centralia & Chester	5.91		8.00	4,085.32	228,261	3,000	113	3.00
8 Chicago & Alton			37.20	46,419	20,418	3,000	9	64.56
9 Joliet & Chicago								
10 Mississippi River Bridge Co.			68					
11 Chicago & Calumet Terminal			27.00	1,013.47	75,600	2,800	1	12.00
12 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.	11.36		168.72	55,888	55,888	3,000	55	3.37
13 Chicago & Western Illinois.			48.58	622.70	35,585	3,000	30	18.78
14 Evansville, Terre Haute & Chicago.			5.48	3,110	3,110	3,000		
15 Chicago & Grand Trunk			21.88	1,133.00	15,720	2,610	15	17.17
16 Chicago & Illinois Southern.			3.90		5,962	2,640	5	18.18
17 Chicago & Iowa			41					
18 Chicago & Northwestern.			104.00	217.00	2,627	2,900	20	19.20
19 Chicago & North Pacific.	26.40		507.88	3,100.22	196,540	2,800	152	5.00
20 Chicago & North Western.			18.07				29	
21 Chicago & Ohio River.	86.00		43.57	14,815	14,815	3,000	19	58.50
22 Chicago, Burlington & N. rthern.			601.02	4,155	4,155	3,000	15	32.61
23 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	245.46		12.75	6,229.50	295,216	3,000	180	82.04
24 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy			12.45				3	
25 Galesburg and Rio.			58.74	15.90	3,077	3,000	15	
26 Illinois Valley & Northern			298.55		125,977	3,000	65	
27 St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago.	15.27		283.88	4,503.75	81,096	2,640	118	70.50
28 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.	31.20							

112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	179.49	1,502.00	51,561	2,610	41	8.00	112
113 Union Stock Yards & Transit Co.	50.00	2,613.77	28,115	3,000	187	237.50	113
114 Wabash, Chester & Western	625.10	150.00	10,200	2,700	17	32.00	114
115 Wabash, Chester & Western	27.13	210.00	20,400	23,000	15		115
116 Wisconsin Central Lines*	49.36						116
117 Chicago & Wisconsin							117
Totals	8,982.19	71,555.11	3,112,789		2,459	1,477.70	
	1,181.27						

Table XIII.—Continued.

	NAME OF COMPANY.	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		BALLAST.					BRIDGES.				TRESTLES AND PILE.	
		Miles of stone.....	Miles of sand or gravel.....	Miles of cinders..	Miles of earth....	Miles of slag.....	No. of stone.....	No. of iron.....	No. of wooden....	No. of combination	Number.....	Aggregate length in feet.....
1	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe*											
2	Chicago, Santa Fe & California.....	2.12	139.79	20.00	118.51			6	364	21	417	43,982
3	Atch., Top. & Santa Fe in Chi.....											
4	Baltimore & Ohio.....		5.91					1				
5	Baltimore & Ohio & Chicago.....											
6	Can. rail & Chester.....											
7	Chicago & Alton.....	28.29	157.01	140.18	(2) 67.92		80	70	181	2		
8	Chicago & Chicago.....	.83	33.53	2.84				31	42			
9	Chicago & Calumet Terminal.....		97.00					3			1	112
10	Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....		166.72	2.00	11.36		12	10	1	1	10	1,344
11	Chicago & Western Indiana.....		17.48	21.21	1.47			15	1		271	11,577
12	Chicago & Western Illinois.....	5.52						10	2		6	7,094
13	Chicago & Terre Haute & Chi.....		3.48				1				3	7,106
14	Chicago & Grand Trunk.....		14.50	1.25		6.00					7	195
15	Chicago & Grand Trunk.....											
16	Chicago & Illinois Southern.....				3.00							
17	Chicago & Iowa.....				.41							
18	Chicago & Northwestern.....		104.00					1	138			
19	Chicago & Northern Pacific.....		479.33	5.00	39.47	41.60	20	56	49	12	214	17,941
20	Chicago & Northern Pacific.....	12.94	5.13					1				
21	Chicago & Ohio River.....											
22	Chicago & Ohio River.....		3.66	3.46	86.00						102	5,789
23	Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....		450.37	82.20	(7) 383.21				10		1	198
24	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	43.02	52.21					58	201	23	548	16,139
25	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....		12.21								7	1,227
26	Galesburg & Rio.....		7.81	29.32							96	11,472
27	Illinois Valley & Northern.....		21.60								400	34,008
28	St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago.....	.05	139.37	23.42	(9) 43.78						398	29,112
29	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....		288.26	2.32	10.50	17.00		10				

[illegible]

Table XIII.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	BALLAST.					BRIDGES.				TRESTLES AND PILE.	
	Miles of stone....	Miles of sand and gravel.....	Miles of cinders..	Miles of earth....	Miles of slag.....	No. of stone.....	No. of iron.....	No. of wooden....	No. of combination	Number.....	Aggregate length in feet.....
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	5.50			8.90			1			7	2,189
99 Belleville & Carondelet.....				17.30					3	25	2,250
100 Belleville & Eldorado.....				50.20						98	9,865
101 Belleville & Southern Illinois.....			1.50	54.90			1			55	3,312
102 Chicago, St. Louis & Paducah.....	7.00		.75	45.75					13	87	10,372
103 St. Louis Southern.....				29.74					3	43	8,761
104 Carbondale & Shawneetown.....			4.00	17.50						33	1,230
105 St. Louis & Chicago.....				47.25						35	7,278
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....				14.00				22			
107 Terminal R. R. Ass'n of St. Louis.....			1.09								
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis*.....	38.20	119.60	.50			1		4		144	12,990
109 Terre Haute & Peoria.....				144.74			1	7	10	104	17,204
110 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....		2.00	3.00	225.10		6	22	51	4	329	31,658
111 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....		84.80		94.69			3			157	57,368
112 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	41.75	275.25	90.50	260.50		14	28	6		1,235	7,184
113 Wabash, Chester & Western.....				42.26					1	64	2,798
114 Wisconsin Central Lines*.....		45.60		3.70			2	1		42	2,798
115 Chicago & Wisconsin.....											
116	891.80	4,412.08	500.14	4,013.83	81.83	1,020	621	1,399	148	9,237	772,527
117 Totals.....											

(1) Includes 62 piling. (2) Includes 6.90 miles of mixed ballast. (3) Includes line of proprietary companies. (4) Includes line leased from St. L., A. & T. H. R. R. Co. (5) Includes gravel ballast. (6) Includes one steel bridge. (7) Includes cinders and earth. (8) Includes slack. (9) Includes burnt clay. (10) Includes rails laid on J. S. E., L. C. & W., and L. & St. L. R. R.'s. (11) Includes ties laid on J. S. E., L. C. & W., and L. & St. L. R. R.'s. (12) Includes bridges and trestles on J. S. E., L. C. & W., and L. & St. L. R. R.'s. *Inserted to show relation of routes following.

TABLE XIV.—Consumption of Fuel by Locomotives, whole line, for year ending June 30, 1890.

	NAME OF COMPANY.					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
PASSENGER.						
Coal—Tons.	Wood—Cords.		Total fuel consumed—tons.	Miles run.	Average pounds consumed per ton-mile.	
	Bituminous.	Hard.				Soft.
1	39,044.00	746.00		39,541.00	991,913.00	70.00
2	12,296.00		270.00	42,431.00	1,115,473.00	76.00
3	74,891.00	1,928.00		76,176.00	2,161,335.00	70.39
9	29,671.00	325.00		29,996.00	818,531.00	73.30
14	21,323.00	390.00		21,583.00	785,237.00	55.00
17	41,854.05			41,854.05	1,296,414.00	64.57
21	218,162.00	3,750.00	5,648.00	223,506.00	6,880,922.00	64.97
22					619,113.00	
25	128,867.75	2,345.00		130,461.08		
29	292,721.00		9,138.00	297,290.00	7,931,427.00	74.00
36	57,222.00	1,047.00		57,920.00	2,197,822.00	52.70
38	53,588.00	1,175.00		55,938.00	1,351,393.00	82.80
39	102,825.25			102,825.25	3,429,680.00	60.00
42	912.00			912.00	25,317.00	72.10
43	10,529.00			10,529.00	350,990.00	42.43
48	925.00	29.50		945.00	32,631.00	57.91
49	711.00	24.00		727.00	38,186.00	38.07
53	1,165.00			1,165.00	29,272.00	51.53
54	487.00			487.00	10,498.00	54.54
55	115,636.04	3,623.11		118,651.81	3,452,400.00	53.74
65	900.00			900.00	25,940.00	45.65
66	4,888.00			4,888.00	265,246.00	37.00
67	878.00			878.00	23,889.00	49.67
68	10,297.00	397.70		10,412.00	439,917.00	73.31
71	20,590.00	918.00		21,222.00	1,450,100.00	68.08
72	114,354.00	788.00		115,142.00	4,190,128.00	71.94
73	10,932.50		129.75	11,019.00	327,555.00	66.73
74	15,450.00		80.00	15,490.00	586,546.00	76.79
76	30,242.00			30,617.90	935,555.00	66.45
77	114,408.75	563.85		114,408.75	4,273,296.00	67.50
78	5,862.00			5,862.00	279,629.00	42.00

Table XIV.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	5	6
	PASSENGER.					
	COAL—Tons.		WOOD—Cords.		Total fuel consumed—tons.	Miles run.
	Bituminous.		Hard.	Soft.		
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis	12,676.50			174.05	12,763.50	468,956.00
85 Ohio & Mississippi	10,653.00	135.00			10,743.00	1,677,866.00
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western	76,803.00	2,167.63			78,248.00	376,624.00
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. P. Ft. W. & C.)	685.45	17.63			698.06	2,632,730.00
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chi. & Southern)	6,196.00	72.00		145.00	6,413.00	27,753.00
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	2,304.00	24.00			2,352.00	331,879.00
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City	3,312.00	50.00			3,358.75	86,156.00
95 Rock Island & Peoria	7,987.00			221.00	8,429.00	126,372.00
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	2,698.00				2,698.00	369,445.00
105 St. Louis & Chicago	20,408.00	720.00			20,888.00	62,613.00
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis	1,617.00	55.00			2,088.00	625,779.00
110 Terre Haute & Peoria	7,416.00			348.00	4,472.00	299,873.00
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western	11,188.00				7,590.00	318,891.00
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	126,336.00	3,241.00			11,188.00	447,511.00
114 Wabash	50,949.25				121,627.00	4,561,862.00
115 Wabash, Chester & Western		1,918.37			891.76	47,962.00
116 Wisconsin Central Lines					52,228.25	1,608,628.00
Totals	1,936,123.30	26,478.79	16,153.78		1,965,426.19	60,358,359.00
						54.40
						57.00
						59.42
						50.31
						30.00
						31.00
						54.00
						53.15
						54.00
						67.01
						67.01
						66.70
						44.00
						47.62
						50.00
						56.80
						37.23
						65.00

(1) East of Missouri River.

TABLE XIV.—Consumption of Fuel by Locomotives, Whole Line, for year ending June 30, 1890.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	7	8	9	10	11	12
	FREIGHT.					
	COAL—TONS.		WOOD—CORDS.		Total fuel consumed—tons.	Average pounds consumed per mile.
	Bituminous.		Hard.	Soft.		
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	112,071.00	1,970.00			113,384.00	99.00
5 Baltimore & Ohio.....	98,820.00		757.00		99,199.00	131.00
8 Centralia & Chester.....	480.00				480.00	48.00
9 Chicago & Alton.....	166,333.00	3,192.00			168,461.00	94.03
12 Chicago & Atlantic.....	78,821.00	699.00			79,490.00	108.50
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	62,937.00	773.00			63,432.00	103.80
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	117,056.00				117,456.00	91.87
21 Chicago & Northwestern.....	665,020.00	8,211.00	12,230.00		676,614.00	91.86
23 Chicago & Ohio River.....	1,700.00	23.00			1,776.00	41.39
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....						
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy (I).....	520,008.75	6,263.00			521,784.08	
26 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	487,898.00		15,230.00		495,483.00	
30 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	114,467.00	1,829.00			115,680.00	
32 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	161,147.75	2,371.43			165,890.00	
38 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	255,921.25				255,921.25	
40 Kanakake & Seneca.....	973.00	19.00			985.00	
42 Peoria & Eastern.....	16,415.50				16,415.50	
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	12,733.00	290.00			12,926.00	
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge.....	1,247.00	48.00			1,279.00	
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....	2,163.00				2,163.00	
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau.....	(1) 732.00					
55 Illinois Central.....	368,946.52	10,438.12			376,239.02	
56 Indiana & Illinois Southern.....	2,908.00				2,908.00	
60 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	7,456.00				7,456.00	
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	7,856.00				7,856.00	
68 Iowa Central.....	49,614.50	1,110.50			50,485.00	
71 Lake Erie & Western.....	61,297.00	1,260.00			62,107.00	
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	393,601.00	1,488.00			395,089.00	
74 Louisville & Nashville.....	25,889.50		246.25		26,035.66	
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. L., Consolidated.....	27,799.00		129.00		27,803.73	
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....	69,980.00	1,235.60			70,803.73	
78 Michigan Central.....	315,507.75				315,507.75	
80 Mobile & Ohio.....	22,638.00				22,638.00	

Table XIV.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	7	8	9	10	11	12
	FREIGHT.					
	COAL—TONS.	WOOD—CORDS.		Total fuel consumed—tons.	Miles run.	Average pounds consumed per mile.
		Hard.	Soft.			
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....	297,853.10		1,506.91	298,606.10	4,058,313.00	102.80
85 Ohio & Mississippi.....	20,992.00	178.00		21,111.00	1,957,181.00	85
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	238,654.00	4,319.67		241,561.00	325,976.00	86
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Operating P. Ft. W. & C.).....	238,654.00	9.63		241,561.00	4,371,322.00	110.52
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. S. Chicago & Southern).....	(4) 611.10			617.50	8,589.00	138.94
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	14,077.00			14,319.00	36,500.00	40.00
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	4,702.00	81.00	161.00	4,882.00	324,582.00	88.00
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	12,637.00	145.00		13,014.00	112,696.00	86.00
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	23,414.00		361.00	24,166.00	500,582.00	110.42
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	1,371.00			1,371.00	28,170.00	96.00
106 St. Louis & Chicago.....	695.00			695.00	6,776.00	105.00
106 St. Louis & Peoria.....	40,740.00	1,613.00		41,853.00	876,584.00	179.00
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	8,699.00	125.00		8,824.00	141,103.00	108.00
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	23,367.00		657.00	23,696.00	577,296.00	110.00
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	68,193.00			68,193.00	1,227,408.00	82.00
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	352,123.00	8,227.00		360,350.00	7,735,077.00	111.00
114 Wabash.....	855.56			855.56	32,526.00	93.20
115 Wabash, Chester & Western.....	96,737.50	2,834.37		98,627.50	2,389,358.10	115
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....						116
Totals	5,316,928.78	59,346.32	31,214.29	5,380,393.25	109,485,306.00

(1) Includes fuel consumed by switching and construction locomotives.

(2) Includes miles run by switching and construction locomotives.

(3) East of Missouri River.

(4) Includes fuel consumed by locomotives in mixed trains.

Table XIV.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	SWITCHING.						
	COAL—Tons,		WOOD—Cords,		Total fuel consumed—tons,	Miles run,	Average pounds consumed per mile.
	Anthracite.	Bituminous.	Hard.	Soft.			
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	4,020.00	98.00	4,085.00	232,200.00	35.00
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pitts., Ft. W. & Chi.)....	63,670.04	1,230.00	64,490.04	2,527,015.00	53.04
92 Peoria & Pekin Union.....	9,770.00	9,770.00	488,500.00	40.00
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	1,182.00	1,182.00	107,952.00	21.00
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....	22.00	1,636.00	15,700.00	81.00
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	1,420.00	15.00	1,434.00	71,748.00	30.97
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	3,557.00	116.00	3,789.00	151,163.00	50.00
107 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	28,297.00	475.00	28,653.00	107
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	4,948.00	290.00	5,141.00	21,008.00	48.76
110 Terre Haute & Peoria.....	1,057.00	15.00	1,072.00	23,491.00	100.55
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	507.00	34.00	581.00	30.61
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	9,943.00	9,943.00	514,189.00	38.00
114 Wabash.....	68,802.00	1,646.00	70,448.00	(1) 2,802,901.00	50.36
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	11,547.00	578.87	11,553.00	526,632.00	45.00
Totals.....	1,581.00	1,187,110.31	14,762.97	8,750.04	1,204,482.77	44,274,728.00

(1) Includes fuel used by construction locomotives.

Table XIV.—Continued.

	NAME OF COMPANY.	CONSTRUCTION.					Average pounds con- sumed per mile.	
		COAL—TONS.	WOOD—CORDS.		Total fuel consumed— tons.	Miles run.		
			Hard.	Soft.				
								Bituminous.
20	21	22	23	24	25			
1	Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	7,214.00	93.00		7,306.00	296,924.00	49.00	1
9	Chicago & Alton.....	5,194.00	161.12		5,350.12	181,591.00	58.42	9
12	Chicago & Atlantic.....	4,516.00			4,516.00	156,780.00	55.10	12
14	Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....	5,335.00	26.00		5,361.00	45,792.00	102.80	14
17	Chicago & Grand Trunk.....	2,812.15			2,812.15	33,511.00	60.16	17
21	Chicago & Northwestern.....	21,219.00	426.00	591.00	21,836.00	811,160.00	53.81	21
24	Chicago, Burlington & Northern.....	8,000.00	115.00		8,136.61	22,812.00		24
25	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	29,272.00		914.00	29,729.00	794,277.00	71.00	25
30	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	3,976.00	80.00		4,029.00	171,183.00	17.06	30
36	Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....	4,038.50	73.56		4,185.60	79,634.00	105.01	36
38	Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....	6,777.00			6,777.00	234,219.00	57.80	38
39	Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	5.00			5.00	390.00	56.70	39
42	Kankakee & Seneca.....	44.50			44.50	1,539.00	57.80	42
43	Peoria & Eastern.....	3,016.00	53.00		3,069.00	15,969.00	132.95	43
48	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....	7,883.77	667.02		8,550.79	190,360.00	33.97	48
55	Illinois Central.....	222.00			222.00	5,488.00	81.00	55
66	Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....	298.00			298.00	7,863.00	52.90	66
67	Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....	3,119.00	140.00		3,259.00	104,360.00	60.00	67
71	Lake Erie & Western.....	13,349.00	47.00		13,396.00	62,315.00	38.69	71
73	Lake Shore & Michigan Southern.....	2,130.00		14.00	2,139.00	66,125.00	61.44	73
74	Louisville & Nashville.....	2,106.00		11.00	2,173.00	83,266.00	52.00	74
76	Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, Consolidat'd.....	1,392.00	55.75		1,424.16	55,680.00	51.33	76
77	Louisville, New Albany & Chicago.....			(1)				77
78	Michigan Central.....	1,563.00			1,563.00	573,363.00		78
80	Mobile & Ohio.....	8,763.30				65,493.00	16.00	80
83	New York, Chicago & St. Louis.....			80.00	8,803.30	215,825.00	81.60	83
85	Ohio & Mississippi.....					131,663.00		85
86	Ohio, Indiana & Western.....	575.00	18.00		587.00	26,957.00	13.00	86
88	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pitts., Ft. Wayne & Chi.).....	6,372.50	315.25		6,582.50	317,132.00	41.51	88
89	Pennsylvania Co. (Op. South Chi. & Southern).....	98.40	4.00		100.63	5,338.00	37.61	89
93	Peoria, Decatur & Evansville.....	638.00		11.00	649.00	16,586.00	78.00	93

Table XIV.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	CONSTRUCTION.							
	COAL—Tons.	WOOD—CORDS.		Total fuel consumed—tons.	Miles run.	Average pounds consumed per mile.		
		Bituminous.	Hard.				Soft.	
94 Quincy, Omaha, & Kansas City.....	540.00			540.00	4,320.00	250.00		
95 Rock Island & Peoria.....	666.00	6.00		665.61	13,893.00	67.62		
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	672.00		24.00	720.00	31,331.00	45.00		
108 Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	1,029.00	59.00		1,069.00	48,452.00	44.10		
111 Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	178.00		6.00	181.00	8,385.00	43.17		
112 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City.....	11,727.00			11,727.00	331,822.00	67.00		
116 Wisconsin Central Lines.....	4,832.50	326.00		5,069.50	291,730.00	39.00		
Totals.....	166,277.62	2,459.70	1,657.00	169,006.83	6,513,933.00		

(d). Fuel included in that used in freight service.

Table XIV.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	26	27	28	29	30	
	Grand total fuel consumed.—Tons.	Grand total miles run.....	Average pounds consumed per mile....	Average cost coal per ton at distributing point.....	Average cost wood per cord at distributing point.....	
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	179,896.00	1,366,959.00	82.00	\$1.75	\$1.61	1
5 Baltimore & Ohio	158,091.00	3,019,723.00	105.00	1.56	1.03	5
7 Belt Railway of Chicago	32,685.00	971,179.00	67.00	1.85	3.25	7
8 Centralia & Chester	480.00	19,957.00	48.00	8
9 Chicago & Alton	285,492.00	7,318,616.00	78.02	1.80	4.00	9
12 Chicago & Atlantic	124,348.00	2,977,898.00	83.51	1.69	1.09	12
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois	111,705.00	2,526,673.00	88.40	1.11	2.18	14
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk	179,190.10	4,906,451.00	73.04	2.05	17
21 Chicago & Northwestern	1,099,064.00	28,826,000.00	76.25	1.83	2.61	21
23 Chicago & Ohio River	1,776.00	85,827.00	41.39	1.15	1.30	23
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern....	(1)61,986.00	1,495,052.00	83.00	2.52	1.75	24
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	757,784.30	(2)13,391,531.00	(4)97.85	1.38	2.59	25
29 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	990,966.00	26,682,178.00	74.00	1.98	2.48	29
34 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	(3)589,951.00	18,927,423.00	62.34	34
36 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh	(2)235,581.00	7,208,212.00	62.56	1.80	3.00	36
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	212,760.49	4,936,799.00	98.28	1.80	2.65	38
39 Cleveland, Cin., Chicago & St. L....	(5)438,318.25	12,174,082.00	71.00	(6)1.45	1.91	39
42 Kankakee & Seneca	2,457.00	58,479.00	83.60	1.45	1.84	42
43 Peoria & Eastern	(7)27,189.00	703,659.00	77.27	1.45	2.00	43
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet	2,823.00	104,462.00	54.00	1.20	45
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern	19,505.00	444,653.00	87.73	1.43	2.75	48
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge	2,006.00	76,372.00	52.53	1.02	2.00	51
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale	3,328.00	56,812.00	117.00	1.00	53
54 Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau	1,219.00	25,313.00	92.00	1.00	54
55 Illinois Central	552,615.55	14,931,515.00	74.02	1.06	2.29	*55
56 Indiana & Illinois Southern	5,868.00	111,015.00	90	56
66 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western....	14,383.00	515,750.00	56.00	1.15	66
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	13,486.00	395,405.00	68.21	67
68 Iowa Central	66,119.00	1,838,955.00	71.91	1.44	1.79	68
71 Lake Erie & Western	100,455.53	2,990,283.00	65.90	1.61	2.15	71
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern	558,748.00	18,586,767.00	60.12	1.35	72
74 Louisville & Nashville	47,437.66	1,394,371.00	72.26	1.00	1.92	74
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, (Consolidated)	49,017.00	1,686,498.00	58.06	70	1.00	76
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	115,224.79	2,756,218.00	83.61	1.53	2.40	77
78 Michigan Central	514,466.00	15,397,796.00	66.82	2.11	78
80 Mobile & Ohio	34,315.00	1,023,140.00	67.00	.97	80
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis	258,732.10	5,953,150.00	86.90	1.63	1.26	83
85 Ohio & Mississippi	(1)178,589.00	4,671,635.00	78.70	.91	.91	85
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western	36,526.00	961,762.00	72.00	1.40	2.00	86
87 Peawee	197.00	7,000.00	56.28	87
88 Penn. Co. (Op. P., Ft. W. & C.)	390,884.75	9,849,409.00	79.37	1.33	2.52	90
88 Penn. Co. (Op. So. Chi. & Southern)	1,415.95	41,980.00	67.43	1.38	2.31	91
92 Peoria & Pekin Union	10,500.00	525,000.00	40.00	1.08	92
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	22,513.00	780,899.00	57.00	.88	1.25	93
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City	8,360.00	218,872.00	76.00	1.75	1.20	94
95 Rock Island & Peoria	18,272.36	447,721.00	81.62	1.56	3.59	95
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield	5,300.00	96

(1) Cannot give amount of fuel consumed by the different classes of locomotives.

(2) Includes fuel consumed by Englewood Connecting R'y Co.

(3) Does not include mileage of switching and construction engines.

(4) Applies to passenger and freight locomotives only.

(5) Includes 1,695 cords of hard wood.

(6) Lump coal. Nut, \$1.16; slack, 45 cents.

(7) Includes 300 cords of hard wood.

* Cost of anthracite coal, \$8.26 per ton.

Table XIV.—Continued.

		26	27	28	29	30	
	NAME OF COMPANY.	Grand total fuel con- sumed.—Tons.....	Grand total miles run.....	Average pounds con- sumed per mile...	Average cost per ton at distrib- uting point.....	Average cost wood per cord at distrib- uting point.....	
98	St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute.....	37,104.00	992,977.00	74.73	76	98
105	St. Louis & Chicago	3,469.00	90,783.00	76.42	1 00	105
106	St. Louis & Peoria	605.00	6,776.00	179.00	60	106
107	Terminal Railroad Asso. of St. L.....	28,653.00	(1).....	1 27	8 25	107
108	Terre Haute & Indianapolis.....	68,953.00	1,764,571.00	78.10	1 03	108
110	Terre Haute & Peoria.....	14,568.00	572,044.00	78.31	1 55	2 00	110
111	Toledo, Peoria & Western.....	32,051.00	934,066.00	68.65	1 14	111
112	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City...	101,021.00	2,540,990.00	79.50	1 00	112
114	Wabash.....	500,425.00	15,009,845.00	74.20	1 23	1 22	114
115	Wabash, Chester & Western.....	1,747.32	89,428.00	43.45	73	115
116	Wisconsin Central Lines.....	167,858.25	4,776,348.00	70.00	2 30	2 15	116
	Totals	9,556,663.14	252,958,280.00	75.55			

(1) Cannot give miles run by switching locomotives.

TABLE XV.—Accidents in Illinois for Year ending June 30, 1890.

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	PASSENGERS.			EMPLOYEES.			OTHERS.			TOTAL.		
	Killed..	Injured.	Total...	Killed..	Injured.	Total...	Killed..	Injured.	Total...	Killed..	Injured.	Grand Total....
1 Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	1		23	7	47	54	10	13	23	17	83	100
5 Baltimore & Ohio.....				3	3	6	1	6	8	4	9	13
7 Belt Railway of Chicago.....				2	29	31	1	1	2	4	10	14
8 Centralia & Chester.....				1								1
9 Chicago & Alton.....	1	1	2	11	34	45	7	9	16	19	14	63
12 Chicago & Atlantic.....					14	14	1	10	11	1	1	25
13 Chicago & Eastern Illinois.....		9	9	2	20	22	4	12	16	6	21	41
15 Chicago & Western Indiana.....				2	14	16	2	13	15	4	21	35
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk.....		7	7		9	9	1	1	17	4	1	21
20 Chicago & Iowa.....		1	1									1
21 Chicago & North-western.....	1	19	20	21	84	105	57	48	105	79	151	230
22 Chicago & Ohio River.....	1				1	1		1	1			2
23 Chicago & Rock Island.....				1	13	14	1	1	14		13	15
24 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	5	2	7	32	15	47	59	14	73	96	31	127
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....												1
26 Illinois Valley & Northern.....							1	1	1	1	1	2
27 St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago.....				1	4	5	1	8	1	3	5	4
28 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	2		11	7	124	131	22	33	55	13	18	73
29 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis.....	1						3	7	10	4	108	119
30 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	10	7	17	5	18	23	15	4	19	30	8	49
31 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh.....				2	4	6	4	3	7	6	3	16
32 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City.....				9	29	38	3	2	35	12	31	66
33 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.....	1	1	1	1	1	2	13		13	15	1	38
34 Kankakee & Seneca.....												1
42 Peoria & Eastern.....	1		1		1	1					1	2
43 East St. Louis & Carondelet.....								1	1	1		2
44 East St. Louis Connecting.....				2	2	4	1	2	1	2		4
45 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern.....					8	8			2		8	10
48 Grand Tower & Eastern.....					2	2						2
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale.....					67	67	53	63	116	69	143	259
54 Illinois Central.....	2	27	29	14	53	67						89
55 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western.....		1	1		1	2	1	1	1		3	5
60 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa.....				1	6	7				1	7	8
67 Iowa Central.....		1	1		22	23	1	5	1	1	28	29

Table XV.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	PASSENGERS.			EMPLOYEES.			OTHERS.			TOTAL.		
	Killed..	Injured.	Total...	Killed..	Injured.	Total...	Killed..	Injured.	Total...	Killed..	Injured.	Grand Totals ...
71 Lake Erie & Western	1	1	1	1	10	11	3	7	3	4	11	15
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern	1	1	1	9	11	20	15	7	22	17	18	35
73 Louisville & Nashville	1	1	1	2	26	28	12	5	17	10	32	42
74 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis Consold	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	2	2	4	6
75 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	3	6	3	9	15
76 Michigan Central	1	1	1	1	15	16	7	3	10	5	15	25
77 Mobile & Ohio	1	1	1	3	16	19	6	3	9	3	12	21
78 New York Chicago & St. Louis	1	1	1	3	38	41	15	3	18	4	19	33
79 Ohio & Mississippi	1	1	1	4	59	63	1	1	2	4	40	44
80 Ohio, Indiana & Western	1	1	1	7	7	14	14	3	17	1	18	35
81 Penn. Co. (Op. Pittsburgh Ft. Wayne & Chi.)	1	1	1	4	10	14	1	1	2	1	2	4
82 Peoria & Pekin Union	1	1	1	5	49	54	8	7	15	6	18	30
83 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	1	1	1	1	15	16	3	1	4	3	58	64
84 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City	1	1	1	1	3	4	1	1	2	1	1	4
85 Rock Island & Peoria	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	3	5
86 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
87 St. Louis & Chicago	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
88 St. Louis & Peoria	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
89 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
90 Terre Haute & Indianapolis	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
91 Terre Haute & Peoria	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
92 Toledo, Peoria & Western	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
93 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
94 Wabash	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
95 Wisconsin Central Lines	1	1	1	3	3	6	1	1	2	1	4	5
Totals	27	136	163	176	1,059	1,235	365	339	704	568	1,564	2,432

Table XV.—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	KIND OF ACCIDENT.																	
	COUPLING AND UNCOUPLING.		FALLING FROM TRAINS AND ENGINES.		OVER-HEAD OBSTRUCTIONS.		COLLISIONS.		DERAILMENTS.		OTHER TRAIN ACCIDENTS.		AT HIGHWAY CROSSINGS.		AT STATIONS.		OTHER CAUSES.	
	Killed..	Injured.	Killed..	Injured.	Killed..	Injured.	Killed..	Injured.	Killed..	Injured.	Killed..	Injured.	Killed..	Injured.	Killed..	Injured.	Killed..	Injured.
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	4	1	1	1	67
68 Iowa Central	10	14	68
69 Lake Erie & Western	7	3	1	2	69
70 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern	1	1	1	70
71 Louisville & Nashville	13	4	71
72 Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis, (Consolidated)	1	2	72
73 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	1	1	73
74 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago	11	1	2	74
75 Michigan Central	10	1	75
76 Mobile & Ohio	10	3	76
77 New York, Chicago & St. Louis	11	3	5	77
78 Ohio & Mississippi	11	3	1	78
79 Ohio, Indiana & Western	4	2	79
80 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chi.)	1	83	80
81 Peoria & Pekin Union	5	1	85	81
82 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	1	86	82
83 Peoria, Omaha & Kansas City	6	1	88	83
84 Quincy, Omaha & Evansville	89	84
85 Rock Island and Peoria	93	85
86 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	94	86
87 St. Louis & Chicago	95	87
88 St. Louis & Peoria	96	88
89 Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis	1	105	89
90 Terre Haute & Indianapolis	20	1	106	90
91 Terre Haute & Peoria	7	107	91
92 Toledo, Peoria & Western	9	108	92
93 Toledo, Peoria & Western	1	110	93
94 Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	8	111	94
95 Wabash	1	112	95
96 Wisconsin Central Lines	3	1	113	96
97 Wisconsin Central Lines	3	1	114	97
98 Wisconsin Central Lines	3	1	115	98
99 Wisconsin Central Lines	3	1	116	99
Totals	39	433	37	162	7	14	17	95	16	52	102	152	53	70	25	57	272	529

TABLE XVI.—*Taxes paid in Illinois in 1888, 1889 and 1890, for years ending June 30.*

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	
	1888.	1889.	1890.	
1 Atchison, Topeka & S. Fe. (Form. C., S. F. & C.)	\$34,038 88	\$57,355 86	\$112,197 79	1
5 Baltimore & Ohio	15,890 48	4,151 43	14,512 28	5
7 Belt Railway of Chicago	25,800 00	27,000 00	28,000 00	7
9 Chicago & Alton	190,878 57	200,084 00	185,403 13	9
12 Chicago & Atlantic	30,655 50	24,842 31	31,055 29	12
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois	61,757 09	66,910 00	74,075 82	14
17 Chicago & Grand Trunk	38,923 98	39,379 14	41,140 57	17
20 Chicago & Iowa	17,640 89	16,729 38	16,556 87	20
21 Chicago & Northwestern	186,338 31	210,213 00	188,485 86	21
23 Chicago & Ohio River	7,110 00	7,280 00	7,752 78	23
Chicago & Western	1,353 80			
24 Chicago, Burlington & Northern	20,326 66	22,193 73	20,881 01	24
25 Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	310,065 55	286,445 77	295,403 11	25
26 Galesburg and Rio	1,632 63	1,525 87	1,485 25	26
27 Illinois Valley & Northern		5,812 30	8,389 46	27
28 St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago	53,867 71	48,214 53	50,455 14	28
29 Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	87,151 33	91,081 40	90,608 40	29
30 Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis	(110,598 26	(212,322 12	16,737 61	30
34 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	144,860 02	143,203 90	148,505 77	34
36 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh	36,131 70	47,528 89	49,224 24	36
37 Englewood Connecting			1,813 33	37
38 Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City	1,276 62	19,693 41	22,681 31	38
39 Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chi. & St. Louis	118,119 21	117,850 15	135,471 88	39
42 Kankakee & Seneca	6,218 21	6,660 44	6,496 59	42
44 DePue, Ladd & Eastern			150 15	44
45 East St. Louis & Carondelet	4,098 24	4,349 22	3,155 72	45
46 East St. Louis Connecting	10,315 97	1,458 83	2,100 54	46
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern		7,492 75	17,524 86	48
51 Fulton County Narrow Gauge	1,934 54	1,931 98	2,589 81	51
53 Grand Tower & Carbondale	5,485 26	4,948 45	5,030 60	53
Illinois & St. Louis Railroad and Coal	7,355 40			
55 Illinois Central	486,909 13	507,403 42	555,634 39	55
65 Indiana & Illinois Southern	8,615 31	5,445 06	5,814 41	65
Indiana, Bloomington & Western	18,627 60			
66 Indianapolis, Decatur & Western	13,968 68	13,547 49	13,592 30	66
67 Indiana, Illinois & Iowa	10,134 34	10,098 12	8,826 94	67
68 Iowa Central	17,555 21	12,071 37	12,067 10	68
Joliet, Aurora & Northern	2,191 05			
71 Lake Erie & Western	7,984 06	15,559 44	18,062 88	71
72 Lake Shore & Michigan Southern	45,168 87	46,089 95	48,069 91	72
74 Louisville & Nashville	34,595 26	32,633 36	37,232 93	74
76 Louisville, Evansville & St. L. Consol.	9,533 37	16,823 72	17,652 22	76
77 Louisville, New Albany & Chicago		45 07	14,513 90	77
78 Michigan Central	29,299 64	25,905 75	18,617 55	78
80 Mobile & Ohio	29,938 15	32,362 80	28,755 58	80
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis	15,206 63	17,348 55	18,756 70	83
85 Ohio & Mississippi	83,723 82	80,630 08	86,134 08	85
86 Ohio, Indiana & Western	13,505 43	31,483 47	14,453 28	86
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. Calumet River)			1,257 38	88
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. P., Ft. W. & C.)	63,070 12	69,209 80	66,548 52	88
88 Pennsylvania Co. (Op. So. Chi. & Southern)		3,872 72	4,667 25	88
92 Peoria & Pekin Union	20,072 08	22,184 29	22,936 45	92
93 Peoria, Decatur & Evansville	32,946 23	35,128 85	34,193 90	93
94 Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City			1,206 57	94
95 Rock Island & Peoria	22,933 06	22,008 83	23,296 44	95
96 St. Louis, Alton & Springfield		6,052 50	8,655 21	96
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute	38,271 37	34,742 53	36,921 65	98
St. Louis & Central Illinois	5,935 58			

Table XVI—Continued.

	NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	
		1888.	1889.	1890.	
105	St. Louis & Chicago	\$1,712 83	\$1,750 00	\$3,729 69	105
106	St. Louis & Peoria			800 00	106
	St. Louis Bridge & Tunnel		54,101 19		
107	Terminal R. R. Association of St. Louis			36,457 54	107
108	Terre Haute & Indianapolis	(3)57,103 38	(3)54,517 90	(3)52,341 70	108
110	Terre Haute & Peoria	16,053 85	15,239 93	14,996 64	110
111	Toledo, Peoria & Western	34,398 29	34,451 22	34,836 45	111
112	Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City	13,071 02	11,152 93	13,787 79	112
114	Wabash			171,202 60	114
115	Wabash, Chester & Western	3,177 81	2,490 18	3,025 36	115
	Wabash, St. Louis & Pacific	173,229 12	123,175 10		
	Wabash Western	2,326 50	1,778 00		
116	Wisconsin Central Lines	4,969 93	9,726 44	13,941 01	116
	Totals	\$2,739,612 53	\$2,825,988 72	\$3,021,904 49	

(1) Jacksonville Southeastern in 1888.

(2) Louisville & St. Louis in 1889.

(3) Paid by lessor company.

TABLE XVII—Income Account Leased Lines, Whole Line, for year ending June 30, 1890.

	NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	DEDUCTIONS FROM INCOME.				7	8	
						Total income.....	Salaries and maintenance of organization.....	Interest on funded debt.	Other deductions.....			Total deductions from income.....
9	Chicago & Alton—(1)	\$105,750 00	\$105,750 00	\$750 00	\$750 00	\$105,000 00		
10	Joliet & Chicago.....	71,100 00	71,100 00	538,100 00	38,100 00		
11	Mississippi River Bridge.....		
14	Chicago & Eastern Illinois—(1)	83,259 92	\$24,009 06	882,328 98	518,550 02	\$91,308 16	609,918 48		
15	Chicago & Western Indiana.....	75,000 00	1,834 49	76,834 49	75,000 00	75,000 00		
16	Evansville, Terre Haute & Chicago.....		
17	Chicago & Grand Trunk—(1)		
18	Grand Trunk Junction.....	164,560 00	164,560 00	164,560 00	164,560 00		
19	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy—(1)		
20	Galesburg & Rio.....	16,113 25	16,113 25	11,028 00	1,485 25	16,113 25		
21	Illinois Valley & Northern.....	78,181 46	78,181 46	69,752 00	8,389 46	78,181 46		
22	St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago.....	225,455 14	225,455 14	175,000 00	50,455 14	225,455 14		
23	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific—(1)		
31	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	125,000 00	125,000 00	1,063 80	1,063 80		
32	Peoria & Bureau Valley.....		
35	Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh—(1)		
36	Englewood Connecting.....	1,224 85	1,224 85		
37	Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis—(1)	206,100 00	206,100 00	206,100 00	206,100 00		
43	Peoria & Eastern.....		
48	Elgin, Joliet & Eastern—(1)	51,460 20	18,750 00	70,210 20	38,180 56	5,529 04	43,709 60		
49	Gardner, Coal City & Northern.....	5,937 04	5,937 04	21,250 00	21,250 00		
50	Waukegan & Southwestern.....		
55	Illinois Central—(1)	44,207 70	44,207 70	125,000 00	125,000 00		
56	Chicago, Havana & Western.....	20,179 17	20,179 17	50,000 00	50,000 00		
57	Rantoul.....	23,591 83	23,591 83	10,000 00	10,000 00		
62	South Chicago.....		
63	Michigan Central—(1)		
79	Joliet & Northern Indiana.....	89,000 00	12 60	89,012 60	1,000 00	56,000 00	57,000 00		
83	New York, Chicago & St. Louis—(1)		
84	Chicago & State Line.....	100 00	100 00		
88	Pennsylvania Co.—(1)		
90	Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago.....	3,231,946 00	312,826 26	3,574,772 26	24,583 86	808,700 00	893,283 86		
91	South Chicago & Northern.....	1,123 33	1,123 33	6,150 00	6,150 00		

Table XVII—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Income from lease of road.....	Income from other sources.....	Total income.....	DEDUCTIONS FROM INCOME.				Net income.....
				Salaries and maintenance of organization.....	Interest on funded debt.	Other deductions.....	Total deductions from income.....	
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute—(1)	\$30,000 00	\$32 86	\$30,032 86	\$2 00	\$20,100 00	\$20,102 00	\$930 86
99 Belleville & Carondelet.....	17,350 80	50 62	17,401 42	15,100 00	15,100 00	2,001 42
100 Belleville & Eldorado.....	185,170 57	46 66	185,217 23	3,617 25	\$1,920 00	\$0,537 25	94,679 98
101 Belleville & Southern Illinois.....	50,000 00	50,000 00	50,000 00	\$5,000 00	50,000 00
102 Chicago, St. Louis & Paducah.....	50,000 00	50,000 00	50,000 00	50,000 00
103 St. Louis Southern.....	47,940 19	47,940 19	505 67	22,000 00	23,910 11	46,415 78	1,524 41
104 St. Louis, Terre Haute & Shawneetown.....	10,000 00	10,000 00	10,000 00	10,000 00
105 Terre Haute & Indianapolis—(1)	549,897 37	127,006 32	676,903 69	100 00	314,930 00	54,665 60	369,695 60	307,208 09
106 St. Louis, Vandalia & Terre Haute.....
107 Totals.....	\$6,191,670 12	\$607,607 57	\$6,799,277 69	\$31,622 58	\$2,904,366 58	\$206,803 06	\$3,2,2,786 22	\$3,637,444 23

(1) Inserted to show relation of roads following.

Table XVII—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
	Net deficit.....	DIVIDENDS DECLARED.				Other payments from net income..	Total payments from net income..	Surplus for year ending June 30, 1890	Deficit for year ending June 30, 1890...
		Common stock	Rate per cent.	Preferred stock.....	Rate per cent.				
9 Chicago & Alton—(1)		\$105,000 00	7			\$12,000 00	\$33,000 00		9
10 Joliet & Chicago.....		21,000 00	7						10
11 Mississippi River Bridge.....									11
14 Chicago & Eastern Illinois—(1)									14
15 Chicago & Western Indiana.....		150,000 00	3				150,000 00	\$122,410 50	15
16 Evansville, Terre Haute & Chicago.....								1,834 49	16
31 Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific—(1)									31
35 Peoria & Bureau Valley.....									35
36 Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh—(1)		135,000 00	9				135,000 00		36
37 Englewood Connecting.....									37
48 Elgin, Joliet & Eastern—(1)								1,224 85	48
49 Gardner, Coal City & Northern.....								26,500 00	49
50 Waukegan & Southwestern.....	\$15,312 96								50
55 Illinois Central—(1)									55
56 Chicago, Havana & Western.....	80,792 30								56
58 Bantou.....	29,820 83								58
62 South Chicago.....									62
78 Michigan Central—(1)		24,000 00	8				24,000 00	13,591 83	78
79 Joliet & Northern Indiana.....								8,012 60	79
83 New York, Chicago & St. Louis—(1)								100 00	83
84 Chicago & State Line.....									84
88 Pennsylvania Co.—(1)									88
90 Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago.....		1,380,000 00	7	\$860,146 00	7		2,240,146 00	441,342 40	90
91 South Chicago & Southern.....	5,026 67								91

Table XVII—Continued.

NAME OF COMPANY.	9	DIVIDENDS DECLARED.				13	14	15	16	17
		Common stock	Rate per cent.	Preferred stock	Rate per cent.					
98 St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute—(1)										
99 Belleville & Carondelet.....									\$930 86	98
100 Belleville & Eldorado.....									2,001 42	99
101 Belleville & Southern Illinois.....				\$92,437 50	7%			\$92,437 50	7,232 48	100
102 St. Louis Southern.....									1,524 41	101
103 Terre Haute & Indianapolis—(1)										102
108 St. Louis, Vandalia & Terre Haute.....									397,208 09	103
109 Totals	\$130,952 76	\$1,815,000 00		\$92,583 50		\$12,000 00	\$2,074,583 50	\$928,924 53		108
									\$112,016 56	109

(1) Inserted to show relation of roads following.

LIST OF
RAILROAD OFFICIALS.

ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	Geo. C. Magoun.....	Boston, Mass.
President.....	Allen Manvel.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant to President.....	J. D. Springer.....	"
Vice-President, 1st.....	J. W. Reinhart.....	Boston, Mass.
Vice-President, 2d.....	A. A. Robinson.....	Topeka, Kas.
Secretary and Treasurer.....	E. Wilder.....	"
Assistant Treasurer.....	Geo. L. Goodwin.....	Boston, Mass.
Assistant Secretary.....	L. C. Denning.....	"
General Solicitor.....	Geo. R. Peck.....	Topeka, Kas.
General Counsel.....	Jno. J. McCook.....	New York, N. Y.
Comptroller.....	Jas. P. Whitehead.....	Boston, Mass.
Assistant General Auditor.....	W. K. Gillett.....	Topeka, Kas.
Auditor.....	H. C. Clements.....	"
Freight and Traffic Manager.....	J. A. Hanley.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant Freight and Traffic Manager.....	W. B. Biddle.....	"
General Freight Agent.....	F. C. Gav.....	Topeka, Kas.
Assistant General Freight Agent.....	J. G. Miller.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant General Freight Agent.....	A. P. Tanner.....	Topeka, Kas.
Assistant General Freight Agent.....	Chas. R. Hudson.....	"
Assistant General Freight Agent.....	O. H. Brown.....	"
General Passenger Agent.....	Geo. T. Nicholson.....	"
General Ticket Agent.....	Jno. J. Byrne.....	Chicago, Ill.
General Baggage Agent.....	P. Walsh.....	Topeka, Kan.
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	R. B. Gemmel.....	"
Land Commissioner.....	Jas. E. Frost.....	"

CHICAGO, SANTA FE & CALIFORNIA RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	A. Manvel.....	Chicago, Ill.
1st Vice-President.....	J. D. Springer.....	"
Secretary and Treasurer.....	D. L. Gallup.....	"
Assistant Treasurer.....	Geo. L. Goodwin.....	Boston, Mass.
General Solicitor.....	Geo. R. Peck.....	Topeka, Kas.
Comptroller.....	J. P. Whitehead.....	Boston, Mass.
General Auditor.....	J. W. Reinhart.....	"
Acting Auditor.....	S. L. Crim.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant General Freight Agent.....	J. G. Miller.....	"
Assistant General Passenger Agent.....	J. J. Byrne.....	"
General Superintendent.....	C. O. Wheeler.....	"
Manager of Elevator.....	Charles Counselman.....	"

ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILROAD COMPANY IN CHICAGO.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	A. Manvel.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President	S. B. French.....	“ “
Secretary and Treasurer.....	D. L. Gallup.....	“ “
Assistant Treasurer.....	C. S. Tuckerman.....	Boston, Mass.....
Comptroller.....	J. P. Whitehead.....	“ “
General Auditor.....	J. W. Reinhart.....	“ “
Acting Auditor.....	S. L. Crim.....	Chicago, Ill.....

THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER RAILROAD AND TOLL BRIDGE COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	A. Manvel.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Secretary	D. L. Gallup.....	“ “
Treasurer	D. L. Gallup.....	“ “
Assistant Treasurer.....	G. L. Goodwin.....	Boston, Mass.....
Assistant Secretary.....	C. S. Tuckerman.....	“ “
Comptroller	J. P. Whitehead.....	“ “
Auditor General.....	J. W. Reinhart.....	“ “
Acting Auditor.....	S. L. Crim.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Chief Engineer.....	A. A. Robinson	Topeka, Kas.....

THE BALTIMORE & OHIO RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Attorney or General Counsel.....	John K. Cowen.....	Baltimore, Md.....
Auditor, General.....	W. I. Thelin.....	“ “
General Manager.....	J. T. O'Dell.....	“ “
Chief Engineer.....	H. T. Douglas.....	“ “
Traffic Manager.....	Frank Harriott.....	“ “
General Freight Agent	C. S. Wright.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
General Passenger Agent.....	C. O. Scull.....	Baltimore, Md.....
Assistant General Passenger Agent	L. S. Allen.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Division Superintendent.....	F. H. Britton.....	“ “
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	Chas. Selden.....	Baltimore, Md.....
General Baggage Agent.....	B. E. Peddicord.....	“ “
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock...	P. C. Sneed.....	Chicago, Ill.....

BALTIMORE & OHIO & CHICAGO RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Orland Smith.....	Baltimore, Md.....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	P. C. Sneed	Chicago, Ill.....

BELT RAILWAY CO. OF CHICAGO.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	Volney T. Malott.....	Chicago, Ill.....
President.....	Volney T. Malott.....	“
Vice-President.....	B. Thomas	“
Secretary.....	M. J. Clark	“
Treasurer.....	J. E. Murphy.....	“
General Solicitor.....	Chas. M. Osborn.....	“
Auditor.....	M. J. Clark.....	“
General Manager.....	B. Thomas.....	“

CENTRALIA & CHESTER RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	T. B. Needles	Nashville, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	C. E. Smith	New York, N. Y.....
Secretary.....	S. L. Dwight.....	Centralia, Ill.....
Treasurer.....	J. M. McCutcheon.....	Sparta, Ill.....
Auditor.....	B. H. Rosborough.....	“
General Manager.....	J. M. McCutcheon.....	“
General Freight Agent.....	H. G. Borneman	“
General Passenger Agent.....	H. G. Borneman	“
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock...	S. L. Dwight.....	Centralia, Ill.....

CHICAGO & ALTON RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	T. B. Blackstone	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	J. C. McMullin	“
Secretary and Treasurer.....	C. H. Foster.....	“
General Solicitor.....	Wm. Brown	“
Auditor General.....	Chauncey Kelsey.....	“
General Manager.....	C. H. Chappell	“
Chief Engineer.....	K. F. Booth.....	“
General Freight Agent.....	H. H. Courtright.....	“
General Passenger Agent.....	James Charlton.....	“
Division Superintendent.....	A. M. Richards.....	Bloomington, Ill.....
Division Superintendent.....	M. K. Morley	Roodhouse, Ill.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	H. V. Miller.....	Bloomington, Ill.....
Superintendent of Transportation.....	T. M. Bates.....	“
General Baggage Agent.....	C. Huntington.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock.....	C. H. Foster, Secretary	“

JOLIET & CHICAGO RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	John B. Drake	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary	Chas. H. Foster	"
Agent in Illinois for transfer of stock	Chas. H. Foster, Secretary...	"

MISSISSIPPI RIVER BRIDGE.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	James C. McMullin	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary and Treasurer	Chas. H. Foster	"
Agent in Illinois for transfer of stock	Chas. H. Foster	"

CHICAGO & ATLANTIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Receiver	Volney T. Ma'ott	Chicago, Ill.
Attorneys or General Counsel	Baker & Daniels	Indianapolis, Ind..
Assistant Attorney or General Counsel ..	John A. Henry	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor	J. D. Kershaw	"
General Agent for Receiver	L. G. Cannon	"
General Freight Agent	G. G. Cochran	"
General Passenger Agent	F. C. McDonald	"
General Ticket Agent	F. C. McDonald	"
Superintendent	C. L. Mayne	Huntington, Ind...
Master Mechanic	J. H. Berry	"
Paymaster	H. D. Hamilton	Chicago, Ill.
General Baggage Agent	F. C. McDonald	"

CHICAGO & CALUMET TERMINAL RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	David S. Wegg	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary	Henry S. Boutell	"
Treasurer	David S. Wegg	"

CHICAGO & EASTERN ILLINOIS RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	H. H. Porter.....	Chicago, Ill.....
President and General Manager.....	Geo. W. Saul.....	".....
Vice-President.....	O. S. Lyford.....	".....
2d Vice-President and Treasurer.....	C. W. Hillard.....	".....
Secretary and Auditor.....	H. A. Rubidge.....	".....
Assistant Treasurer.....	A. R. Flower.....	New York, N. Y....
Cashier and Paymaster.....	A. S. Cullum.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Chief Engineer and Sup't of Maintenance.....	H. F. Baldwin.....	".....
General Solicitor.....	W. H. Lyford.....	".....
General Traffic Manager.....	G. J. Grammer.....	Evansville, Ind.....
General Freight Agent.....	F. V. Davis.....	Chicago, Ill.....
General Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	Wm. Hill.....	".....
Ass't General Passenger and Ticket Ag't.....	Chas. L. Stone.....	".....
Ass't General Freight Agent.....	H. E. Felton.....	".....
General Superintendent.....	D. R. Paterson.....	".....
Superintendent of Transportation.....	T. W. Burrows.....	Danville, Ill.....
General Master Mechanic.....	Allen Cooke.....	".....
General Baggage Agent.....	F. R. Wheeler.....	Evansville, Ind....
Purchasing Agent.....	Robert Spencer.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	H. A. Rubidge, Sec'y.....	".....

CHICAGO & WESTERN INDIANA RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	Volney T. Malott.....	Chicago, Ill.....
President.....	Volney T. Malott.....	".....
Vice-President.....	B. Thomas.....	".....
Secretary.....	M. J. Clark.....	".....
Treasurer.....	John E. Murphy.....	".....
General Solicitor.....	Chas. M. Osborn.....	".....
Auditor.....	M. J. Clark.....	".....
General Manager.....	B. Thomas.....	".....

EVANSVILLE, TERRE HAUTE & CHICAGO RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	C. W. Hillard.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Secretary.....	S. H. Spooner.....	".....
Treasurer.....	C. W. Hillard.....	".....

CHICAGO & GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	Sir Joseph Hickson.....	Montreal, Prov. Q.
President.....	Sir Joseph Hickson.....	"
Vice-President.....	L. J. Seargeant.....	"
Secretary.....	Charles Percy.....	"
Treasurer.....	James H. Muir.....	Detroit, Mich.....
Chief Engineer.....	Geo. Masson.....	"
General Solicitor.....	E. W. Meddaugh.....	"
General Manager.....	W. J. Spicer.....	"
Traffic Manager.....	Geo. B. Reeve.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Ass't General Freight Agent.....	David Brown.....	"
General Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	W. E. Davis.....	"
Superintendent.....	A. B. Atwater.....	Detroit, Mich.....
Ass't Superintendent.....	A. R. McIntyre.....	Battle Creek, Mich.
Mechanical Superintendent.....	Herbert Roberts.....	Detroit, Mich.....
General Storekeeper.....	Jno. S. Lorimer.....	"
General Baggage Agent.....	J. E. Quick.....	"
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	F. A. Howe.....	Chicago, Ill.....

GRAND TRUNK JUNCTION RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	Sir Joseph Hickson.....	Montreal, Prov. Q.
President.....	Sir Joseph Hickson.....	"
Vice-President.....	F. A. Howe.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Secretary.....	Charles Percy.....	Montreal, Prov. Q.
Treasurer.....	James H. Muir.....	Detroit, Mich.....
General Solicitor.....	E. W. Meddaugh.....	"
General Manager.....	W. J. Spicer.....	"
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	F. A. Howe.....	Chicago, Ill.....

CHICAGO & ILLINOIS SOUTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
President.....	John S. Hannah.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	Wm. P. Harvey.....	Baltimore, Md.....
Secretary.....	Geo. M. Patch.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer.....	Geo. S. McReynolds.....	"

CHICAGO & IOWA RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
President	F. H. Head	Chicago, Ill.
First Vice-President	J. C. Peasley	"
Second Vice-President	G. B. Harris	"
Secretary	L. O. Goddard	"
Treasurer	J. C. Peasley	"
Assistant Treasurer	F. Clark	Rochelle, Ill.
Assistant Attorney or Counsel	M. D. Hathaway	"
Auditor, General	Jno. L. Lathrop	Chicago, Ill.
"	F. Clark	Rochelle, Ill.
General Freight and Passenger Agent	H. D. Judson	"
General Superintendent	H. D. Judson	"
General Baggage Agent	E. A. Sudd	Chicago, Ill.
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	L. O. Goddard	"

CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	Albert Keep	Chicago, Ill.
President	Marvin Hughitt	"
Vice-President	Martin L. Sykes	New York, N. Y.
Second Vice-President	Marshall M. Kirkman	Chicago, Ill.
Third Vice-President	William H. Newman	"
Secretary	Martin L. Sykes	New York, N. Y.
Treasurer	Martin L. Sykes	"
Assistant Treasurers	S. O. Howe and J. B. Redfield	New York, N. Y.
Chief Engineer	John E. Blunt	Chicago, Ill.
General Counsel	William C. Goudy	"
General Attorney	William B. Keep	"
Auditor	Joseph B. Redfield	"
General Manager	John M. Whitman	"
General Freight Agent	Hiram R. McCullough	"
General Passenger Agent	William A. Thrall	"
General Ticket Agent	William A. Thrall	"
General Superintendent	Sherburne Sanborn	"
Division Superintendent, in Illinois	William A. Gardner	"
Division Superintendent, in Illinois	Otto Miller	"
Superintendent of Telegraph	Geo. H. Thayer	"
General Baggage Agent	Nathaniel A. Phillips	"
Land Commissioner	Charles E. Simmons	"
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	J. B. Redfield, Ass't Secretary	"

CHICAGO & NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	David S. Wegg.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	James B. Williams.....	New York, N. Y.....
Secretary.....	Henry S. Boutell.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer.....	Geo. S. Barter.....	New York, N. Y.....
Assistant Treasurer.....	Conway W. Hillman.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Cashier.....	William E. Dunsecombe.....	".....
Chief Engineer.....	Willis S. Jones.....	".....
General Solicitor.....	Henry S. Boutell.....	".....
Attorney.....	Kemper K. Knapp.....	".....
Auditor, General.....	James A. Barker.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Auditor.....	Thomas J. Hyman.....	Chicago, Ill.....
General Manager.....	Andrew A. Allen.....	".....
Traffic Manager.....	Henry A. Hawley.....	".....
General Superintendent.....	John T. McBride.....	".....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock.....	Henry S. Boutell, Secy.....	".....

CHICAGO & OHIO RIVER RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	Albert N. Parlin.....	Boston, Mass.....
President.....	Albert N. Parlin.....	".....
Vice-President.....	Austin Corbin.....	New York, N. Y.....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	E. R. Reynolds.....	".....
General Solicitor.....	C. W. Fairbanks.....	Indianapolis, Ind..
Auditor.....	J. L. Hamar.....	Kansas, Ill.....
General Manager.....	J. D. Livingston.....	".....
General Freight Agent.....	F. N. Boyer.....	Olney, Ill.....
General Passenger Agent.....	J. D. Livingston.....	Kansas, Ill.....
Asst General Ticket Agent.....	J. D. Livingston.....	".....
General Superintendent.....	Wm. A. Bell.....	".....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	C. E. Achuff.....	".....

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	J. Murray Forbes.....	Boston, Mass.....
President.....	J. Murray Forbes.....	".....
Vice-President.....	Geo. B. Harris.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Secretary.....	F. B. Beaumont.....	Boston, Mass.....
Treasurer.....	J. Murray Forbes.....	".....
Cashier.....	F. Dabney.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Chief Engineer.....	S. D. Purdy.....	LaCrosse, Wis.....
Attorney or General Counsel.....	Yound & Lightner.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Auditor.....	N. B. Hinkley.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
General Freight Agent.....	W. J. C. Kenyon.....	".....
General Passenger Agent.....	W. J. C. Kenyon.....	".....
General Superintendent.....	J. R. Hastings.....	".....
Assistant Superintendent.....	D. Cunningham.....	LaCrosse, Wis.....
Assistant Superintendent.....	J. C. Howard.....	Minneapolis, Minn.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	P. H. Hough.....	LaCrosse, Wis.....
General Baggage Agent.....	E. A. Sadd.....	Chicago, Ill.....

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	J. M. Forbes.....	Boston, Mass.....
President.....	C. E. Perkins.....	Burlington, Ia.....
Vice-President, 1st.....	J. C. Peasley.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President, 2d.....	Geo. B. Harris.....
Secretary.....	T. S. Howland.....	Boston, Mass.....
Treasurer.....	J. C. Peasley.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Assistant Treasurer.....	E. E. Pratt.....	Boston, Mass.....
Cashier, Acting.....	W. J. Fabian.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Chief Engineer.....	E. J. Blake.....
Attorney at Chicago.....	C. M. Dawes.....
Attorney at Galesburg.....	O. F. Price.....	Galesburg, Ill.....
Comptroller, Acting.....	W. J. Ladd.....	Boston, Mass.....
Auditor, General.....	J. L. Lathrop.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Ass't General Auditor.....	C. A. turgis.....
General Manager.....	G. B. Harris.....
General Freight Agent.....	Thos. Miller.....
General Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	P. S. Eustis.....
Ass't General Ticket and Passenger Agt.....	L. Wakeley.....
Ass't General Freight Agents.....	F. Rogers, E. R. Puffer, W. B. Hamblin.....
General Superintendent.....	J. D. Besler.....
Division Superintendent.....	L. E. Johnson.....	Aurora, Ill.....
Division Superintendent.....	E. M. Herr.....	Galesburg, Ill.....
Division Superintendent.....	W. B. Throop.....	Beardstown, Ill.....
General Supt. of Illinois Lines.....	F. C. Rice.....	Galesburg, Ill.....
Supt. of Freight Terminals at Chicago.....	C. G. Wilson.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	W. W. Nichols.....
General Baggage Agent.....	E. A. Sadd.....
Land Commissioner.....	W. W. Baldwin.....	Burlington, Ia.....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock.....	H. W. Weiss.....	Chicago, Ill.....

GALESBURG & RIO RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	J. L. Lathrop.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Secretary.....	L. O. Goddard.....

ILLINOIS VALLEY & NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	J. L. Lathrop.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	J. C. Osgood.....	New York, N. Y.....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	H. W. Weiss.....	Chicago, Ill.....

ST. LOUIS, ROCK ISLAND & CHICAGO RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	J. N. A. Griswold	New York, N. Y....
Vice-President	J. L. Lathrop	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary and Treasurer	L. O. Goddard

CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Roswell Miller	Chicago, Ill.
Vice-President, 1st	Frank S. Bond	New York, N. Y....
Vice-President, 3d	E. P. Ripley	Chicago, Ill.
President, Assistant to	J. F. Tucker
Secretary	P. M. Myers	Milwaukee, Wis....
Treasurer	F. G. Ranney	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant Treasurer	Jno. McNab
Cashier	D. J. Whittemore
General Solicitor	J. Y. Fish
General Counsel	Jno. W. Cary
Comptroller	E. O. Sewall
Auditor, General	J. P. Whaling
Assistant General Auditor	W. N. D. Winne
General Manager	A. J. Earling
Freight Traffic Manager	A. C. Bird
General Freight Agent	J. H. Hiland
General Passenger Agent	A. V. H. Carpenter
General Ticket Agent	A. V. H. Carpenter
General Superintendent	W. G. Collins
Superintendent of Telegraph	W. J. Fry	Milwaukee, Wis....
General Baggage Agent	W. D. Carrick
Land Commissioner	H. G. Hangan

CHICAGO, PEORIA & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	William S. Hook	Jacksonville, Ill....
Vice-President	Isaac L. Morrison
Secretary and Treasurer	Marcus Hook
Cashier	Francis Hook
Attorney or General Counsel	Isaac L. Morrison
Auditor	Marcus Hook
Assistant General Freight Agent	Edward A. Nixon
General Freight Agent	W. C. Alvord	Peoria, Ill.
General Passenger Agent	Weston W. Kent	Jacksonville, Ill....
Superintendent	David W. Rider
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	Marcus Hook

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	R. R. Cable.....	Chicago, Ill.
Vice-President, 1st	Benj. Brewster	New York, N. Y.
Vice-President, 2d	W. G. Purdy.....	Chicago, Ill.
Vice-President, 3d	H. A. Parker.....	"
Secretary and Treasurer.....	W. G. Purdy.....	"
Ass't Treasurers and Ass't Secretaries ..	J. R. Cowing, I. F. Phillips ..	New York, N. Y., and Chicago, Ill..
Cashier	F. E. Hayne.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant to President.....	A. Kimball	Davenport, Ia.
General Counsel.....	Thos. F. Withrow.....	Chicago, Ill.
General Attorney	Thos. S. Wright	"
General Attorney	M. A. Low	Topeka, Kas.
Auditor.....	F. W. Porter.....	Chicago, Ill.
General Manager.....	E. St. John.....	"
Traffic Manager	W. M. Sage.....	"
General Freight Agent	J. M. Johnson.....	"
Assistant General Freight Agent	H. Gower	"
General Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	John Sebastian	"
Ass't Gen'l Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	S. F. Boyd	Topeka, Kas.
Assistant General Passenger Agent.....	Geo. L. Rhodes	Chicago, Ill.
General Superintendent.....	H. F. Royce	"
General Superintendent.....	W. I. Allen	Topeka, Kas.
Division Superintendent.....	R. H. Chamberlain	Chicago, Ill.
Division Superintendent.....	John Givin.....	Des Moines, Ia.
Division Superintendent.....	C. L. Ewing	Trenton, Mo.
Division Superintendent.....	C. N. Gilmore.....	Des Moines, Ia.
Division Superintendent.....	W. H. Stillwell.....	Horton, Kan.
Division Superintendent.....	W. J. Lawrence.....	Colorado Springs Colo.
Division Superintendent.....	C. H. Hubbell.....	Herington Kas.
General Baggage Agent.....	J. D. Marston.....	Chicago, Ill.
Land Commissioner.....	J. L. Drew	Davenport, Ia.
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	W. G. Purdy	Chicago, Ill.

PEORIA & BUREAU VALLEY RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Jas. R. Cowing.....	New York, N. Y.
Secretary	I. F. Phillips	Chicago, Ill.
Treasurer	Wm. A. Nash	New York, N. Y.
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	I. F. Phillips.....	Chicago, Ill.

CHICAGO, ST. LOUIS & PITTSBURGH RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Geo. B. Roberts.....	Philadelphia, Pa....
Vice-President, 1st.....	J. N. McCullough.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Vice-President, 2d.....	James McCrear.....	".....
Vice-President, 3d.....	Thos. D. Messler.....	".....
Secretary.....	S. B. Liggett.....	".....
Treasurer.....	Jno. E. Davidson.....	".....
Assistant Treasurer.....	M. C. Spencer.....	".....
Chief Engineer.....	M. J. Beeker.....	".....
General Counsel.....	J. T. Brooks.....	".....
Assistant Counsel.....	J. J. Brooks.....	".....
Comptroller.....	Thos. D. Messler.....	".....
Auditor Freight Receipts.....	A. McElvey.....	".....
Auditor Passenger Receipts.....	J. P. Farley.....	".....
General Manager.....	Joseph Wood.....	".....
Auditor Disbursements.....	James Instan.....	".....
Gen'l Supt. Transportation.....	E. B. Taylor.....	".....
Purchasing Agent.....	Wm. Mullins.....	".....
General Freight Agent.....	Wm. Stewart.....	".....
Ass't Gen'l Freight Agent.....	D. F. McCabe.....	Columbus, O.....
General Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	E. A. Ford.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Chief Ass't General Passenger Agent.....	Frank Van Dusen.....	".....
General Superintendent.....	J. F. Miller.....	Columbus, O.....
Division Superintendent.....	C. M. Bennett.....	Logansport, Ind....
Division Superintendent.....	F. G. Darlington.....	Indianapolis, Ind....
Division Superintendent.....	W. B. See.....	Richmond, Ind.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	E. C. Bradley.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
General Baggage Agent.....	R. R. Bentley.....	".....

ENGLEWOOD CONNECTING RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Thos. D. Messler.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Secretary.....	S. B. Liggett.....	".....
Treasurer.....	Jno. E. Davidson.....	".....

CHICAGO, ST. PAUL & KANSAS CITY RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	A. B. Stickney	St. Paul, Minn.
Vice-President and Transfer Agent	Wm. Lewis Boyle	New York, N. Y.
Vice-President	C. W. Benson	St. Paul, Minn.
Secretary	M. C. Woodruff	Dubuque, Iowa
Treasurer	W. B. Bend	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant Treasurer and Secretary	Jno. L. Platt	"
Attorneys or General Counsel	Lusk & Bunn	"
Auditor, General	M. C. Healion	"
General Manager	Jno. M. Egan	"
Traffic Manager	H. Fernstrom	Chicago, Ill.
General Freight Agent	P. C. Stohr	"
Assistant General Freight Agent	F. H. Tibbitts	"
General Passenger Agent	W. R. Busenbark	"
General Ticket Agent	W. R. Busenbark	"
Assistant General Passenger Agent	F. A. Lord	"
General Superintendent	C. Shields	St. Paul, Minn.
Division Superintendent	J. McGuire	"
Division Superintendent	B. F. Egan	Dubuque, Iowa
Division Superintendent	J. D. Ferrell	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant General Ticket Agent	C. A. Cairns	"
Superintendent of Telegraph	J. Berlingett	Des Moines, Iowa ..
General Baggage Agent	John Colley	Chicago, Ill.
Land Commissioner	J. C. Ford	St. Paul, Minn.

CLEVELAND, CINCINNATI, CHICAGO & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	M. E. Ingalls	Cincinnati, Ohio...
Vice-President	J. D. Layng	New York, N. Y.
Secretary	E. F. Osborn	Cincinnati, Ohio...
Treasurer	G. S. Russell	Cleveland, Ohio
Chief Engineer	W. C. Irwin	"
General Solicitor, Att'y or Gen'l Counsel	J. T. Dye (Ind. & Ill.) H. H. Poppleton (Ohio)	Indianapolis, Ind. Cleveland, Ohio
Auditor	P. A. Hewitt	"
General Manager	W. M. Green	Cincinnati, Ohio...
Assistant General Manager	J. A. Barnard	Indianapolis, Ind.
Traffic Manager	G. G. Murray	Cincinnati, Ohio...
Freight Traffic Manager	E. S. Washburne	"
General Freight Agent	Edgar Hill	"
General Passenger Agent	D. B. Martin	"
General Ticket Agent	John Egan	"
General Superintendent	E. A. Peck	Indianapolis, Ind.
Division Superintendent	J. W. Simmons	Mt. Carmel, Ill.
Division Superintendent	C. J. Stedwell	Cleveland, Ohio
Division Superintendent	J. O. Van Winkle	Indianapolis, Ind.
Division Superintendent	G. W. Bender	"
Division Superintendent	A. G. Wells	"
Superintendent of Telegraph	T. J. Higgins	"
General Baggage Agent	D. M. Calkins	Cleveland, Ohio

PEORIA & EASTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	J. A. Barnard	Indianapolis, Ind..
Secretary and Treasurer	W. W. Lynn	" ..

KANKAKEE & SENECA RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	T. P. Bonfield	Kankakee, Ill
Secretary	E. F. O'born	Cincinnati, O
Treasurer	G. S. Russell	Cleveland, O
Auditor	P. A. Hewitt	" ..
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	T. P. Bonfield	Kankakee, Ill

The road is operated for this company by the C. C. C. & St. L. Ry. Co., and its officers and heads of departments are in charge.

DEPUE, LADD & EASTERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Albert L. Sweet	Chicago, Ill
Vice President	Wm. S. Cherry	" ..
Secretary and Treasurer	Thomas A. Lemmon	" ..

EAST ST. LOUIS & CARONDELET RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Thomas D. Messler	Pittsburgh, Pa.
Secretary	S. B. Liggett	" ..
Treasurer	John E. Davidson	" ..
Cashier	Geo. K. Thomas	East St. Louis, Ill..
General Superintendent	Jos. Hill	St. Louis, Mo
Assistant Superintendent	Geo. K. Thomas	East St. Louis, Ill..

EAST ST. LOUIS CONNECTING RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	John Scullin.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
Vice-President.....	A. C. Church.....	“.....
Secretary.....	S. C. Church.....	“.....
Treasurer.....	H. L. Clark.....	“.....
Cashier.....	Isaac A. Smith.....	“.....
General Manager.....	C. H. Sharman.....	“.....
General Freight Agent.....	W. S. Hodges.....	“.....
General Agent.....	R. N. Bothner.....	East St. Louis, Ill..
Division Superintendent.....	Chas. A. Haines.....	“.....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	S. C. Church.....	“.....

ELECTRIC CITY & ILLINOIS RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	C. D. McLure.....	Venice, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	L. M. Rumsey.....	“.....
Secretary.....	J. H. Overall.....	“.....
Treasurer.....	P. A. Fusz.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
Assistant Secretary.....	John Greenough.....	“.....
Chief Engineer.....	Robt. Ulone.....	“.....
General Manager.....	H. W. Gays.....	“.....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	J. H. Overall.....	Venice, Ill.....

ELGIN, JOLIET & EASTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Samuel Spencer.....	New York, N. Y....
Vice-Pre-ident.....	Norman Williams.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	F. D. Raymond.....	“.....
General Solicitor.....	Arthur D. Wheeler.....	“.....
Auditor.....	F. W. Sutton.....	“.....
Traffic Manager.....	G. J. Page.....	“.....
Superintendent.....	G. O. Clinton.....	Joliet, Ill.....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	F. D. Raymond.....	Chicago, Ill.....

GARDNER, COAL CITY & NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Norman Williams.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	Samuel Spencer.....	New York, N. Y....
Secretary.....	Arthur D. Wheeler.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer.....	F. D. Raymond.....	".....
Attorneys or General Counsel.....	Williams, Holt & Wheeler....	".....
Auditor.....	F. W. Sutton.....	".....

WAUKEGAN & SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Chas. S. Holt.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Secretary.....	Arthur D. Wheeler.....	".....
Treasurer.....	F. D. Raymond.....	".....
Attorneys or Counsel.....	Williams, Holt & Wheeler....	".....
Auditor.....	F. W. Sutton.....	".....

FULTON COUNTY NARROW GAUGE RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	S. H. Mallory.....	Lewistown, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	Henry Phelps.....	".....
Secretary.....	D. J. Thayer.....	Chariton, Iowa.....
Treasurer.....	F. R. Crocker.....	".....
Auditor.....	Jno. D. Temple.....	Lewistown, Ill.....
General Manager.....	S. H. Mallory.....	Chariton, Iowa.....
Gen'l Freight, Passenger and Ticket Agt.	A. C. Atherton.....	Lewistown, Ill.....
Superintendent.....	A. C. Atherton.....	".....

GRAND TOWER & CARBONDALE RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	E. A. Hitchcock	St. Louis, Mo
Vice-President	O. L. Garrison	St. Louis, Mo
Secretary	J. D. Peters	Murphysboro, Ill..
Treasurer	O. L. Garrison	St. Louis, Mo
Attorney or General Counsel	W. W. Barr	Carbondale, Ill.
Auditor	J. P. Foster	St. Louis, Mo
Traffic Manager	Robt. Bell	St. Louis, Mo
Superintendent	J. D. Peters	Murphysboro, Ill..

GRAND TOWER & CAPE GIRARDEAU RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	E. A. Hitchcock	St. Louis, Mo
Vice-President	W. W. Barr	Carbondale, Ill
Secretary	J. D. Peters	Murphysboro, Ill..
Treasurer	O. L. Garrison	St. Louis, Mo
Counsel	W. W. Barr	Carbondale, Ill.
Auditor	J. P. Foster	St. Louis, Mo
Superintendent	J. D. Peters	Murphysboro, Ill..

ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Stuyvesant Fish	Chicago, Ill.
Vice-President	E. H. Harriman	New York, N. Y.
Secretary	A. G. Hackstaff	New York, N. Y.
Treasurer	H. De Wolf	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant Treasurer	E. T. H. Gibson	New York, N. Y.
Local Treasurer	R. S. Charles	New Orleans, La.
Chief Engineer	L. T. Moore	Chicago, Ill.
General Counsel	B. F. Ayer	"
General Solicitor	Jas. Fentress	"
Comptroller	J. C. Welling	"
Auditor of Freight Receipts	F. Fairman	"
Assistant Auditor of Freight Receipts	J. F. Tibus	"
General Manager	C. A. Beek	"
Auditor of Passenger Receipts	A. D. Joslin	"
Auditor of Disbursements	Isaac Anderson	"
Traffic Manager	T. J. Hudson	"
Assistant Traffic Manager	M. C. Markham	"
General Freight Agent	Horace Tucker	"
General Passenger Agent	A. H. Hanson	"
Assistant General Freight Agent	W. E. Keepers	"
General Superintendent	A. W. Sullivan	"
Division Superintendent	J. G. Hardigan	Cairo, Ill.
Acting Division Superintendent	J. C. Jacobs	Amboy, Ill.
Acting Division Superintendent	D. S. Bailey	Rockford, Ill.
Acting Division Superintendent	G. W. Hatter	Springfield, Ill.
Division Superintendent	H. L. Frisbie	Pontiac, Ill.
Sup't of Telegraph (Northern lines)	C. S. Jones	Chicago, Ill.
Superintendent of Car Service	E. M. Horton	"
Superintendent of Machinery	H. Schlacks	"
General Baggage Agent	H. A. Winker	"
Land Commissioner	L. P. Morehouse	"
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	John Dunn, Assistant Sec'y ..	"

CHICAGO, HAVANA & WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Stuyvesant Fish.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President	E. H. Harriman.....	New York, N. Y....
Secretary	W. G. Bruen.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer	Henry De Wolf	"
Comptroller	J. C. Welling.....	"

CHICAGO, MADISON & NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title,	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Stuyvesant Fish.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President	E. H. Harriman.....	New York, N. Y....
Secretary	W. G. Bruen.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer	Henry De Wolf	"
Comptroller	J. C. Welling.....	"

CHICAGO & SPRINGFIELD RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Stuyvesant Fish.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President	E. H. Harriman.....	New York, N. Y....
Secretary	W. G. Bruen.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer	Henry De Wolf	"
Comptroller	J. C. Welling.....	"

KANKAKEE & SOUTHWESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Stuyvesant Fish.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President	E. H. Harriman.....	New York, N. Y....
Secretary	W. G. Bruen.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer	Henry De Wolf	"
Comptroller	J. C. Welling.....	"

RANTOUL RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Stuyvesant Fish.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	E. H. Harriman.....	New York, N. Y.....
Secretary.....	W. G. Bruen.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer.....	Henry DeWolf.....	".....
Comptroller.....	J. C. Welling.....	".....

SOUTH CHICAGO RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Stuyvesant Fish.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	E. H. Harriman.....	New York, N. Y.....
Secretary.....	W. G. Bruen.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Treasurer.....	Henry DeWolf.....	".....
Comptroller.....	J. C. Welling.....	".....

INDIANA & ILLINOIS SOUTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	W. H. Alley.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	John B. Lyon.....	".....
Secretary.....	P. H. Blue.....	Sullivan, Ind.....
Treasurer.....	M. B. Wilson.....	".....
Consulting Engineer.....	A. B. Fitch.....	Terre Haute, Ind..
General Solicitor.....	John S. Cooper.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Assistant Solicitor.....	John T. Hays.....	Sullivan, Ind.....
Auditor.....	C. R. Hinkle.....	".....
General Manager.....	P. H. Blue.....	".....
General Freight, Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	F. E. Basler.....	".....
Train Master.....	W. R. Battenfield.....	".....
Road Master.....	James Hoskins.....	".....
Master Mechanic.....	M. E. Hotchkiss.....	Palestine, Ill.....

INDIANAPOLIS, DECATUR & WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Henry B. Hammond	New York, N. Y....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	Thos. Batkins	"
General Solicitor.....	Robt. B. F. Pierce	Indianapolis, Ind..
Auditor	J. V. McNeal	"
General Freight Agent	Jno. S. Lazarus.....	"
General Ticket Agent.....	Jno. S. Lazarus.....	"
Superintendent.....	L. A. Boyd.....	"
General Baggage Agent.....	Jno. S. Lazarus.....	"

INDIANA, ILLINOIS & IOWA RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	F. M. Drake	Centerville, Ia.
Vice-President.....	Geo. H. Holt	New York, N. Y....
Secretary and Treasurer	Jno. A. Drake	Chicago, Ill.
General Solicitor.....	H. K. Wheeler.....	Kankakee, Ill.
Auditor	M. J. Hartnett.....	"
General Manager.....	T. P. Shouts.....	Chicago, Ill.
Gen'l Freight and Passenger Agent.....	C. W. Cook.....	"
Superintendent	C. H. Smith.....	Kankakee, Ill.

IOWA CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	Russell Sage.....	New York, N. Y....
President.....	Russell Sage.....	"
Vice-President.....	H. J. Morse.....	"
Secretary	Geo. R. Morse.....	"
Treasurer	E. H. Perkins.....	"
Assistant Treasurer.....	Geo. R. Morse.....	"
Local Treasurer.....	Seth Zug.....	Marshalltown, Ia. .
Chief Engineer.....	G. E. Pruden.....	"
General Solicitor	A. C. Daly	"
Auditor	C. S. Benson.....	"
General Manager.....	C. H. Ackert.....	"
Traffic Manager.....	A. F. Banks.....	"
Assistant General Passenger Agent	T. P. Barry	"
Assistant General Freight Agent.....	Jas. Mahoney.....	"
Superintendent	J. H. Redmon.....	"
Superintendent of Telegraph	G. N. Gish.....	"

LAKE ERIE & WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	Calvin S. Brice	New York, N. Y....
President	Calvin S. Brice	" "
Vice President	Nelson Robinson	" "
Secretary and Treasurer	L. M. Schwan	" "
Assistant Treasurer	A. D. Thomas	Indianapolis, Ind..
Chief Engineer	F. H. Perry	" "
Attorney or General Counsel	W. E. Hackedorn	" "
Assistant Attorney or General Counsel..	F. S. Foote	" "
Auditor	W. A. Wildhack	" "
General Manager	Geo. L. Bradbury	" "
Traffic Manager	H. C. Parker	" "
Assistant General Freight Agent	S. A. Weikel	" "
Assistant General Freight Agent	S. B. Sweet	" "
General Passenger Agent	C. F. Daly	" "
General Ticket Agent	C. F. Daly	" "
General Superintendent	D. S. Hill	" "
Master of Transportation and Superin-		
tendent of Telegraph	O. W. Bell	" "
General Baggage Agent	C. F. Daly	" "

LAKE SHORE & MICHIGAN SOUTHERN RAILWAY COM-
PANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	Wm. K. Vanderbilt	New York, N. Y....
President	John Newell	Cleveland, Ohio....
Vice-President	E. D. Worcester	New York, N. Y....
Secretary and Treasurer	E. D. Worcester	" "
Assistant Treasurer	D. W. Pardee	" "
Local Treasurer	N. Bartlett	Cleveland, Ohio....
Chief Engineer	G. H. Kimball	" "
Attorney or General Counsel	Geo. C. Greene	" "
Assistant Attorney or General Counsel..	O. G. Getzen-Danner	" "
Auditor, General	Cyrus P. Leland	" "
General Manager	John Newell	" "
General Freight Agent	John T. R. McKay	" "
Assistant General Freight Agent	James G. James	" "
Assistant General Passenger Agent	E. C. Luce	" "
General Passenger Agent	A. J. Smith	" "
General Ticket Agent	A. J. Smith	" "
Assistant General Ticket Agent	E. C. Luce	" "
General Superintendent	Phineas P. Wright	" "
Assistant General Superintendent	W. H. Canniff	" "
Division Superintendent	C. B. Couch	" "
Division Superintendent	Thomas Flesher, Jr.	" "
Division Superintendent	T. J. Charlesworth	Toledo, Ohio
Division Superintendent	A. G. Amsden	Chicago, Ill.
Division Superintendent	T. W. Niles	Youngstown, Ohio..
Division Superintendent	S. S. Hand	Detroit, Mich.
Division Superintendent	T. F. Whittelsey	Hillsdale, Mich.
Superintendent of Telegraph	Wm. Kline	Toledo, Ohio
General Baggage Agent	John L. Freeman	Cleveland, Ohio....

LOUISVILLE & NASHVILLE RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Eckstein Norton	New York, N. Y.
Vice-President	Milton H. Smith	Louisville, Ky.
Vice-President, 2d	A. M. Quarrier	
Vice-President, 3d	E. B. Stahlman	Nashville, Tenn.
Secretary	J. H. Ellis	Louisville, Ky.
Treasurer	Wm. W. Thompson	" "
Assistant Treasurer	S. H. Edgar	" "
Cashier	G. W. Proctor	" "
Chief Attorney	Russell Houston	" "
Comptroller	Cushman Quarrier	" "
Assistant Comptroller	Charles Haydon	" "
General Manager	J. G. Metcalfe	" "
Chief Engineer	R. Montfort	" "
Traffic Manager	S. R. Knott	" "
General Freight Agent	John M. Culp	" "
Assistant General Freight Agent	P. J. McGovern	" "
General Passenger Agent	C. P. Atmore	" "
Assistant General Passenger Agent	J. A. Boyd	" "
General Baggage Agent	J. B. Browning	" "
Division Superintendent	B. F. Dickson	Evansville, Ind.

SOUTHEAST & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	M. H. Smith	Louisville, Ky.
Secretary	J. H. Ellis	
Treasurer	W. W. Thompson	" "
Comptroller	C. Quarrier	" "
General Manager	J. G. Metcalfe	" "
Traffic Manager	S. R. Knott	" "
General Superintendent	B. F. Dickson	Evansville, Ind.

LOUISVILLE, EVANSVILLE & ST. LOUIS CONSOLIDATED RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	D. J. Mackey	Evansville, Ind.
Vice-President	Wm. Heilman	" "
Secretary and Treasurer	W. J. Lewis	" "
Chief Engineer	Theo. L. Dunn	New Albany, Ind.
Attorneys or General Counsel	Ingleheart & Taylor	Evansville, Ind.
Auditor	E. B. Cooke	" "
General Manager	Geo. F. Evans	Louisville, Ky.
General Traffic Manager	G. J. Grammer	Evansville, Ind.
General Passenger Agent	J. S. Odiorne	Louisville, Ky.
General Freight Agent	L. S. Parsons	" "
Superintendent of Transportation	Geo. K. Lowell	New Albany, Ind.
General Baggage Agent	F. R. Wheeler	Evansville, Ind.

LOUISVILLE, NEW ALBANY & CHICAGO RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Wm. L. Breyfogle.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	Geo. F. Postelthwaite.....	“ “.....
Vice-President.....	Hiram W. Hunt.....	“ “.....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	W. H. Lewis.....	“ “.....
Assistant Treasurer and Secretary.....	Jno. A. Hilton.....	New York, N. Y.....
Chief Engineer.....	Ferd Hall.....	Chicago, Ill.....
General Solicitor.....	E. C. Field.....	“ “.....
Auditor.....	H. H. Kendrick.....	“ “.....
General Manager.....	W. F. Black.....	“ “.....
Traffic Manager.....	W. H. McDoel.....	“ “.....
General Freight Agent.....	R. M. Arnold.....	“ “.....
General Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	James Barker.....	“ “.....
Division Superintendent.....	J. B. Safford.....	Indianapolis, Ind.....
Division Superintendent.....	J. O. Ewan.....	Lafayette, Ind.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	F. G. McCurdy.....	Chicago, Ill.....
General Baggage Agent.....	James Barker.....	“ “.....

MICHIGAN CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	H. B. Ledyard.....	Detroit, Mich.....
Vice-President.....	E. D. Worcester.....	New York, N. Y.....
Secretary.....	E. D. Worcester.....	“ “.....
Treasurer.....	Henry Pratt.....	“ “.....
Cashier.....	John E. Griffiths.....	Detroit, Mich.....
Chief Engineer.....	J. D. Hawks.....	“ “.....
General Counsel.....	Ashley Pond.....	“ “.....
General Attorney.....	Henry Russel.....	“ “.....
Auditor.....	D. A. Waterman.....	“ “.....
Assistant Auditor.....	A. J. Burt.....	“ “.....
General Manager.....	H. B. Ledyard.....	“ “.....
General Freight Agent.....	A. Mackay.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Assistant General Freight Agent.....	B. E. Hand.....	“ “.....
General Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	O. W. Ruggles.....	“ “.....
Ass't General Passenger and Ticket Ag't.....	G. E. King.....	“ “.....
General Superintendent.....	E. C. Brown.....	Detroit, Mich.....
Assistant General Superintendent.....	Robt. Miller.....	“ “.....
Division Superintendent.....	R. H. L. Hommedieu.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Division Superintendent.....	C. B. Bush.....	Jackson, Mich.....
Division Superintendent.....	W. J. Martin.....	Bay City, Mich.....
Division Superintendent.....	D. S. Sutherland.....	Detroit, Mich.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	E. Torrey.....	“ “.....
General Baggage Agent.....	P. P. Dearing.....	“ “.....

JOLIET & NORTHERN INDIANA RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	C. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y....
Vice-President.....	H. B. Ledyard.....	Detroit, Mich.....
Secretary.....	E. D. Worcester.....	New York, N. Y....
Treasurer.....	Henry Pratt.....

MOBILE & OHIO RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	Wm. Butler Duncan.....	New York, N. Y....
President.....	J. C. Clarke.....	Mobile, Ala.....
Vice-President.....	Jas. H. Pay.....	New York, N. Y....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	Henry Tacon.....	Mobile, Ala.....
Assistant Secretary.....	A. Mackintosh.....	New York, N. Y....
Cashier.....	C. M. Shepard.....	Mobile, Ala.....
General Solicitor.....	E. L. Russell.....	" ".....
Auditor, General.....	R. V. Taylor.....	" ".....
General Manager.....	J. C. Clarke.....	" ".....
Traffic Manager.....	H. S. Depew.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
General Passenger Agent.....	G. W. King.....	Mobile, Ala.....
General Freight Agent.....	J. T. Poe.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
General Superintendent.....	D. McLaren.....	Mobile, Ala.....
Division Superintendent.....	C. S. Clarke.....	" ".....
Division Superintendent.....	J. H. Seale.....	Jackson, Tenn.....
Division Superintendent.....	H. W. Clarke.....	Murphysboro, Ill..
Superintendent of Machinery.....	M. T. Carson.....	Jackson, Tenn.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	K. McKenzie.....

NEW YORK, CHICAGO & ST. LOUIS RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	Wm. K. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y....
President.....	D. W. Caldwell.....	Cleveland, Ohio....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	Allyn Cox.....	New York, N. Y....
Assistant Treasurer.....	H. Hammersley.....	Cleveland, Ohio....
Attorney, General, or Counsel.....	Sam'l E. Williamson.....	" ".....
Auditor.....	James P. Curry.....	" ".....
General Passenger Agent.....	B. F. Horner.....	" ".....
General Freight Agent.....	S. B. Spriggs.....	" ".....
General Superintendent.....	Lewis Williams.....	" ".....
Division Superintendent.....	A. W. Johnston.....	" ".....
Division Superintendent.....	C. D. Gorham.....	Fort Wayne, Ind....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	Geo. T. Williams.....	Cleveland, Ohio....

CHICAGO & STATE LINE RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	John Newell.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Vice-President.....	James A. Roosevelt.....	New York, N. Y.....
Secretary	James P. Curry.....	Cleveland, Ohio.....
Treasurer	H. Hammersley.....	"
Auditor	James P. Curry.....	"
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	W. G. Purdy.....	Chicago, Ill.....

OHIO & MISSISSIPPI RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	J. F. Barnard.....	Cincinnati, Ohio....
Secretary	E. P. Cutter.....	"
Treasurer	Robt. Reid.....	"
Chief Engineer	C. C. Chandler	"
General Solicitors.....	Ramsey, Maxwell & Ramsey	"
Auditor	E. P. Cutter.....	"
General Manager	J. F. Barnard	"
General Passenger Agent.....	W. B. Shattuc.....	"
General Freight Agent.....	Wm. Duncan.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
Superintendent	C. C. F. Bent.....	Cincinnati, Ohio....
Assistant Superintendent.....	C. M. Stanton.....	Springfield, Ill.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	A. Hayward.....	Cincinnati, Ohio....
General Baggage Agent.....	W. I. Robinson.....	"
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	F. W. Tracy.....	Springfield, Ill.....

PAWNEE RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	John White	Pawnee, Ill.....
President	John White	"
Vice-President.....	H. B. Davis	"
Secretary	C. E. Clayton	"
Treasurer	H. B. Davis.....	"
Chief Engineer.....	Joseph E. Burtie	"
Attorneys or General Counsel.....	Conkling & Grout.....	Springfield, Ill.....
Auditor	C. E. Clayton	Pawnee, Ill.....
General Manager.....	H. B. Davis.....	"
General Freight Agent.....	H. E. Farman.....	"
General Ticket Agent.....	H. E. Farman.....	"
Superintendent of Express	H. E. Farman.....	"

PENNSYLVANIA COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	George B. Roberts.....	Philadelphia, Pa...
Vice-President, 1st.....	J. N. McCullough	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Vice-President, 2d.....	James McCrear.....	"
Vice-President, 3d.....	Thomas D. Messler	"
Secretary.....	S. B. Liggett.....	"
Assistant Secretary.....	S. W. White	Philadelphia, Pa...
Treasurer.....	John E. Davidson.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Assistant Treasurer.....	J. P. Henderson.....	"
Chief Engineer.....	Thomas Rodd	"
General Counsel.....	J. F. Brooks.....	"
Assistant Counsel.....	J. J. Brooks.....	"
Comptroller.....	Thomas D. Messler	"
Auditor of Freight Receipts.....	A. McElvey	"
Auditor of Passenger Receipts.....	J. P. Farley	"
Auditor of Disbursements	James Instan	"
As-istant Comptroller.....	John W. Renner.....	"
General Manager.....	Joseph Wood	"
Purchasing Agent.....	William Mullins.....	"
General Freight Agent.....	William Stewart	"
Assi-tant General Freight Agent.....	L. C. Cole	"
General Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	F. A. Ford	"
Assistant General Passenger Agent	F. VanDusen	"
General Supt of Transportation.....	E. B. Taylor	"
General Superintendent.....	Charles Watts	"
Division Superintendent, W. division.....	C. D. Law	Ft. Wayne, Ind.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	E. C. Bradley.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
General Baggage Agent.....	R. R. Bentley	"

CALUMET RIVER RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Thomas D. Messler.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Secretary.....	S. B. Liggett.....	"
Treasurer.....	John E. Davidson.....	"

PITTSBURGH, FT. WAYNE & CHICAGO RAILWAY
COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Louis H. Meyer.....	New York, N. Y....
Secretary and Treasurer.....	John J. Haley.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Attorney or General Counsel.....	Chas. W. Cass.....	New York, N. Y....

SOUTH CHICAGO & SOUTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	T. D. Messler	Pitts-burgh, Pa.
Secretary	S. B. Liggett	"
Treasurer	Jno. E. Davidson	"

PEORIA & PEKIN UNION RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Jos. Ramsey, Jr.	Cincinnati, Ohio.
Vice-President	Wm. S. Hook	Jacksonville, Ill.
Secretary and Treasurer	H. K. Pinkney	Peoria, Ill.
Chief Engineer	Jas. E. Palmer	"
General Solicitors	Stevens & Horton	"
Auditor	F. T. Dwight	"
General Superintendent	M. S. Connors	"
General Freight Agent	M. S. Connors	"
Superintendent of Telegraph	J. H. Morrison	"
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock ...	H. K. Pinkney, Secy.	"

PEORIA, DECATUR & EVANSVILLE RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	D. J. Mackey	Evansville, Ind.
Vice-President	Wm. Heilman	"
Secretary and Treasurer	W. J. Lewis	"
Chief Engineer	T. A. Allen	"
Attorney or General Counsel	J. S. Stevens	Peoria, Ill.
Auditor	E. B. Cooke	Evansville, Ind.
General Manager	Geo. W. Saul	Chicago, Ill.
General Traffic Manager	G. J. Grammer	Evansville, Ind.
General Freight Agent	E. O. Hopkins	"
Assistant General Passenger Agent	S. D. McLeich	"
Superintendent	R. B. Starbuck	Mattson, Ill.
Superintendent of Telegraph	R. B. Starbuck	"
General Baggage Agent	F. R. Wheeler	Evansville, Ind.

QUINCY, OMAHA & KANSAS CITY RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board.....	Chas. H. Bull.....	Quincy, Ill.....
President.....	Chas. H. Bull.....	".....
Vice-President and General Manager....	Amos Green.....	".....
Secretary.....	C. H. Spencer.....	".....
Treasurer.....	E. J. Parker.....	".....
General Solicitor.....	William McFadon.....	".....
Auditor.....	John M. Savin.....	".....
Traffic Manager.....	J. H. Best.....	".....
Superintendent of Transportation.....	C. E. Soule.....	".....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	A. B. Cowan.....	".....
Agents in Illinois, for transfer of stock...	L. and C. H. Bull.....	".....

ROCK ISLAND & PEORIA RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	R. R. Cable.....	Rock Island, Ill....
Vice-President.....	A. Kimball.....	Davenport, Iowa...
Secretary and Treasurer.....	H. B. Sudlow.....	Rock Island, Ill....
Cashier.....	R. Slaymaker.....	".....
Chief Engineer.....	J. Elder.....	Peoria, Ill.....
Auditor.....	R. H. Hudson.....	Rock Island, Ill....
General Freight, Passenger and Ticket Agent.....	R. Stockhouse.....	".....
General Superintendent.....	H. B. Sudlow.....	".....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	H. P. Greenough.....	".....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock...	H. B. Sudlow.....	".....

ST. LOUIS, ALTON & SPRINGFIELD RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Vice-President.....	Chas. E. Kimball.....	New York, N. Y....
Secretary.....	Joseph Dickson.....	Springfield, Ill....
Treasurer.....	Chas. E. Kimball.....	".....
Cashier.....	D. S. Mitchell.....	".....
Chief Engineer.....	H. C. Swift.....	".....
Attorney or General Counsel.....	Joseph Dickson.....	".....
Auditor.....	D. S. Mitchell.....	".....
General Manager.....	H. A. Fisher.....	".....
General Freight and Passenger Agent...	F. E. Fisher.....	".....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	H. A. Fisher.....	".....

ST. LOUIS, ALTON & TERRE HAUTE RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	Geo. Foster Peabody	New York, N. Y.
President	Geo. W. Parker	St. Louis, Mo.
Secretary	E. F. Leonard	Springfield, Ill.
Treasurer	Geo. W. Parker	St. Louis, Mo.
Cashier	Henry T. Nash
Auditor	Henry T. Nash
General Manager	Geo. W. Parker
General Freight, Passenger, Ticket and Baggage Agent	Geo. E. Lacy
Superintendent	W. S. Wilson	Pinckneyville, Ill.
Superintendent of Telegraph	W. S. Wilson
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	E. F. Leonard	Springfield, Ill.

BELLEVILLE & CARONDELET RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	M. T. Stookey	Belleville, Ill.
Secretary	Henry T. Nash	St. Louis, Mo.
Treasurer	Geo. W. Parker
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	E. F. Leonard	Springfield, Ill.

BELLEVILLE & ELDORADO RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	E. F. Leonard	Springfield, Ill.
Secretary	Henry T. Nash	St. Louis, Mo.
Treasurer	R. Fulton Cutting	New York, N. Y.
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	E. F. Leonard	Springfield, Ill.

BELLEVILLE & SOUTHERN ILLINOIS RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Thos. Denny	New York, N. Y.
Secretary	E. F. Leonard	Springfield, Ill.
Assistant Secretary	H. A. Crosby	New York, N. Y.
Treasurer	J. K. Gape
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	E. F. Leonard	Springfield, Ill.

CHICAGO, ST. LOUIS & PADUCAH RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	W. K. Murphy	Pineknayville, Ill..
Secretary and Treasurer	Henry T. Nash	S. Louis, Mo.
Assistant Secretary	Henry A. Crosby	New York, N. Y....
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock...	E. F. Leonard	Springfield, Ill.

ST. LOUIS SOUTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Ephraim C. Davies	Cincinnati, O.
Vice-President	C. W. Fairbanks	Indianapolis, Ind..
Secretary	C. H. Bosworth	Springfield, Ill.
Treasurer	John E. McGettigan	Indianapolis, Ind..

CARBONDALE & SHAWNEETOWN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	John E. McGettigan	Indianapolis, Ind..
President	John E. McGettigan	Indianapolis, Ind..
Secretary and Treasurer	C. H. Bosworth	Springfield, Ill.

ST. LOUIS & CHICAGO RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Receiver	R. J. Cavett	Springfield, Ill.
Attorney or General Counsel	Frank H. Jones	" "
Auditor	C. H. Bosworth	" "
General Manager	C. H. Bosworth	" "
General Freight Agent	C. H. Bosworth	" "
General Passenger Agent	C. H. Bosworth	" "

ST. LOUIS & PEORIA RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Giles E. Taintor	New York, N. Y....
Secretary	A. J. Moorshead	Springfield, Ill.
General Manager	A. J. Moorshead	" "

TERMINAL RAILROAD ASSOCIATION OF ST. LOUIS.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Wm. Taussig.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
Vice-President.....	John F. Barnard.....	" ".....
Secretary.....	James Hanna.....	" ".....
Treasurer.....	A. H. Calp.....	New York, N. Y.....
Assi-tant Treasurer.....	James Hanna.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
Cashier.....	Fred. C. Daal.....	" ".....
Chief Engineer.....	Wm. Taussig.....	" ".....
Attorney or General Counsel.....	S. M. Breckinridge.....	" ".....
Auditor.....	James Hanna.....	" ".....
Assistant Auditor.....	Emil Ulric.....	" ".....
General Manager.....	Wm. Taussig.....	" ".....
General Passenger Agent.....	V. W. Fisher.....	" ".....
General Freight Agent.....	Wm. G. Broughton.....	" ".....
General Superintendent.....	Frauk Stillwell.....	" ".....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	E. A. Chenery.....	" ".....
General Baggage Agent.....	W. M. Steele.....	" ".....

TERRE HAUTE & INDIANAPOLIS RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President and General Manager.....	William R. McKeen.....	Terre Haute, Ind..
Vice-President.....	John G. Williams.....	" ".....
Secretary.....	Geo. E. Farrington.....	" ".....
Treasurer.....	J. W. Cruft.....	" ".....
Chief Engineer.....	A. J. Gibbons.....	" ".....
General Counsel.....	John G. Williams.....	" ".....
Auditor.....	W. S. Roney.....	" ".....
Assistant General Manager.....	Jos. Hill.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
General Freight Agent.....	H. W. Hibbard.....	" ".....
General Passenger Agent.....	E. A. Ford.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Ass't General Passenger Agents.....	J. M. Chesbrough.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
	H. R. Dering.....	Indianapolis, Ind..
Superintendent of Transportation.....	N. K. Elliott.....	Terre Haute, Ind..
Assistant Superintendent.....	E. R. Darlon.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	R. B. Woolsey.....	Terre Haute, Ind..
General Baggage Agent.....	R. R. Bentley.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....

ST. LOUIS, VANDALIA & TERRE HAUTE RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Thos. D. Messler.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.....
Secretary.....	S. B. Liggett.....	" ".....
Treasurer.....	John E. Davidson.....	" ".....
Assistant Secretary.....	C. D. Hoiles.....	Greenville, Ill.....

TERRE HAUTE & PEORIA RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	C. W. Fairbanks	Indianapolis, Ind..
President	C. W. Fairbanks	" ..
Secretary	F. J. Richman	" ..
Treasurer	I. H. Burgoon	Decatur, Ill.
Cashier	F. M. Hobart	" ..
General Solicitor	E. Jacoby	Indianapolis, Ind..
Auditor	W. M. Strange	Decatur, Ill.
General Manager	I. H. Burgoon	" ..
General Freight, Passenger and Ticket Agent	A. Stevens	" ..
Superintendent of Telegraph	I. H. Burgoon	" ..

TOLEDO, PEORIA & WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Executive Committee...	Charles Moran	New York, N. Y....
President	E. F. Leonard	Peoria, Ill.
Vice-President	E. N. Armstrong	" ..
Secretary	E. D. Usner	" ..
Treasurer	E. F. Leonard	" ..
General Solicitor	Walter S. Horton	" ..
Auditor	E. D. Usner	" ..
General Manager	E. F. Leonard	" ..
General Freight Agent	H. D. Gould	" ..
Assistant General Freight Agent	Daniel Mowat	" ..
General Passenger, Ticket and Baggage Agent	H. D. Gould	" ..
General Superintendent	E. N. Armstrong	" ..
Superintendent of Telegraph	C. B. Plantz	" ..
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock	E. D. Usner	" ..

TOLEDO, ST. LOUIS & KANSAS CITY RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	S. R. Callaway	New York, N. Y....
Secretary and Treasurer	Isaac W. White	" ..
Chief Engineer	A. L. Mills	Toledo, Ohio
General Solicitor	Clarence Brown	" ..
Auditor	D. D. Davis	" ..
General Manager	S. R. Callaway	" ..
General Freight Agent	W. S. Weed	" ..
Assistant General Freight Agent	D. F. Jennings	St. Louis, Mo.
General Passenger Agent	C. C. Jennings	Toledo, Ohio
Superintendent	C. N. Pratt	Frankfort, Ind....
Superintendent of Telegraph	N. McKinnon	Toledo, Ohio

WABASH RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the Board	O. D. Ashley.....	New York, N. Y....
President.....	O. D. Ashley.....	"
Vice-President.....	Edgar T. Wells	"
Vice-President.....	James F. How	St. Louis, Mo.....
Secretary	J. C. Otteson	New York, N. Y....
Treasurer	F. L. O'Leary.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
Chief Engineer	W. S. Lincoln.....	"
General Solicitor	W. H. Blodgett.....	"
Auditor	D. B. Howard.....	"
Assistant Auditor.....	E. B. Pryor.....	"
General Manager.....	C. M. Hays.....	"
Traffic Manager	Wm. Knight	"
General Freight Agent	S. B. Knight	"
Assistant General Freight Agent	J. D. Lund	"
General Passenger Agent.....	F. Chandler.....	"
General Ticket Agent.....	F. Chandler.....	"
Assistant General Ticket and Passenger Agent	C. S. Crane	"
General Superintendent.....	H. L. Magee	"
Division Superintendent.....	E. A. Gould.....	Peru, Ind.....
Division Superintendent.....	J. S. Goodrich	Chicago, Ill.....
Division Superintendent.....	F. H. McGuigan.....	Kansas City, Mo....
Superintendent of Telegraph	G. C. Kinsman.....	Decatur, Ill.....
General Baggage Agent	S. H. Overholt.....	St. Louis, Mo.....

WABASH, CHESTER & WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Nathan Cole.....	St. Louis, Mo.....
Vice-President.....	C. B. Cole.....	Chester, Ill.....
Secretary	H. C. Cole.....	"
Treasurer.....	C. B. Cole.....	"
Auditor	C. E. Kingsbury.....	"
General Manager.....	C. B. Cole.....	"
General Fr't, Passenger and Ticket Ag't.	C. E. Kingsbury.....	"
General Superintendent.....	J. R. Hawkins.....	"
Agent in Illinois, for transfer of stock....	H. C. Cole, See'y.....	"

WISCONSIN CENTRAL LINES.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Local Treasurer.....	R. W. Maguire.....	Milwaukee, Wis....
Chief Engineer.....	F. W. Fratt.....	"
General Solicitor.....	D. S. Wegg.....	"
Assistant Attorney.....	Howard Morris.....	"
Auditor.....	T. J. Hyman.....	"
General Manager.....	R. S. Ainslie.....	"
Traffic Manager.....	H. C. Barlow.....	Chicago, Ill.....
General Freight Agent.....	J. B. Cavanaugh.....	"
General Passenger Agent.....	Louis Eekstien.....	"
Division Superintendent.....	A. R. Horn.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Division Superintendent.....	M. B. Cutler.....	Stevens Point, Wis..
Division Superintendent.....	E. R. Knowlton.....	Waukesha, Wis.....
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	O. C. Green.....	St. Paul, Minn.....

CHICAGO & WISCONSIN RAILROAD COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President and Treasurer.....	Chas. L. Colby.....	Milwaukee, Wis....
Vice-President and Secretary.....	Edwin H. Abbott.....

LIST OF RAILROADS INCORPORATED FOR YEAR END- ING JUNE 30, 1890.

The Chicago and Blue Island Railroad Co. From the village of Blue Island, in Cook county, Ill., to the city of Chicago, in Cook county, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$50,000. Directors, Charles S. Harmon, Frank O. Young, William Hansbrough, Blue Island, Ill.; Charles C. Collins, Chicago, Ill., and Austin Wissall, Morgan Park, Ill. Filed, July 9, 1889.

East St. Louis and St. Louis Electric Railway Co. From a point in the Illinois and St. Louis Bridge, where the same is intersected by the boundary line between the states of Illinois and Missouri, thence eastwardly to and through the city of East St. Louis, Ill., thence to the National Stock Yards, in the county of St. Clair, Ill., and thence to some eligible and convenient point in St. Clair county. Office, East St. Louis, Ill. Capital stock, \$100,000. Directors, Charles C. Carroll and Henry C. Latham, Springfield, Ill.; S. A. Barton, Chicago, Ill., and D. R. Powell and E. C. Lackland, St. Louis, Mo. Filed, July 30, 1889.

Streator and Seneca Railroad Co. From Streator, LaSalle county, Ill., to Seneca, LaSalle county, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$600,000. Directors, George H. Harlow, John J. Allen, Charles C. Whitacre, Russell H. Stevens and Lawson A. Gilbert, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed August 10, 1889.

Fort Wayne, Wilmington and Western Railway Co. From a point in Will county, Ill., thence westerly through Washington, Peotone and Wilmington in said county to Blodgett Station in said county, on the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad. Office, Wilmington, Ill. Capital stock, \$2,000,000. Directors, Edmund Allen and M. N. M. Stuart, of Wilmington, Ill.; Charles Smith, Channahon, Ill.; Michael Collins, Peotone, Ill., and David Willard, Wesley, Ill. Filed, August 22, 1889.

The Perry, Pittsfield and Southern Railroad Co. From Perry, Pike county, Ill., to Pittsfield in said county, with branches from Pittsfield to Nebo, in said county, and from Pittsfield to a point in said county on the Mississippi river, opposite Louisiana, Mo. Office, Pittsfield, Ill. Capital stock, \$500,000. Directors, Clark P. Chapman, Pittsfield, Ill.; Asabel Hinman, Perry, Ill.; Eden M. Seeley, Pittsfield, Ill.; George W. Witham, Perry, Ill., and Edward F. Binns and George Barber, Pittsfield, Ill. Filed, August 26, 1889.

The Illinois Elevated Railway Co. From a point within the corporate limits of the city of Chicago, Cook county, Ill., in a northerly direction to the line of said county, and again from a point within the corporate limits of Chicago, Ill., in a westerly direction to the line of said county, and again from a point within the corporate limits of Chicago, Ill., in a southerly direction to the line of said county, and again from a point within the corporate limits of Chicago, Ill., in a southwesterly direction to the line of said county, together with necessary branches or loop lines. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$10,000,000. Directors, Michael W. Ryan, John Tyler, Edward C. Donnellan, William W. Bell and Paul Brown, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, August 28, 1889.

The Perry, Pittsfield and Southern Railroad Co. From Perry, Pike county, Ill., to Pittsfield in said county, with branch from Pittsfield to Nebo in said county, and from Pittsfield to a point in said county on the Mississippi river opposite Louisiana, Mo. Office, Pittsfield, Ill. Capital stock, \$100,000. Directors, Clark P. Chapman, Pittsfield, Ill.; Asabel Hinman, Perry, Ill.; Eden M. Seeley, Pittsfield, Ill.; George W. Witham, Perry, Ill., and Edward F. Binns and George Barber, Pittsfield, Ill. Filed, August 31, 1889.

Chicago and Kenosha Railway Co. From such terminus within the city of Chicago, Ill., as may be selected by said corporation to a point on the Illinois State line in Lake county. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$100,000. Directors, Adams A. Goodrich, Jerseyville, Ill., and Frank H. McCulloch, Frank H. Bowen, Harry P. Young and James C. Hutchins, Chicago, Ill. Filed, Sept. 20, 1889.

Joliet and Blue Island Railway Co. From a point at or near Joliet, Will county, Ill., to a point at or near the village of Blue Island, Cook county, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$100,000. Directors, Adams A. Goodrich, Jerseyville, Ill., and Frank H. McCulloch, Frank H. Bowen, Harry P. Young and James C. Hutchins, Chicago, Ill. Filed, Sept. 20, 1889.

Calumet and Blue Island Railway Co. From such terminus within the City of Chicago, Ill., as may be selected by said corporation, to a point at or near the village of Blue Island, Cook county, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$200,000. Directors, Adams A. Goodrich, Jerseyville, Ill., and Frank H. McCulloch, Frank H. Bowen, Harry P. Young and James C. Hutchins, Chicago, Ill. Filed, Sept. 20, 1889.

Ava, Grand Tower and Cairo Railroad Co. From the village of Ava, Jackson county, Ill., to the city of Cairo, Alexander county, Ill., and passing through the town of Grand Tower, in Jackson county, and passing through the town of Thebes in Alexander county, and passing through the county of Union. Office, Ava, Ill. Capital stock, \$1,000,000. Directors, Johnston Husband, Wm. E. Talbott, Murry Dean, Whitney Gilbreath, Don E. Detrich, John Conner and Samuel H. Douglas, all of Ava, Ill. Filed, Oct. 16, 1889.

Chicago Central Railway Co. From the city of Chicago, Cook county, Ill., to a point on the west boundary line of the State of Illinois at or near the south boundary line of Adams county, and also from the city of Chicago to a point on the south boundary line of Iroquois county. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$1,000,000. Directors, Milton R. Wood, Charles W. Needham, Erwin E. Wood, William L. Moss and Edwin L. Waugh, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, Oct. 18, 1889.

Chicago and Central Southern Railroad Co. From a point to be hereafter selected on the State line between the states of Indiana and Illinois, in Edgar county, Ill., through the counties of Edgar, Vermilion, Iroquois, Kankakee, Will and Cook, to such terminus in the city of Chicago as may be selected. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$4,000,000. Directors, Benjamin T. Lewis, LaGrange, Ill.; B. D. Harris, Frederick S. Winston and James F. Meagher, Chicago, Ill., and Frank P. Crandon, Evanston, Ill. Filed, Oct. 26, 1889.

The St. Louis, Indianapolis and Eastern Railroad Company of Illinois. From East St. Louis, St. Clair county, Ill., in an easterly direction through the counties of St. Clair, Madison, Clinton, Bond, Fayette, Marion, Clay, Effingham, Jasper and Crawford, to the center of the Wabash river, at a point near the village of Palestine, Ill., a distance of about 148 miles; also to purchase, own, operate and maintain as a part of said main line of railroad a railroad commencing at the city of Effingham, Effingham county, Ill., and running southeasterly to a connection with said main line near the city of Newton, Jasper county, Ill., being a distance of about 22 miles. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$6,800,000. Directors, Horatio H. Gardner, Thomas B. Rice, John Prindiville, John L. Stockton and Ira C. Wood, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, Oct. 30, 1889.

Chicago and State Line Terminal Railway Co. From the Indiana State line between the south line of the town of Thornton, Cook county, Ill., and Lake Michigan, northwesterly to and through the city of Chicago, Cook county, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$3,000,000. Directors, Charles E. Rand, Theo. Emery, John Emmet Phillips, Joseph McH. Holmes and Charles H. Pringle, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, Nov. 2, 1889.

Chicago and Northern Pacific Railroad Co.—

1st. From the southwest corner of Harrison street and Fifth avenue in the city of Chicago, Cook county, Ill., westerly and southwesterly through the city of LaSalle to the Mississippi river.

2d. From a point in the vicinity of the intersection of Crawford avenue and West Randolph street in the city of Chicago, westerly to the Mississippi river.

3d. From some convenient point on route number two in the town of Proviso, southerly and southwesterly to the city of East St. Louis.

4th. From a point in the vicinity of the intersection of Crawford avenue and West Randolph street in the city of Chicago, southerly to the southern limits of Cook county, with a branch easterly to Lake Michigan and a branch westerly to the west limits of Cook county.

5th. From some convenient point on route number one in the west division of the city of Chicago, southerly to the Ohio river, with branches from three convenient points in Cook county easterly to the eastern boundary of the State of Illinois.

6th. From some convenient point in Macon county on route number three southerly to the Ohio river.

7th. From some convenient point on route number one in the town of Cicero, southerly to the southern limits of Cook county.

8th. From some convenient point on route number one between Crawford avenue in the city of Chicago and the west limits of the town of Cicero, southwesterly to the western limits of Cook county. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$30,000,000. Directors, James L. High, Alfred D. Eddy, Chauncey W. Martyn, James E. Rogers and David Eichberg, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, Nov. 23, 1889.

Belleville and East St. Louis Railway Co. From Belleville, Ill., to East St. Louis, Ill. Office, Belleville, Ill. Capital stock, \$500,000. Directors, Julius Kohl, Belleville, Ill.; Henry Seiter and James D. Baker, Lebanon, Ill.; Herman G. Weber, Belleville, Ill.; J. L. Wiggins, East St. Louis, Ill.; Joseph Fuess, Belleville, Ill.; Henry D. Sexton, East St. Louis, Ill., and Charles P. Knispel, Belleville, Ill. Filed, Nov. 27, 1889.

Belleville Terminal Railway Co. From a point within or adjoining the city of Belleville, Ill., around said city of Belleville so as to connect all the railways within said city with one another. Office, Belleville, Ill. Capital stock, \$100,000. Directors, Julius Kohl, Belleville, Ill.; Henry Seiter and James D. Baker, Lebanon, Ill.; Herman G. Weber, Belleville, Ill.; J. L. Wiggins, East St. Louis, Ill.; Joseph Fuess, Belleville, Ill.; Henry D. Sexton, East St. Louis, Ill., and Charles P. Knispel, Belleville, Ill. Filed, Nov. 27, 1889.

St. Louis and Illinois Central Railway Co. From Eureka, Woodford county, Ill., southerly through the counties of Woodford, McLean, Tazewell, Logan, Sangamon, Montgomery, Macoupin and Madison to Alhambra, Madison county, Ill., with necessary side tracks. Office, Springfield, Ill. Capital stock, \$2,800,000. Directors, Robert McWilliams, Litchfield, Ill.; J. M. Stark, Pawnee, Ill.; H. R. Phinney, Alton, Ill.; H. W. Dana, Lincoln, Ill.; John E. Risley, New York, N. Y.; Tracey E. Roberts, Brooklyn, N. Y., and Frank C. Hollins, New York, N. Y. Filed, Nov. 27, 1889.

Chicago and Southeastern Railroad Company. From Chicago, Ill., to a point on the Indiana State line in the township of Thornton, Cook county, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$100,000. Directors, Adams A.

Goodrich, Jerseyville, Ill.: Eugene E. Prussing, Highland Park, Ill., and James C. Hutchins, Frank H. McCulloch and Frank H. Bowen, Chicago, Ill. Filed, Dec. 5, 1889.

St. Louis and Eastern Railway Co. From a point on the Mississippi river opposite St. Louis, Mo., in Madison county, Ill., to a point on the Illinois State line in Crawford county, Ill., with such lateral branches and spurs as may be necessary. Office, East St. Louis, Ill. Capital stock, \$200,000. Directors, H. R. Durkee and J. S. Brewer, Chicago, Ill.: E. C. Springer, Edwardsville, Ill.: William F. Nedringhaus, George O. Carpenter, Jr., and William E. Guy, St. Louis, Mo., and C. E. Bradish, Alton, Ill. Filed, Dec. 16, 1889.

St. Louis and Belleville Railway Co. From the city of Belleville, St. Clair county, Ill., to the city of St. Louis, Mo. Office, Belleville, Ill. Capital stock, \$300,000. Directors, D. P. Alexander, Wichita, Kansas: George Knobeloch, Carrie T. Alexander and Fred. Holdner, Belleville, Ill., and John D. Alexander, Wichita, Kansas. Filed, Dec. 18, 1889.

Alton, Venice and East St. Louis Railroad Co. From the city of Alton, Madison county, Ill., by Venice, to the city of East St. Louis, St. Clair county, Ill. Office, Alton, Ill. Capital stock, \$300,000. Directors, Henry D. Sexton, East St. Louis, Ill.: William E. Smith, Z. B. Job, H. J. Bowman, John N. Drmmmond and A. E. Mills, Alton, Ill.: T. J. Irish, Nameoki, Ill.: Frank McCambridge, Venice, Ill., and John Weding, Nameoki, Ill. Filed, Jan. 9, 1890.

The Jacksonville, Louisville and St. Louis Railway Co. From the city of Jacksonville, Morgan county, Ill., through the counties of Morgan, Sangamon, Macoupin, Montgomery, Bond, Clinton and Marion to the city of Centralia, Marion county, Ill. Office, Jacksonville, Ill. Capital stock, \$1,500,000. Directors, J. Henry Dunn, Germantown, Pa.: William Elliott, Chestnut Hill, Pa., and Isaac L. Morrison, William S. Hook and Marcus Hook, Jacksonville, Ill. Filed, Jan. 18, 1890.

The St. Louis, Chester and Grand Tower Railroad Co. From East St. Louis, St. Clair county, Ill., in a southeasterly direction through the counties of St. Clair, Monroe, Randolph and Jackson and the town of Chester to the town of Grand Tower. Office, East St. Louis, Ill. Capital stock, \$1,500,000. Directors, William Carson, Jr., S. Dwight Eaton, William D. Eaton and Walter B. Eaton, Burlington, Ia.: Thomas N. Chase, East St. Louis, Ill.: H. M. Pollard, St. Louis, Mo., and E. Reynolds. Filed, Jan. 23, 1890.

The North and South Railroad Company of Illinois. From Eureka, Woodford county, Ill., southerly through the counties of Woodford, McLean, Tazewell, Logan, Sangamon, Montgomery, Macoupin and Madison to Alhambra, Madison county, Ill. Office, Springfield, Ill. Capital stock, \$2,800,000. Directors, C. H. Bosworth, Springfield, Ill.: J. M. Stark, Pawnee, Ill.: John W. Bunn, Springfield, Ill.: David D. Withers, New York, N. Y., and Gerald L. Hoyt, New York, N. Y. Filed, Jan. 23, 1890.

St. Louis, Venice and Alton Railroad Co. From the city of Alton, Madison county, Ill., to a point in the city of East St. Louis, Ill., opposite St. Louis, Mo. Office, East St. Louis, Ill. Capital stock, \$500,000. Directors, John H. Overall and Alfred Carr, St. Louis, Mo.: Jerome Winstanley, Geo. W. Locke and Jas. K. Ewing, East St. Louis, Ill.: E. E. Rutledge, Alton, Ill., and H. M. Needles, Belleville, Ill. Filed, January 31, 1890.

The Peoria and Eastern Railway Co. From Pekin, Ill., through the counties of Tazewell, McLean, DeWitt, Piatt, Champaign and Vermilion to the boundary line between the States of Illinois and Indiana, with an extension through the counties of Vermilion, Warren, Fountain, Montgomery, Boone, Hendricks and Marion, to Indianapolis, Ind. Office, Danville, Ill. Capital stock, \$10,000,000. Directors, John Alfred Barnard, Indianapolis, Ind.: John A. Glover, Urbana, Ill., and Edmond L. Stewart, Danville, Ill. Filed, February 21, 1890.

Chicago, Blue Island and State Line Railway Co. From a point on the Illinois and Indiana State line in Cook county, Ill., northerly to and into

the city of Chicago, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$5,000,000. Directors, William Shingleton, William Black, Walter I. Pratt, Chas. H. Pringle and D. J. Evans, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, February 27, 1890.

Chicago, Harvey and State Line Railway Co. From a point on the boundary line between the States of Illinois and Indiana, northerly to and into the city of Chicago, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$3,000,000. Directors, William Shingleton, William Black, Walter I. Pratt, Chas. H. Pringle and D. J. Evans, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, February 27, 1890.

Chicago and Evanston Elevated Rapid Transit Co. From a convenient terminus at or near the point where North Clark street, in the city of Chicago, Ill. meets the Chicago river, thence in a northerly and north-westerly direction to a terminus at or near the place where Chicago avenue intersects Center street in the township of Evanston. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$12,000,000. Directors, John Cudahy, George F. Baldwin, Jacob A. Wolford, E. Partridge, Charles Dennehy, Thos. C. Dennehy, Fridolin Madlener and C. W. Partridge. Filed, March 8, 1890.

St. Louis, Chester and Grand Tower Railroad Co. From East St. Louis, Ill., through the counties of St. Clair, Monroe, Randolph and Jackson to Grand Tower, Ill. Office, East St. Louis, Ill. Capital stock, \$1,500,000. Directors, S. Dwight Eaton, Burlington, Ia.; H. M. Pollard, St. Louis, Mo.; Thos. M. Chase, East St. Louis, Ill.; William F. Barrett, Chicago, Ill. and M. C. Brown, East St. Louis, Ill. Filed, March 17, 1890.

St. Clair, Madison and St. Louis Belt Railroad Co. From a point in or near the city of Belleville, St. Clair county, Ill., to a point on the Mississippi river at or near the city of Alton, Madison county, Ill., and to a point on the boundary line between the States of Illinois and Missouri, and thence to St. Louis, Mo. Office, East St. Louis, Ill. Capital stock, \$300,000. Directors, H. M. Hill, East St. Louis, Ill., George S. Drake and Alvat Mansur, St. Louis, Mo., and F. M. Horner and John McIntyre, East St. Louis, Ill. Filed, March 28, 1890.

Cass Street, Lake View and Evanston Elevated Road Company. From within the city of Chicago, Cook county, Ill., to the village of Evanston in said county. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$6,000,000. Directors, L. R. Hall, Chicago, Ill., Samuel W. Jackson, Chicago, Ill., and Hervey, H. Anderson, Ravenswood, Ill. Filed, April 4, 1890.

Manufacturers Railroad Company. From the Indiana State line in the town of Thornton, Cook county, Ill., to Blue Island and Chicago in said county. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$150,000. Directors, Nathan G. Moore, Oak Park, Ill., and John P. Wilson, Houston C. Adcock, Alfred E. Spink and William B. McIlvaine, Chicago, Ill. Filed, April 10, 1890.

Springfield and Hillsboro Railroad Co. From Springfield through the counties of Sangamon, Christian and Montgomery to a point on the Toledo, St. Louis and Kansas City Railroad south of Hillsboro, Montgomery county, Ill. Office, Springfield, Ill. Capital stock, \$500,000. Directors, J. M. Stark, Pawnee, Ill.; J. R. Booth and C. W. Phillips, Springfield, Ill.; W. S. Weber, Zenobia, Ill., and Wm. H. Vigal, New City, Ill. Filed, April 12, 1890.

The Chicago and Eastern Railway Co. From the city of Chicago, Cook county, Ill., in an easterly direction through said county to a point on the State line between the States of Illinois and Indiana in said county, where the Indiana & Northern Railway shall intersect said State line. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$100,000. Directors, George G. Hadley, John E. Martin and George H. Ketcham, Toledo, O.; Thomas C. Louchs, Elgin, Ill., and Linnaeus E. Overman, Nelson C. Jennings and Christopher Whalen, Chicago, Ill. Filed, April 16, 1890.

Forsyth Elevated Railroad Co. From a point on the State line between the States of Illinois and Indiana in Cook county, Ill., northerly to, and into the city of Chicago, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$2,500,000. Directors, R. Clark Forsyth, Jacob Forsyth, John J. Forsyth, George W. F. Forsyth and Oliver O. Forsyth, all of Sheffield, Ind. Filed, April 24 1890.

The Chicago and Western Rapid Transit Railway Co. From and within the city of Chicago, Cook county, Ill., the main line to commence at some point east of Fifth avenue and between Madison and Harrison streets, and thence west to the present and future city limits; a branch to commence at the main line at a point between Canal and Carpenter streets and thence north to the present and future city limits; a branch to commence at main line between Ashland avenue and Wood street and thence north to a point 400 feet north or south of Milwaukee avenue; thence northwest to a point 400 feet north of North avenue; thence west to the present or future city limits. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$8,000,000. Directors, E. Louis Kuhns, Harry A. Ritter, Alexander F. Shuman, Percy L. Shuman and Joseph H. Defrees, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, April 25, 1890.

Forsyth Elevated Railroad Co. From a point on the State line between the States of Illinois and Indiana in Cook county, Ill., northerly to, and into the city of Chicago, Ill. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$5,000,000. Directors, Jacob Forsyth, John J. Forsyth, George W. Forsyth and Oliver O. Forsyth, Sheffield, Ind., and Henry F. Moore, Chicago, Ill. Filed, April 26, 1890.

The Milwaukee Avenue Alley Railroad Co. From a point on the east line of Canal street, Chicago, Ill., between Madison and Fulton streets, thence northerly to a point between Fulton and Kinzie streets, thence northwesterly to Lawrence avenue in said city. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$5,000,000. Directors, August Meyer, John M. Krause, Edward Weissert, Andrew C. Lausten and Ferdinand Hanssen, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, May 9, 1890.

New York, St. Louis and Kansas City Railway Co. From a connection with the New York, Wheeling, St. Louis and Chicago Railway Co. of Indiana at a point on the boundary between the States of Illinois and Indiana in Sullivan and Crawford counties, thence to East St. Louis, Ill. Office, East St. Louis, Ill. Capital stock, \$4,000,000. Directors, J. E. Williams, E. C. Rice and G. H. Ten Broek, St. Louis, Mo.; Alexander Flannigan and Benjamin H. Canby, East St. Louis Ill., and Thomas Cratty and Josiah Cratty, Chicago, Ill. Filed, May 15, 1890.

The Calumet Electric Street Railway Co. It is intended to construct and operate the said railway in, on, upon, over, along and across any and all of the streets and alleys within the present or future limits of the City of Chicago, Cook county, Ill., and to the boundary line between the States of Illinois and Indiana, and to such other place or places in Cook county as the said company may determine. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$50,000. Directors, Nathaniel K. Fairbank, Joel D. Harvey, William V. Jacobs, Otho S. Gaither and Samuel E. Gross, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, May 16, 1890.

The Chicago Arcade Rapid Transit Railway Co. From and within the city of Chicago, Cook county, Ill., the main line to commence at some point east of Fifth avenue, between Madison and Harrison streets, thence west to the present and future city limits; a branch to commence at main line between Ashland avenue and Wood street and thence north to a point 400 feet north or south of Milwaukee avenue; thence northwest to a point 400 feet north of North avenue; thence west to the present and future city limits; a branch to commence at the first named branch at a point between Chicago avenue and Augusta street and extend west to present and future city limits, and such other branches as may be deemed necessary or proper by said company. Office, Chicago, Ill. Capital stock, \$8,000,000. Directors, E. Louis Kuhns, Harry A. Ritter, Alexander F. Shuman, Percy L. Shuman and Joseph H. Defrees, all of Chicago, Ill. Filed, May 21, 1890.

Quincy, Keokuk and Chicago Railroad Co. From Quincy, Adams county, Ill., to Niota, Hancock county, Ill. and to operate a road from Keokuk, Iowa, to Hamilton, Hancock county, Ill. Office, Quincy Ill. Capital stock, \$1,500,000. Directors, George W. Kretzinger, Charles Gibson and C.

R. Arnold, Chicago, Ill.; C. A. McLaughlin, Galesburg, Ill.; Samuel S. Gray, Hamilton, Ill.; William Hill and James F. Crawford, Warsaw, Ill.; James M. Bishop, Quincy, Ill., and A. C. Reed, Chicago, Ill. Filed, June 4, 1890.

Little Wabash Railway Co. From Effingham, Effingham county, Ill., to Carmi, White county, Ill. Office, Clay City, Ill. Capital stock, \$1,500,000. Directors, Edward Austin, Effingham, Ill.; Theron Gould, Georgetown, Ill.; C. E. Hitts, Sailor Springs, Ill.; John B. Hutchens, Burnt Prairie, Ill.; J. I. McCauley and Israel Mills., Clay City, Ill.; Luther Yohe, Mt. Erie, Ill.; Nathaniel Holderly, Carmi, Ill., and James Price. Filed, June 30, 1890.

The Atlantic, Mexican and Pacific Railway Co. of Illinois. From a point on the Wabash river at or near Merom, Crawford county, Ill., westwardly through the counties of Crawford, Jasper, Effingham, Clay, Fayette, Marion, Bond, Clinton, Madison and St. Clair to or near East St. Louis, Ill.; also a line commencing at some point on the above line in Crawford county, thence southwestwardly through the counties of Crawford, Jasper, Richland, Clay, Wayne, Marion, Jefferson, Washington, Perry and Randolph to a point at or near Chester, Ill. Office, Robinson, Ill. Capital stock, \$5,600,000. Directors, A. Dale Owen, Philadelphia, Pa.; Henry Follett, London, England; Marchial Minkler, Detroit, Mich.; William H. McCourtie, Kalamazoo, Mich., and B. F. Bush, Grand Blanc, Mich. Filed, June 30, 1890.

GRAIN INSPECTION DEPARTMENT.

TWENTIETH ANNUAL REPORT.

*Showing the transactions of the Department from November 1,
1889, to October 31, 1890.*

OFFICE OF CHIEF INSPECTOR OF GRAIN,
CHICAGO, November 1, 1890.

Hon. John R. Wheeler, Chairman Railroad and Warehouse Commission, Springfield, Illinois:

DEAR SIR:—It gives me pleasure to announce, in submitting this, the twentieth annual report of this office for the consideration of your honorable board, that the year just closed has been the most prosperous one in the history of the department.

The record of 1880, which for ten years has stood out prominently upon the face of our reports, now falls into the second place.

In 1880, 270,524 cars and 1,622 canal boats brought to the city 138,896,368 bushels of grain. This number of cars has never until now been reached, although in 1888 and also in 1889 the number of bushels—owing to the increased size of the cars in use—was greater.

The record for the year just closed, however, shows receipts of 272,956 cars and 610 canal boats, containing 204,506,701 bushels of grain; being an increase of 2,432 cars and 65,610,333 bushels over 1880, and an increase over last year,—which was the largest ever before known in actual volume of grain—of 30,836,254 bushels.

THE WORK OF THE DEPARTMENT.

The relations of the department to the trade and to the general public have been unusually satisfactory during the year.

The number of appeals from the decisions of the inspectors has fallen off about one-half, and there has been a noticeable absence of criticism and complaint.

These facts, as well as the many commendations which have come to me from country shippers, board of trade men and eastern buyers warrant me in the belief that the work of the inspectors on the tracks and in the elevators has been done with unusual care, fidelity and accuracy.

This is more noteworthy from the fact that in some respects the work has been attended with more difficulty and inconvenience to the men and with greater proportionate expense to the department than ever before.

The evident improvement in the work of the department is due in a great measure to the wisdom, vigilance and discretion of our supervising

inspectors whose constant attention to the work upon the tracks has resulted in a higher standard of efficiency and to a greater devotion of the men to the work in hand.

Their detection of any deviation from the established lines and their prompt correction of all errors have not only resulted in more exact justice to shippers, but have almost entirely done away with complaints of unevenness of grading.

The inculcation of a spirit of self-reliance in our inspectors and a stimulation of their professional pride by a judicious system of promotions and a rigid discipline in all matters involving neglect of duty or disobedience of orders have also tended largely to the improvement of the service.

Each man has been made to realize that his retention in his position or his promotion to a higher one depends entirely upon his own qualifications and his fidelity to duty, and not in any degree upon the personal or political influence he may be able to command.

The character of the service required of an inspector and the very delicate and responsible nature of his duties require that he should be absolutely free from apprehension as to the tenure of his office, and that he should, as far as possible, be divested of every interest or association that would divert his mind from the constant study and application necessary to substantial success in his profession.

DEPARTMENT EXPENSES.

That the necessity for larger expenses should keep pace with the increase of business during the past two years is but natural; and to the members of your honorable board who have been familiar with the necessities of the department as they arose, it will be a matter of congratulation that our expenses have been confined to so narrow a limit.

The letting of contracts for a year's supply of our printing, stationery, blank books and certificates to the lowest bidder has effected a saving of several hundred dollars in our annual expense, and has served to offset in some degree the expansion necessary to meet the demands of the trade.

A distinguishing feature of Chicago business methods is dispatch, and it is a matter of frequent remark that in no other grain market of the country are returns from sales as promptly remitted as here.

The rule among our commission men is that sales shall be made and proceeds remitted the day the grain arrives.

In order to effect this very desirable object it is essential that the bulk of the work on the tracks shall be done so early in the day that notices of the inspection and samples of the grain may be delivered on "Change in time for that day's session, and that the work of the office shall be practically over by the time for closing the afternoon mails.

This necessitates a complete telephone service and a much larger force of men upon the tracks and in the office than would be required to do the work if it could be distributed throughout the day.

A source of further increase of expenditure consequent upon present business methods was laid before your honorable board in my report for 1889, and need not be recounted here.

I allude to the large proportion of grain transferred at junction points from western to eastern cars and carried on "through bills of lading" from initial points to the seaboard.

This grain, which does not pass through Chicago elevators, is almost all sold on Chicago certificates; and our inspectors, in order to reach it, are obliged to visit every day from ten to twenty of these junction points at long distances from our regular inspection stations and from each other. This special inspection requires the services of three and often four track inspectors.

As an indication of the extent to which this method of transportation is carried it may be of interest to note that during last year but 46 per cent. of the grain passing through the hands of the department went to the elevators.

The work of the office has been very largely increased also in the matter of certificates. But a few years ago certificates were called for on but a small per centage of the cars inspected, and it was no unusual thing to place thirty to fifty cars upon one certificate.

Now separate certificates, and, in a majority of cases, duplicates are required upon most of the cars inspected.

Yet, notwithstanding the circumstances above noted, our entire expense including every expenditure of every kind for inspection, registration and appeals amounts to but forty-one one-hundredths of a mill per bushel of grain inspected—a point reached but once in the last ten years.

REDUCTION OF FEES.

When, a year ago, your honorable board reduced the fees for inspection on arrival from thirty-five to thirty cents per car, I took occasion to say, "In regard to the reduction of fees for inspection on arrival from thirty-five cents to thirty cents, wisely made by your honorable board and which goes into effect at the date of this report, I can only repeat in substance what I said in my letter recommending the same, that while owing to the unusually large receipts during the past two years, the surplus fund of the department is larger than necessary to pay the natural deficit in its expenses during the winter, and may, therefore, properly be returned to the country shippers in the form of a reduction of fees, yet thirty cents per car is inadequate to the maintenance of the department upon a basis of thorough efficiency; and I am convinced that within a year, (or a year and a half at the farthest) it will be found necessary to restore the old rate."

While I see no reason in the general situation to change the views then expressed, still the receipts of grain have been so large that our surplus has slightly increased (notwithstanding the reduction in rates); and as it is now larger than is necessary for the ordinary exigencies of the department, I respectfully recommend that the shippers be given the benefit of the unnecessary surplus by a reduction of the rate, on and after December 1, to twenty-five cents per car.

SIZES OF CARS.

In all comparisons of the earlier with the later reports of the department it is necessary to take into careful account the average loading of the cars which has increased since 1877 within a fraction of 75 per cent.

In 1877 the average contents of each car was 416 bushels; in 1878, 451; in 1879, 460; in 1880, 491; in 1881, 520; in 1882, 559; in 1883, 572; in 1884, 601; in 1885, 608; in 1886, 641; in 1887, 673; in 1888, 685; in 1889, 684; in 1890, 727.

From the above figures it will be seen that, at our present loading, the rate of twenty-five cents per car herein recommended, would be equivalent to a rate of but fourteen and one-half cents per car if the average loading of 1877 prevailed.

IN CONCLUSION.

I am glad to be able to say that during the year the members of our force have, as a rule, discharged their duties conscientiously and faithfully.

I wish also to acknowledge the hearty and cordial cooperation with which your honorable board has invariably met every recommendation for the improvement of the service, the maintenance of discipline or the preservation of the rights of the trade and the public.

CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES.

A question of great importance to the trade and one about which great diversity of opinion exists is that of the liability of the department for errors of inspection.

The unanimous opinion of the trade is that the injured party should look to the department for prompt settlement as it looked to the Board of Trade when that body had control of the inspection, and that reimbursement of the department funds should be a matter of adjustment between the Railroad and Warehouse Commission and the inspector.

In the earlier years of the department—in fact, during more than half of its existence, successive boards of Railroad and Warehouse Commissioners took this view of the matter. Claims were promptly paid as soon as substantiated and the amounts assessed against the inspector who made the error when the liability could be clearly fixed, and treated as an expense of the department when it could not.

Later boards, however, have held that there was no sufficient warrant in the law for such use of the department funds, and that the only recourse of the injured party lay in a suit upon the inspector's bond.

Without discussing the relative correctness of these conflicting opinions I beg leave to suggest that your honorable board make some recommendation to the General Assembly soon to convene, looking to a clearer provision of the law on this subject.

As a rule the amounts involved in any one of these claims is small,—so small that the costs of a suit upon the bonds of an inspector would be practically prohibitory, while the time and trouble involved in its collection would be so great that the injured party would prefer the loss to the remedy.

Then, again, in a large proportion of these claims while the loss and the error are clearly proven it is impossible to fix the error upon a particular inspector, or, having done so, to prove his negligence.

Inasmuch as the department collects the fees for inspection, the trade looks to it for a prompt adjustment of its losses, and rightfully so.

It expects us to make good any grade we affix to its grain, and, without this, public confidence in our certificates must be weakened.

My suggestion is that your honorable board recommend an amendment to the law by which just claims may be promptly paid from the funds of the department, leaving it to the Commission to assess the amounts, or such portion of them as may be deemed best for purposes of discipline, against the inspectors.

Very respectfully submitted,

P. BIRD PRICE,

Chief Inspector.

EXHIBIT A-1.

Inspection on Arrival—By Months.

MONTHS.	WINTER WHEAT.													TOTAL CARS.
	White.			Turkish.			Long Red.			Red Winter.				
	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	4	Not grad'd.	
November, 1889.....	11	5								71	832	337	36	1,292
December, 1889.....	12	6			1					73	403	176	31	702
January, 1890.....	2	10	2		2					50	370	135	1	572
February, 1890.....	12	5			2					34	310	97	6	466
March, 1890.....	23	18			3					28	475	180	7	734
April, 1890.....	2	5	3		1					42	343	123	10	529
May, 1890.....	15	17	7		3					228	386	117	18	791
June, 1890.....	6	19	7		1			1		107	209	49	7	406
July, 1890.....	2	12	7	3	44	140		1	1	493	661	204	35	2,003
August, 1890.....	6	26	11		1,415	651		2		645	820	308	87	3,971
September, 1890.....	7	11	8		777	175		1		507	426	134	67	2,113
October, 1890.....	8	23	13		406	144				304	313	96	38	1,345
Total cars.....	48	181	92	3	3,055	1,110	2	4	2,582	5,548	1,956	343	14,924
Total estimated bushels.....														8,999,172

EXHIBIT A-2.

Inspection on Arrival—By Months.

MONTHS.	SPRING WHEAT.									MIXED WHEAT.		TOTAL CARS.
	Colorado.		Hard.	2	3	4	Not gra'd	White.		2	3	
	2	3						2	2			
November, 1889 ..			1	2,718	785	328	28	3	181	2	5	4,051
December, 1889.....			2	1,556	557	237	10	107	3	2,472
January, 1890				470	327	264	6	90	1	1,158
February, 1890		4		162	87	138	13	50	2	456
March, 1890				19	163	169	14	35	28	428
April, 1890				217	228	134	12	27	4	622
May, 1890				706	312	123	16	1	19	3	4	1,184
June, 1890				447	154	81	10	1	14	5	712
July, 1890				153	103	65	14	7	8	350
August, 1890.....			1	113	288	118	23	18	1	8	570
September, 1890.....		1		361	757	120	12	8	28	5	9	1,301
October, 1890	13	3		507	798	104	29	3	39	3	6	1,505
Total cars	14	7	4	7,429	4,559	1,881	187	16	615	14	83	14,809
Total estimated bushels.....												8,929,827

EXHIBIT A—3.

Inspection on Arrival—By Months.

MONTHS.	CORN.								TOTAL CARS.	
	Yellow.			White.		2	3	4		Not Graded.
	1	2	3	2	3					
November, 1889	1,023	871	191	79	2,327	2,708	814	122	8,135	
December, 1889	306	2,446	57	200	1,092	5,896	1,585	139	11,721	
January, 1890	88	1,640	29	200	997	3,887	1,673	144	8,658	
February, 1890	146	1,423	41	243	887	3,323	1,736	193	7,992	
March, 1890	1	843	2,000	182	325	5,338	6,920	1,178	54	
April, 1890		598	1,499	176	433	2,663	4,673	812	40	
May, 1890	1	2,578	2,240	653	751	5,584	2,732	1,028	28	
June, 1890		2,180	1,578	676	460	5,643	3,293	1,317	115	
July, 1890		2,141	1,238	643	393	5,827	2,621	1,175	176	
August, 1890		2,479	1,086	427	287	4,761	2,130	1,068	19	
September, 1890		2,477	1,288	466	413	5,774	3,139	980	21	
October, 1890		2,298	1,292	422	403	3,874	2,642	840	64	
Total cars.....	2	17,157	18,601	3,963	4,187	44,267	43,964	14,206	1,115	
Total estimated bushels.....									91,278,978	

EXHIBIT A—4.

Inspection on Arrival—By Months.

MONTHS.	OATS.						TOTAL CARS.	RYE.				TOTAL CARS.
	White.			2	3	Not Graded.		1	2	3	Not Graded.	
	1	2	3									
November, 1889.....	1,075	1,824	561	292	18	3,770	5	354	135	13	507	
December, 1889.....	1,156	2,008	817	322	8	4,311	5	401	150	12	558	
January, 1890.....	1,187	1,861	831	217	6	4,132	348	118	2	468	
February, 1890.....	1,302	2,115	800	229	7	4,453	281	65	1	347	
March, 1890.....	1,100	1,766	774	225	6	3,961	167	47	2	216	
April, 1890.....	1,815	2,638	1,050	337	14	5,854	1	189	83	3	276	
May, 1890.....	1	4,143	4,708	2,214	519	11,628	329	197	3	529	
June, 1890.....	2,179	2,420	870	323	24	5,816	237	137	21	395	
July, 1890.....	1,893	1,990	664	336	39	4,922	190	63	3	256	
August, 1890.....	3,932	2,894	1,185	463	24	8,498	1	472	94	4	571	
September, 1890.....	3,274	2,486	1,059	426	56	7,301	381	70	5	456	
October, 1890.....	1	2,853	2,856	897	463	7,151	328	67	14	409	
Total cars.....	2 25,999	29,566	11,722	4,182	326	71,797	12	3,677	1,226	73	4,988	
Total estimated bushels.....						72,945,752	3,012,752					

EXHIBIT A-5.

Inspection on Arrival—By Months.

MONTHS.	BARLEY.										TOTAL CARS.	GRAND TOTAL. Cars of all kinds of Grain.
	Bay Brew- ing.		Cheva- lier.		2	3	4	5	Not Graded.			
	2	3	2	3								
November, 1889					4	1,265	610	70	19	1,968	19,723	
December, 1889					1	781	531	68	6	1,387	21,151	
January, 1890						1,000	459	51	5	1,515	16,503	
February, 1890						939	400	39	17	1,395	15,109	
March, 1890						613	326	20	16	975	23,155	
April, 1890						644	295	28	20	987	19,162	
May, 1890						478	370	35	15	898	30,625	
June, 1890					2	182	198	35	5	422	23,013	
July, 1890					3	26	32	13	1	75	21,320	
August, 1890					12	962	225	11	7	1,214	27,081	
September, 1890	1		1		31	3,308	678	36	49	4,104	29,833	
October, 1890	1	2			30	3,001	839	66	97	4,036	26,281	
Total cars	2	2	1	83	13,199	4,960	472	257	18,976	272,956	
Total estimated bushels											13,378,080	198,544,561

EXHIBIT B-1.

Inspection on Arrival—By Railroads.

RAILROADS.	WINTER WHEAT.													TOTAL CARS.
	White.			Turkish.			Long Red.			Red Winter.				
	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	4	Not Grad'd	
C., B. & Q.	1	15	6	1	152	104	1			317	631	139	16	1,383
C., R. I. & P.	3	5	11	1	304	162		2		179	313	165	17	1,162
C. & A.	5	14	8		209	91				402	467	197	41	1,434
Ill. Cent.	2	5	3		132	62				128	463	114	24	933
Galena Div. C. & N. W.					2	2				13	25	4		46
Wis. Div. C. & N. W.	23	29	25					1		89	416	30	1	614
Wabash		2	9		195	67				95	417	216	60	1,061
C. & E. I.		1	1						1	24	68	47	14	156
C. M. & St. P.	7	67	19		39	12				219	478	178	7	1,026
Wis. Cent.		2									3			5
C., St. P. & K. C.		6	4		286	42		1		61	45	54	11	510
A., T. & S. Fe.	1	5	5	1	1,542	421				268	862	324	83	3,512
Through and special.	6	30	1		194	147				787	1,360	488	69	3,082
Total cars	48	181	92	3	3,055	1,110		2	4	2,582	5,548	1,956	343	14,924

EXHIBIT B—2.

Inspection on Arrival—By Railroads.

RAILROADS.	SPRING WHEAT.										MIXED WHEAT.		TOTAL CARS.
	Colo- rado Wheat.		Hard	2	3	4	Not Graded	White.		2	3		
	2	3						2	3				
C., B. & Q.....	2	2	312	1,039	839	76	3	389	6	36	2,704	
C., R. I. & P.....	296	215	151	12	1	58	5	738	
C. & A.....	1	8	5	14	
Ill. Cent.....	100	162	16	11	5	294	
Galena Div. C. & N. W.....	169	922	323	18	3	42	4	1,481	
Wis. Div. C. & N. W.....	278	169	41	1	2	10	501	
Wabash.....	3	4	2	10	9	
C. & E. I.....	2	2	
C., M. & St. P.....	1	1	2,761	993	227	34	2	16	5	30	4,100	
Wis. Cent.....	8	2	4	46	7	1	70	
C., St. P. & K. C.....	1	4	1	237	340	109	3	4	28	727	
A., T. & S. Fe.....	2	3	15	26	10	16	1	1	74	
Through and special.....	1	3,266	653	130	20	1	21	2	1	1,095	
Total cars.....	14	7	4	7,429	4,559	1,881	187	16	615	14	83	14,809	

EXHIBIT B—3.

Inspection on Arrival—By Railroads.

RAILROADS.	CORN.										TOTAL CARS.
	Yellow.			White.			2	3	4	Not Graded	
	1	2	3	2	3						
C., B. & Q.	1	3,821	4,506	829	666	9,004	12,017	1,861	52	32,757	
C., R. I. & P.	1	2,213	1,713	361	230	10,309	7,935	986	96	23,844	
C. & A.	1	859	929	475	281	2,585	1,460	848	42	7,479	
Ill. Cent.	1	2,953	1,941	672	618	2,588	1,679	1,876	257	12,584	
Gal. Div. C. & N. W.	1	1,618	1,408	59	143	3,209	3,160	668	21	10,286	
Wis. Div. C. & N. W.	1	8	2	6	2	1	1	1	1	21	
Waba-h.	1	806	756	219	455	535	778	1,305	123	4,977	
C. & E. I.	1	14	24	18	25	9	41	126	57	314	
C., M. & St. P.	1	1,271	732	112	88	4,152	2,587	482	46	9,470	
Wis. Cent.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
C., St. P. & K. C.	1	703	474	151	108	4,014	2,691	286	31	8,458	
A., T. & S. Fe.	1	1,058	1,082	449	293	5,843	4,117	645	47	13,534	
Through and special.	1	1,833	5,034	612	1,278	2,018	7,497	5,122	343	23,737	
Total cars.	2	17,157	18,601	3,963	4,187	44,267	43,964	14,206	1,115	147,462	

EXHIBIT B—4.

Inspection on Arrival—By Railroads.

RAILROADS.	OATS.						TOTAL CARS.	RYE.				TOTAL CARS.
	White.			2	3	Not Graded		1	2	3	Not Graded	
	1	2	3									
C. B. & Q.....		4,542	2,700	1,610	417	37	9,326	880	342	20	1,242
C. R. I. & P.....	1	3,446	5,491	548	486	71	10,043	1	396	221	14	632
C. & A.....		372	691	496	75	19	1,653	98	30	2	130
Ill. Cent.....		1,655	2,002	1,555	402	18	5,632	293	71	364
Gal. Div. C. & N. W.....	1	2,975	3,934	1,051	473	48	8,482	2	453	116	1	572
Wis. Div. C. & N. W.....		1,517	744	186	60	10	2,517	231	22	2	255
Wabash.....		140	429	193	124	8	894	56	30	3	89
C. & E. L.....		4	60	35	46	6	151	10	8	20
C. M. & St. P.....		6,484	4,598	1,365	517	47	13,011	9	642	132	5	788
Wis. Cent.....			81	1	10	92	5	2	1	8
C. St. & K. C.....		814	1,314	566	258	5	2,957	99	69	168
A., T. & S. Fe.....		719	765	750	186	14	2,434	64	30	1	95
Through and special.....		3,331	6,737	3,366	1,128	43	14,605	150	153	22	625
Total cars.....		25,999	29,566	11,722	4,182	326	71,797	12	3,677	1,226	73	4,988

EXHIBIT B—5.

Inspection on Arrival—By Railroads.

RAILROADS.	BARLEY.										Total cars.	Grand total cars of all kinds of grain
	Bay Brew- ing.		Chev- alier.		2	3	4	5	Not graded			
	2	3	2	3								
C. B. & Q.					17	653	302	43	6	1,021	48,433	
C. R. I. & P.					24	1,213	637	108	31	2,013	38,432	
C. & A.					1	5	5			11	10,721	
Ill. Central.						837	1,111	69	8	2,025	21,832	
Gal. Div. C. & N. W.					9	3,559	906	46	17	4,537	25,404	
Mo. Div. C. & N. W.					3	2,628	382	48	110	3,171	7,079	
Wabash.						2	7			9	7,039	
C. & E. I.										2	645	
C. M. & St. P.					3	3,617	1,008	73	73	4,779	33,174	
Wis. Central.	2	2	1			113	29	2		149	325	
C. St. P. & K. C.					1	310	177	3		491	13,311	
A., T. & S. Fe.						6	5			11	19,660	
Through and special.					25	256	391	73	13	757	46,901	
Total cars.	2	2	1		83	13,199	4,900	472	257	18,976	272,956	

EXHIBIT C.

Inspection on Arrival—By Canal and Lake—Bushels.

MONTHS.	WINTER WHEAT.						SPRING WHEAT.		
	Turk- ish.	Red Winter.				Total bush- els.	2	3	Total bush- els.
	3	2	3	4	Not graded				
November, 1889	4,296	5,000	9,296
December, 1889	7,964	7,400	15,364	61,966
March, 1890	17,526
April, 1890	53,102	400	53,502	97,869
May, 1890	18,800	18,800	104,994	24,873	129,867
June, 1890	16,250	9,079	25,329
July, 1890	58,100	58,100
August, 1890	8,000	8,000
September, 1890	7,500	7,471	14,971
October, 1890	3,641	3,300	6,941
Total bushels ..	15,500	12,260	90,414	5,400	3,300	126,874	298,605	92,052	390,657

*Exhibit C—Continued.**Inspection on Arrival—By Canal and Lake—Bushels.*

MONTHS.	CORN.								
	Yellow.		White.		2	3	4	Not graded	Total bush- els.
	2	3	2	3					
November, 1889					81,150	16,500			100,650
December, 1889						4,500			4,500
March, 1890						75,411			75,411
April, 1890		3,500			149,891	203,954	19,900		377,245
May, 1890			5,700			227,800	900		234,400
June, 1890	31,511	23,035	4,200		354,717	52,000	1,800		467,263
July, 1890		42,402			371,143	128,528	27,264		569,337
August, 1890	44,777	8,100			348,509	169,553	76,029	6,705	653,673
September, 1890	80,536	68,500			498,762	187,700			835,498
October, 1890	10,100	8,500		6,100	262,400	102,565		5,000	394,665
Total bushels.	166,924	154,037	9,900	6,100	2,069,572	1,168,511	125,893	11,705	3,712,642

*Exhibit C—Continued.**Inspection on Arrival—By Canal and Lake—Bushels.*

MONTHS.	OATS.					RYE.			Grand total bush- els.
	White.		2	3	Total bush- els.	2	3	Total bush- els.	
	2	2							
November, 1889		35,500	17,000	2,000	54,500	5,585	5,585	170,031
December, 1889									81,830
March, 1890	18,970	5,347	70,222		94,539				187,476
April, 1890		66,000	1,600	8,600	76,200	13,300		13,300	618,116
May, 1890	395,427	130,500	119,393		645,320	19,550	125	19,675	1,048,062
June, 1890	316,432	74,450	16,500		407,382	22,490		22,490	922,464
July, 1890	175,519	12,700	12,800		201,019	11,259		11,259	839,715
August, 1890	71,500	18,600		1,700	91,800				753,473
September, 1890	23,130	30,200	21,500		74,830	68		68	925,367
October, 1890		14,000			14,000				415,606
Total bushels	1,000,978	387,247	259,015	12,300	1,659,590	66,667	5,710	72,377	5,962,140
Total number of boats									610

EXHIBIT D.

Inspection from Store.

	Winter wheat.	Spring wheat.	Corn.	Oats.	Rye.	Barley.	Total.
	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.
November, 1889..	585,821	95,583	3,843,186	2,030,714	247,754	337,123	7,140,181
December, 1889..	128,802	221,976	650,160	329,276	123,233	120,326	1,573,773
January, 1890....	157,391	313,978	231,438	37,297	71,782	160,792	1,322,678
February, 1890..	161,385	482,496	323,229	162,056	14,244	113,126	1,256,536
March, 1890.....	396,540	299,033	1,541,851	189,596	83,726	98,100	2,608,756
April, 1890.....	253,670	431,864	8,595,147	1,451,870	245,850	127,863	11,106,264
May, 1890.....	143,448	131,822	6,467,687	3,736,412	277,580	117,985	10,874,934
June, 1890.....	270,353	153,731	7,233,948	3,810,929	303,305	82,304	11,854,570
July, 1890.....	421,397	817,931	8,286,837	1,210,394	114,454	1,720	10,852,733
August, 1890.....	787,529	321,521	6,262,793	986,034	26,223	4,459	8,388,559
September, 1890..	236,441	587,889	7,394,220	1,405,709	67,904	82,281	9,774,444
October, 1890....	565,691	232,647	6,455,038	1,139,646	90,198	507,760	8,990,980
Total.....	4,108,468	4,090,471	57,285,534	16,839,843	1,666,253	1,753,839	85,744,408

EXHIBIT E.
Financial Statement.

	Inspection Fees Earned.	Commissions paid Rail- roads and Elevators.	Total Cash Received.	Disburse- ments for Expenses.	Bank Balance.
November, 1889...	\$9,540 70	\$736 66	\$14,023 01	\$10,831 04	\$59,728 89
December, 1889...	7,108 88	442 52	9,489 48	10,168 82	59,049 46
January, 1890.....	5,607 62	257 73	7,088 32	9,920 78	56,217 00
February, 1890.....	5,129 53	187 69	5,231 04	10,228 73	51,219 31
March, 1890.....	8,105 95	176 64	4,977 31	9,662 20	46,534 42
April, 1890.....	11,482 52	373 10	8,441 13	9,483 70	45,491 85
May, 1890.....	14,964 56	517 02	10,973 14	9,402 51	47,062 48
June, 1890.....	13,144 00	640 85	13,810 71	9,641 25	51,231 94
July, 1890.....	12,225 22	628 92	12,950 94	10,100 91	54,081 97
August, 1890.....	12,531 08	561 14	11,680 85	9,940 83	55,821 99
September, 1890...	14,189 14	556 80	11,823 87	9,887 63	57,758 23
October, 1890.....	12,622 69	641 72	13,432 51	9,897 92	61,292 82
Totals	\$126,651 89	\$5,720 79	\$123,922 31	\$119,166 32

P. Bird Price, Chief Inspector,

IN ACCOUNT WITH ILLINOIS STATE GRAIN INSPECTION DEPARTMENT.

November 1, 1889..	To balance on hand as per last report.....	\$56,536 83	
October 31, 1890....	To cash received during the year as shown above.	123,922 31	
October 31, 1890....	By expense Inspection Department.....		\$99,868 36
October 31, 1890....	By expense Registration Office.....		14,566 31
October 31, 1890....	By expense Committee of Appeals.....		4,731 65
	By balance on hand.....		61,292 82
	Total.....	\$180,459 14	\$180,459 14

EXHIBIT F.

INSPECTION ON ARRIVAL.—Comparative Statement of Inspection from 1880 to 1890, inclusive.

Year.	Cars. Number.	Boats. Number.	Winter wheat. Bushels.	Spring wheat. Bushels.	Corn. Bushels.	Oats. Bushels.	Rye. Bushels.	Barley. Bushels.	Total. Bushels.
1880.....	970,524	1,022	5,887,500	17,312,968	91,185,379	18,873,400	1,645,545	3,991,576	138,896,368
1881.....	927,119	950	1,682,311	18,398,187	76,017,132	22,612,368	1,221,843	4,177,762	124,109,603
1882.....	171,218	607	11,157,238	9,508,301	45,775,863	25,060,350	1,688,397	5,893,804	99,083,953
1883.....	235,213	477	6,953,091	13,010,095	72,258,580	33,392,181	4,980,000	6,824,316	137,418,846
1884.....	210,829	351	7,163,624	16,782,273	54,600,598	39,503,860	3,752,189	6,755,837	128,648,862
1885.....	212,270	460	2,354,848	24,024,672	56,709,685	38,851,040	1,798,951	8,462,764	131,779,960
1886.....	201,103	450	5,506,084	10,644,844	68,477,686	42,534,082	1,104,396	10,292,360	131,529,452
1887.....	189,139	503	5,639,573	17,667,973	56,700,475	45,974,724	1,852,324	9,462,000	130,297,069
1888.....	211,818	341	7,265,135	10,191,034	66,801,548	52,617,957	2,357,792	8,521,344	147,344,840
1889.....	245,883	392	13,693,185	4,651,590	84,775,590	58,768,512	2,570,110	9,296,163	173,670,447
1890.....	272,956	610	3,126,046	9,326,484	94,391,620	74,605,312	3,083,129	13,378,680	294,506,701

EXHIBIT G.

INSPECTION FROM STORE—Comparative Statement of Out-Inspection from 1881 to 1890, inclusive.

Year.	Winter wheat. Bushels.	Spring wheat. Bushels.	Corn. Bushels.	Oats. Bushels.	Rye. Bushels.	Barley. Bushels.	Total. Bushels.	Combined totals of in and out- inspection. Bushels.
1881	1,719,720	13,675,941	60,285,410	9,421,724	705,241	776,858	86,584,894	210,694,497
1882	9,429,565	7,434,783	38,157,208	5,626,482	1,091,137	1,236,391	62,975,366	158,668,139
1883	5,291,303	5,854,521	52,391,148	6,415,597	3,190,923	744,086	73,797,578	211,216,444
1884	4,441,469	12,906,124	39,667,782	6,621,698	2,837,022	1,266,691	58,830,778	187,479,140
1885	1,501,665	7,715,639	31,661,591	3,665,637	738,209	296,790	45,578,922	177,358,882
1886	2,648,956	10,500,918	41,645,620	4,763,724	635,174	1,652,913	61,249,305	192,778,757
1887	6,019,271	17,642,628	39,843,323	10,153,376	394,918	1,044,871	75,698,411	295,395,480
1888	3,060,541	6,365,790	46,754,284	14,818,254	516,942	1,157,523	72,673,334	217,890,263
1889	9,136,010	3,637,232	66,517,282	20,668,531	1,778,321	1,399,573	103,156,949	276,827,396
1890	4,108,468	4,000,471	57,285,534	16,839,843	1,646,253	1,753,839	85,744,408	290,251,109

RULES

GOVERNING THE INSPECTION OF GRAIN IN THE CITY
OF CHICAGO, STATE OF ILLINOIS.

IN FORCE DECEMBER 1, 1890.

RULE 1.—WINTER WHEAT.

No. 1 White Winter Wheat—shall be pure White Winter Wheat, or Red and White mixed: sound, plump, and well cleaned.

No. 2 White Winter Wheat—shall be White Winter Wheat, or Red and White mixed: sound and reasonably clean.

No. 3 White Winter Wheat—shall include White Winter Wheat, or Red and White mixed, not clean and plump enough for No. 2, but weighing not less than fifty-four pounds to the measured bushel.

No. 4 White Winter Wheat—shall include White Winter Wheat, damp, musty, or from any cause so badly damaged as to render it unfit for No. 3.

No. 1 Long Red Winter Wheat—shall be pure Red Winter Wheat of the long-berried varieties: sound, plump, and well cleaned.

No. 2 Long Red Winter Wheat—shall be of the same varieties as No. 1, sound and reasonably clean.

Turkish Red Winter Wheat—The grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Turkish Red Winter Wheat shall correspond with the grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Red Winter Wheat, except that they shall be of the Turkish variety.

In case of mixture of Turkish Red Winter Wheat with Red Winter Wheat, it shall be graded according to the quality thereof, and classed as Turkish Wheat.

No. 1 Red Winter Wheat—shall be pure Red Winter Wheat of both light and dark colors, of the shorter-berried varieties: sound, plump, and well cleaned.

No. 2 Red Winter Wheat—shall be Red Winter Wheat of both light and dark colors: sound and reasonably clean.

No. 3 Red Winter Wheat—shall include Red Winter Wheat not clean and plump enough for No. 2, but weighing not less than fifty-four pounds to the measured bushel.

No. 4 Red Winter Wheat—shall include Red Winter Wheat, damp, musty, or from any cause so badly damaged as to render it unfit for No. 3.

In case of the mixture of Red and White Winter Wheat, it shall be graded according to the quality thereof, and classed as White Winter Wheat.

No. 1 Colorado Wheat—shall be sound, plump and well cleaned.

No. 2 Colorado Wheat—shall be sound, reasonably clean, and of good milling quality.

No. 3 Colorado Wheat—shall include Colorado Wheat, not clean and plump enough for No. 2, but weighing not less than fifty-four pounds to the measured bushel.

RULE 2.—SPRING WHEAT.

No. 1 Hard Spring Wheat—shall be sound, plump, and well cleaned.

No. 2 Hard Spring Wheat—shall be sound, reasonably clean, and of good milling quality.

No. 1 Spring Wheat—shall be sound, plump, and well cleaned.

No. 2 Spring Wheat—shall be sound, reasonably clean, and of good milling quality.

No. 3 Spring Wheat—shall include all inferior, shrunken or dirty Spring Wheat, weighing not less than fifty-three pounds to the measured bushel.

No. 4 Spring Wheat—shall include Spring Wheat damp, musty, grown, badly bleached, or for any cause which renders it unfit for No. 3.

White Spring Wheat—The grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 White Spring Wheat shall correspond with the grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Spring Wheat, except that they shall be of the White variety, or shall contain 5 per cent., or more, of such White Wheat.

Black Sea and Flinty Puff Wheat—shall in no case be inspected higher than No. 2, and Rice Wheat no higher than No. 4.

RULE 2½.—MIXED WHEAT.

The grades of Nos. 2 and 3 Mixed Wheat shall be equal in quality to the grades of Nos. 2 and 3 Red Winter Wheat, except that they shall include mixtures of Spring and Winter Wheat.

RULE 3.—CORN.

No. 1 Yellow Corn—shall be yellow, sound, dry, plump and well cleaned.

No. 2 Yellow Corn—shall be three-fourths yellow, dry, reasonably clean, but not plump enough for No. 1.

No. 3 Yellow Corn—shall be three-fourths yellow, reasonably dry and reasonably clean, but not sufficiently sound for No. 2.

No. 1 White Corn—shall be sound, dry, plump, and well cleaned.

No. 2 White Corn—shall be seven-eighths white, dry, reasonably clean, but not plump enough for No. 1.

No. 3 White Corn—shall be seven-eighths white, reasonably dry and reasonably clean, but not sufficiently sound for No. 2.

No. 1 Corn—shall be Mixed Corn, of choice quality, sound, dry, and well cleaned.

No. 2 Corn—shall be Mixed Corn, dry, reasonably clean, but not good enough for No. 1.

No. 3 Corn—shall be Mixed Corn, reasonably dry and reasonably clean, but not sufficiently sound for No. 2.

No. 4 Corn—shall include all Corn not wet or in heating condition that is unfit to grade No. 3.

RULE 4.—OATS.

No. 1 White Oats—shall be white, sound, clean, and reasonably free from other grain.

No. 2 White Oats—shall be seven-eighths white, sweet, reasonably clean, and reasonably free from other grain.

No. 3 White Oats—shall be seven-eighths white, but not sufficiently sound and clean for No. 2.

No. 1 Oats—shall be Mixed Oats, sound, clean, and reasonably free from other grain.

No. 2 Oats—shall be sweet, reasonably clean, and reasonably free from other grain.

No. 3 Oats—shall be all Oats that are damp, unsound, dirty, or from any other cause unfit for No. 2.

RULE 5.—RYE.

No. 1 Rye—shall be sound, plump and well cleaned.

No. 2 Rye—shall be sound, reasonably clean, and reasonably free from other grain.

No. 3 Rye—All Rye damp, musty, dirty, or from any cause unfit for No. 2, shall be graded as No. 3.

RULE 6.—BARLEY.

No. 1 Barley—shall be plump, bright, clean, and free from other grain.

No. 2 Barley—shall be sound, of healthy color, not plump enough for No. 1, reasonably clean and reasonably free from other grain.

No. 3 Barley—shall include slightly shrunken and otherwise slightly damaged Barley, not good enough for No. 2.

No. 4 Barley—shall include all Barley fit for malting purposes, not good enough for No. 3.

No. 5 Barley—shall include all Barley which is badly damaged, or from any cause unfit for malting purposes, except that Barley which has been chemically treated shall not be graded at all.

Scotch Barley—The grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Scotch Barley shall correspond in all respects with the grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Barley, except that they shall be of the Scotch variety.

Bay Brewing Barley—The grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Bay Brewing Barley shall conform in all respects to the grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Barley, except that they shall be of the Bay Brewing variety grown in the territories and on the Pacific Coast.

Chevalier Barley—The grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3, Chevalier Barley shall conform in all respects to the grades of Nos. 1, 2 and 3 Barley, except that they shall be of the Chevalier variety grown in the territories and on the Pacific Coast.

RULE 7.

The word "new" shall be inserted in each certificate of inspection of a newly harvested crop of Oats until the 15th of August; of Rye until the 1st day of September; of Wheat until the 1st day of November, and of Barley until the 1st day of May of each year. This change shall be construed as establishing a new grade for the time specified, to conform in every particular to the existing grades of grain, excepting the distinctions of "new" and "old."

RULE 8.

All grain that is warm, or that is in a heating condition, or is otherwise unfit for warehousing, shall not be graded.

RULE 9.

All inspectors shall make their reasons for grading grain, when necessary fully known by notations on their books. The weight alone shall not determine the grade.

RULE 10.

Each inspector is required to ascertain the weight per measured bushel of each lot of wheat inspected by him, and note the same on his book.

RULE I.—HOURS OF SERVICE.

Assistant Inspectors and Helpers will be at their posts and ready for business at the railroad tracks, or at the elevators to which they are assigned, from 7 o'clock A. M. until 6 o'clock P. M. of each day, from the 15th day of March to the 15th day of November, and from 8 o'clock A. M. until 5 o'clock P. M. during the remainder of the year.

RULE II.—EARLIER HOURS.

When the receipts are large and the interests of the trade require an earlier inspection, all Assistant Inspectors and Helpers assigned to duty on the track will begin work at as early an hour as practicable.

RULE III.—EVENING WORK.

Inspectors stationed at elevators will, when necessary to complete the cargo or shipment upon which they may be engaged, remain on duty as late in the evening as they can see to inspect grain safely.

RULE IV.—WET WEATHER AND DARKNESS.

No Inspector stationed at an elevator is authorized to inspect out of store after dark or in wet weather, except on receipt, personally, or through the office of the Chief Inspector, of an order written upon the printed blanks, furnished by the Department, filled and signed by the owner of the grain, or his authorized agent, relieving such inspector of all responsibility for damage which may be caused by such wet weather, or loss by such errors as are liable to occur by reason of darkness; but in every case the Inspector must be personally present when the grain is actually delivered on board, making his report of the inspection after such actual delivery.

The Chief Inspector of Grain is hereby authorized to collect on and after November 1, 1889, on all grain inspected under his direction as follows:

For in-inspection, 25 cents per car-load; 10 cents per wagon or cart load; 40 cents per 1,000 bushels from canal boats; $\frac{1}{4}$ of 1 cent per bushel from bags.

For out-inspection, 50 cents per 1,000 bushels to vessels; 35 cents per car-load to cars; 35 cents per car-load to teams; or 10 cents per wagon load to teams.

P. BIRD PRICE, *Chief Inspector.*

EXTRACT FROM THE RULES

PRESCRIBED BY THE BOARD OF RAILROAD AND WAREHOUSE COMMISSIONERS
FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF GRAIN INSPEC-
TION AND WAREHOUSE REGISTRATION IN THE CITY OF CHICAGO,
AND IN FORCE FROM AND AFTER DEC. 3, 1887.

No claim for damages on account of error in the inspection of any lot of grain (except grain inspected from public warehouses in accordance with law) will be entertained or allowed by the Board of Railroad and Warehouse Commissioners, unless complaint of such inspection shall be made to the Chief Inspector before the grain in question shall be removed from the car in which it is inspected, or before it shall leave the jurisdiction of the Department.

Grain transferred from the car in which it was inspected to another, must be inspected after transfer, to entitle the owner to have any claim arising thereunder considered by the Board of Railroad and Warehouse Commissioners.

REPORT OF WAREHOUSE REGISTRAR.

OFFICE OF WAREHOUSE REGISTRAR,
CHICAGO, ILL., November 1, 1890.

Hon. John R. Wheeler, Chairman Railroad and Warehouse Commission, Springfield, Illinois:

DEAR SIR: I have the honor to submit the following report of the operations of this department during the year ending the 31st day of October, 1890, and to invite your attention to the information furnished by the tabular statements herewith presented for the consideration of your honorable board.

Exhibit "D" shows a comparative statement of the amount of grain received into store by the public warehouses of Chicago, from 1882 to 1890, both inclusive, and while it does not show a gain over the remarkably prosperous year of 1889, it establishes the fact of the continued popularity of our system of inspection and warehousing of grain, by showing an excess of receipts into store over the average, for the past eight years, of 16,898,472 bushels, and an excess of shipment out of store over average covering same period of 16,374,971 bushels.

As it frequently occurs that the managers of warehouses find themselves short on certain kinds and grades of grain, necessitating the purchase of a sufficient amount, which, with stocks in store, will satisfy outstanding receipts, and in other cases finding themselves in possession of an accumulation in excess of such an amount as to satisfy the receipts for certain grades, necessitating application to your honorable board through this office for permission to issue and have registered warehouse receipts for such overage, I desire to renew the recommendations in my reports for the years 1886-87-88-89 on the subject of an annual weighing over of all grain in store in public warehouses of class "A" as often as once during each year, at such times as when stocks in store are so low as to not seriously inconvenience the business of such warehouses.

On the 21st day of March last, your honorable board, through Secretary Paddock, instructed me to notify the proprietors of public warehouses, that in your opinion they should file separate bonds in the sum of \$10,000 for each warehouse operated by them, and that if they had not heretofore complied with this provision of the law they were requested to do so at once.

Following your instructions, on the 26th day of March I completed the notification to all proprietors controlling more than one elevator, and was immediately informed that the request was in the line of a greater security to the public and would be most cheerfully complied with.

I am pleased to say copies of the new bonds, certified by Henry Best, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook county, have been filed in this office by all firms affected by your order, in conformity with law.

CHANGE OF FIRMS.

The Munger Wheeler Elevator Co. changed to "City of Chicago Grain Elevators, Limited," under the management of P. B. Weare. The elevators controlled by this firm are Union, City, Fulton, Air Line, Galena, Iowa and St. Paul, with a total storage capacity of 5,590,000 bushels.

The Rock Island A. & B. Elevators, heretofore managed by Flint, Odell & Co., are now controlled, the "A" house by Chas. Counselman & Co., and the "B" house by C. B. Congdon & Co.

The National and St. Louis Elevators, operated by D. L. Seymour & Co., changed to National Elevator and Dock Co.

STORAGE CAPACITY.

Total storage capacity of Chicago elevators October 1, 1889, was 28,970,000 bushels. During the year covered by this report that amount has been decreased 630,000 bushels by the withdrawal of the Northwestern and Sibley "B" Elevators, which are now operated as cleaning houses, and not licensed as public warehouses.

The total storage capacity at this time of the twenty-five public warehouses being 28,340,000 bushels.

RECEIPTS.

Total amount of all kinds of grain received into store during the year, in warehouses of class "A," as presented in Exhibit "A"-7, was 83,521,433 bushels, from 125,502 cars, 439 canal boats, and 6 vessels.

The receipts for 1,372 cars, six canal boats and one vessel included in above totals were not presented for registration.

A comparison of receipts into store during the year with the preceding year, 1889, shows a decrease of 23,032 cars and twenty-six canal boats, aggregating a total decrease of 15,114,429 bushels.

SHIPMENTS.

Total amount of all kinds of grain shipped out of store during the year was 85,895,930 bushels. A decrease of 15,810,300 from the total of shipments during the year 1889.

INSPECTION.

Total number of cars graded by the Inspection Department during the year was 272,956, an increase of 23,073 cars over number inspected during the year 1889; 125,502 being the total number received into store, the remaining 147,454 cars was either sold on track or shipped to seaboard without transfer to elevators.

CAR AVERAGES.

The following will show the average number of bushels of each kind of grain received from cars during the year:

Wheat.....	603	bushels
Corn.....	619	"
Oats.....	1,016	"
Rye.....	604	"
Barley.....	647	"

APPEALS.

Your Committee of Appeals to which was referred 967 cases during the year sustained the inspection of the department in 451 cases, and raised the grade from that of the original inspection in 516 cases.

A decrease from total number of appeals taken during the year 1889, of 864 cases. This showing is proof of the continued and increased efficiency of the inspectors.

AMENDED RULES.

Upon my recommendation, your honorable board on the 17th day of January last, adopted the following, which has been in force since that date:

Amend rules for the government of the Committee of Appeals established by the Board of Railroad and Warehouse Commissioners, and in force from and after November 1, 1889, by inserting therein the following after Rule III.:

RULE IV.

1. When an appeal has been taken upon any car of grain, and the Committee of Appeals has been unable, after proper search, to find said car upon the day such appeal is taken, it shall be the duty of said committee to make diligent search for such car in the proper yards on the first business day thereafter, and if not then found, the appellant may withdraw the appeal and the deposit made thereon, but not before.

2. When it shall occur that the appellant gives a wrong number or other erroneous information to the committee in making an appeal, and the committee acting upon such information shall make search for cars so appealed upon, then the fees so deposited shall be forfeited exactly as if an adverse decision has been reached.

Change Rules IV and V to Rules V and VI, respectively.

GRAIN IN STORE.

The total amount of each kind of grain in store at this time is:

Wheat.....	4,847,364	bushels
Corn.....	1,568,191	"
Oats.....	778,165	"
Rye.....	318,726	"
Barley.....	598,521	"

Our relations with the managers of warehouses and patrons of this office continue to be pleasant and satisfactory. I ask for the employes who are competent and faithful, a continuance of your official favor.

Respectfully submitted,

J. W. BURST, *Warehouse Registrar.*

EXHIBIT A—1.

Receipts into Store—Winter Wheat by Rail.

Warehouses.	2 White.	3 White.	4 White.	2 Long Red.	1 Turk- ish Red.	2 Turk- ish Red.	3 Turk- ish Red.	1 Red.	2 Red.	3 Red.	4 Red.	N. G.	Total.
Central B.	542					67,466	23,974		79,639	62,212	2,984	1,170	237,987
C. B. and Q. C. and D.						70,818	28,659		124,949	44,215	5,215		273,856
City Union and St. Paul		3,669				46,314	5,935	412	58,265	48,254	12,080		174,929
Iowa	1,542	425		380		44,166	5,701		41,018	8,120	2,592	675	104,679
Indiana		404	1,060			27,071	24,983		190,604	317,298	81,709	1,643	644,772
Alton		16,298							272,334	216,501	71,345		576,478
Armour		1,478				285,910	61,632		185,796	18,551	6,457	377	570,140
Santa Fe		1,166				430,516	137,784		161,085	238,365	28,553		1,007,080
Rock Island A.		569				68,213	7,968	727	215,540	27,459	6,873	9,139	936,488
Rock Island B.						2,108			10,024	2,969			15,101
National and St. Louis	1,019	2,795				145,510	41,594		126,020	187,706	13,918		518,826
St. Louis				124					5,501	23,872	38,824		72,348
Illinois River	3,716	15,190	5,140				7,932		4,823	142,300	36,276	8,747	260,329
Pacific B.						41,196			27,589	2,154	1,489		31,232
Totals	8,297	40,915	6,200	504		671	346,222	1,139	1,503,817	1,339,985	308,315	19,898	4,824,246

See Exhibit A—8.

Winter Wheat by Canal.

Warehouses.	2 Turk- ish Red.	3 Turk- ish Red.	3 Red.	4 Red.	N. G.	Total.
City	2,870	2,870
Indiana	3,940	17,213	2,087	23,240
Alton	6,705	6,705
St. Louis	4,348	2,776	37,665	44,789
Neely.	3,300	3,300
Totals.....	4,348	6,716	61,583	4,957	3,300	80,904

Shipments—Winter Wheat.

Warehouses.	2 White.	3 White.	4 White.	2 Long Red.	1 Turk- ish Red.	2 Turk- ish Red.	3 Turk- ish Red.	1 Red.	2 Red.	3 Red.	4 Red.	N. G.	Total.
Central B.....	736						53,500	21,522	49,409	46,658	4,932	1,170	107,251
C. B. & Q., C. and D.....							43,540	27,151	83,234	74,736	8,341		237,617
Union, St. Paul and City.....	1,542	3,669						1,527	27,139	55,960	16,533		101,831
Galena and Iowa.....		425					6,943	5,761		8,525	2,592	675	26,463
Indiana.....		404	6,923				42,597	24,490	91,274	433,417	111,423	2,337	712,865
Alton.....		16,298							91,760	219,813	69,246		397,117
Santa Fe.....		1,126			671		381,708	134,655	53,637	372,237	28,738		975,172
Armour.....	1,478						148,399	53,769	55,678	70,631	6,457	377	336,789
Rock Island A.....	533	569				727	70,711	7,968	34,639	41,504	15,649	11,622	183,352
Rock Island B.....							2,108		12,669	22,969			17,746
National and St. Louis.....		2,459					120,749	32,159	90,755	220,723	7,848		474,693
Pacific B.....							382		2,864	32,062	4,420		39,728
Neely.....		3,425							52,690	37,251	39,161	8,550	141,531
Illinois River.....	3,716	15,645	3,813	124				7,336	4,823	185,535	25,738	1,692	248,298
Totals.....	8,025	41,029	10,736	124	671	873,527	315,738	727	649,371	1,822,110	341,084	26,423	4,093,156

EXHIBIT A—2.

Receipts into Store—Spring Wheat by Rail.

Warehouses.	2 Hard.....	2.....	3.....	4.....	N. G.....	3 White.....	3 Mixed.....	2 White.....	2 Mixed.....	Total.....
Central B.....		33,782	6,159	152						40,093
C., B. & Q., C and D.....		92,690	17,637	61,178	1,383	52,158	1,320			256,366
City and St. Paul.....		361,766	54,084	9,135		5,524	5,422			435,931
Air Line, Galena and Iowa.....		433,434	23,849	4,368	575	1,549				463,775
Indiana.....		63,980	3,667	6,333	2,002	1,045	1,594			78,661
Alton.....		1,165,116	105,823	24,990	4,277	8,059				1,311,265
Armour.....		1,312,919	65,886	15,493	568	16,855	473	1,737		1,443,931
Santa Fe.....	399	324,362	34,997						548	360,306
Rock Island A.....	922	175,839	12,808	1,689		2,088		567		193,913
Rock Island B.....		4,321	394	509		1,082				6,306
Nation'l & St. Louis.....		77,395				1,172				78,567
Neely.....		33,117	12,314	8,155	548	707	1,011		722	56,574
Pacific B.....		594,009	15,301							609,310
Illinois River.....		38,821	110,234	45,144	3,714	15,568	5,793	1,345		220,619
Totals.....	1,321	4,741,551	493,093	177,246	16,067	105,807	15,613	3,619	1,270	5,555,617

See Exhibit A—8.

Spring Wheat by Canal.

Warehouses.	2	3	Total.
Union.....	41,350		41,350
Alton.....	40,929	33,920	74,849
Armour.....	20,729		20,729
St. Louis.....	9,732		9,732
Totals.....	112,740	33,920	146,660

Shipments—Spring Wheat.

Warehouses.	2 Hard.....	2.....	3.....	4.....	N. G.....	2 White.....	3 White.....	3 Mixed.....	Total.
Central B.....		16,919	6,159	1,661					24,739
C., B. & Q., B. C. D.....		96,049	56,941	67,668	1,383		69,288	602	291,931
Union St. Paul & City.....		243,591	56,994	14,455	446		5,524	5,422	326,432
Galena & Iowa.....	532	124,405	21,787	6,200	575		1,549		155,048
Indiana.....			3,358	11,693	4,014		3,284	1,594	23,943
Alton.....	609	946,706	122,064	27,745			8,059		1,105,183
Santa Fe.....	399	308,752	34,997						344,148
Armour.....	465	1,193,653	71,150	24,904	568	508	53,352	473	1,345,103
Rock Island A.....	422	43,145	19,017	5,470		567	2,737		71,358
Rock Island B.....		4,321	394	509			1,082		6,306
National and St. Louis.....		25,612					1,172		26,784
Pacific.....		64,498	67,163	661			490		132,812
Neely.....		15,718	11,440	5,037	548		267	1,011	34,021
Illinois River.....	621	46,281	129,090	36,653	3,211	679	16,350	5,810	238,725
Totals.....	3,078	3,129,650	600,554	202,656	10,745	1,754	163,154	14,942	4,126,533

EXHIBIT A.—3.

Receipts Into Store—Corn by Rail.

Warehouses.	1 Yellow.	2 Yellow.	3 Yellow.	2 White.	3 White.	2	3	4	N. G.	Total.
Central B.....		1,854,790	1,048,882	370,870	196,298	1,851,545	851,122	165,142	673	6,342,322
C. B. & Q., A. B. C. D. & Annex.....		1,780,815	1,236,739	354,090	131,548	4,577,185	3,828,300	116,950	12,025,627
City & Union & St. Paul.....		564,121	203,721	112,343	55,136	3,012,060	1,361,899	46,362	1,799	5,357,444
Iowa & Galena.....		877,819	338,571	32,708	27,414	1,971,325	880,523	12,109	4,140,529
Indiana.....		743,851	678,675	253,233	159,059	1,570,935	914,883	247,481	4,574,117
Alton.....		21,508	6,801	10,155	12,755	244,858	6,852	362,929
Armour.....		934,787	248,576	132,350	33,468	2,841,878	1,065,126	23,022	5,279,267
Santa Fe.....		448,384	347,852	101,794	54,757	2,428,990	1,386,677	7,285	4,770,739
Rock Island—A.....		960,029	413,436	97,785	45,448	4,343,043	2,287,756	21,436	8,168,933
Rock Island—B.....	500	214,469	72,223	64,390	17,355	1,488,978	443,102	2,301,017
National & St. Louis.....		242,319	228,478	98,141	46,152	1,494,597	481,380	7,063	1,598,130
Pacific B.....		24,213	39,540	1,944	117,173	81,616	293,586
Neely.....		12,457	541	1,419	337,071	46,169	38,185	2,981	438,823
Illinois River.....				1,429	1,429
Totals.....	500	8,674,562	4,864,038	1,637,751	779,390	25,282,638	13,635,405	685,045	5,453	55,564,832

See Exhibit A—8.

Corn—By Canal.

Warehouses..	2 Yellow...	3 Yellow..	2 White...	2	3	4	N. G.....	Total.
City and Union	27,574	22,563	443,976	160,065	2,070	656,218
Indiana.....	19,855	11,196	5,235	185,306	235,568	13,963	471,123
Armour.....	7,013	3,942	10,955
St. Louis	9,864	48,690	4,183	193,862	131,702	344	866	389,511
Neely.....	5,000	5,000
Totals	57,293	89,462	453,394	539,233	371,212	14,307	7,936	1,532,837

SHIPMENTS—*Corn.*

Warehouses.	¹ Yellow.	² Yellow.	³ Yellow.	² White.	³ White.	2	3	4	N. G.	Total.
Central A and B.....	1,901,194	1,038,400	396,730	191,337	1,921,544	816,180	164,579	6,433,646
C., B. & Q., A, B, C, D.....	1,749,898	1,222,162	372,643	127,519	4,013,325	3,812,950	117,494	12,072,601
Union, St. Paul, City.....	589,020	256,287	110,389	50,872	3,111,515	1,518,702	43,280	3,953,762
Galena & Iowa.....	864,308	355,183	30,358	23,652	1,842,129	875,402	3,938	3,869	3,974,350
Indiana & Wabash.....	761,369	678,067	251,077	154,892	1,731,211	1,117,472	257,891	4,955,039
Alfon.....	23,525	6,801	10,155	12,718	288,255	3,881	966	348,308
Santa Fe.....	453,276	342,145	96,487	53,992	2,513,354	1,372,342	6,797	4,838,593
Armour.....	941,985	256,688	125,173	41,727	3,151,745	1,080,933	23,022	5,621,573
Rock Island A.....	931,560	414,943	95,455	43,129	4,237,312	2,254,560	21,454	7,998,803
Rock Island B.....	500	72,223	66,425	18,131	1,576,378	443,162	1,028	2,400,069
National and St. Louis.....	253,091	272,854	101,750	46,150	690,445	601,590	7,407	866	1,984,153
Pacific.....	17,104	37,451	111,561	82,806	249,433
Neely.....	55,288	355,651	45,533	7,981	500,700
Illinois River.....	2,099	608	604	3,311
Totals.....	500	8,834,280	4,903,213	1,659,482	707,127	26,453,915	14,027,513	684,142	13,389	57,393,561

EXHIBIT A-4.

RECEIPTS INTO STORE—*Oats by Rail.*

Warehouses.	² White.	³ White.	2	3	N. G.	Total.
Central B.....	401,565	355,313	1,002,006	9,028	2,166	1,770,078
C., B. & Q., C and D.....	525,423	261,168	516,489	10,057		1,313,137
City, Union and St. Paul.....	525,545	251,033	201,624	4,502	1,364	984,068
Iowa and Galena.....	907,646	673,963	439,081	4,378		2,025,068
Indiana.....	43,384	95,254	279,113	8,893		426,644
Alton.....	39,659	178,137	31,581	4,169	770	254,316
Armour.....	1,414,014	1,036,008	361,218	13,405	888	2,855,533
Santa Fe.....	118,299	34,971	145,008	2,050		330,328
Rock Island A.....	1,137,305	1,655,861	173,493	5,121		2,971,780
Rock Island B.....	7,503	19,515				27,018
National and St. Louis.....	70,416	164,129	143,835	981		379,361
Pacific B.....	166,557	7,869	185,525			359,951
Neely.....	37,185	32,518	275,058	2,969	4,924	352,655
Totals.....	5,454,502	4,765,739	3,754,031	65,553	10,112	11,049,967

Oats by Canal.

Warehouses.	² White.	³ White.	2	3	N. G.	Total.
City and Union.....	61,964	112,064	102,813	11,626		288,467
Indiana.....		27,621				27,621
Alton.....	14,990	31,228	7,231			56,509
Armour.....		44,285			3,018	47,303
St. Louis.....	79,811	92,739	112,421	1,672		286,643
Neely.....	7,573					7,573
Totals.....	164,338	310,937	222,555	13,298	3,018	714,146

SHIPMENTS—*Oats.*

WAREHOUSES.	2 White.	3 White.	2	3	N. G.	Total.
Central B.....	433,080	391,719	1,038,413	13,872	2,166	1,879,250
C., B. & Q., C. & D.....	541,151	412,772	599,435	21,273		1,577,634
Union, St. Paul & City.....	613,129	297,646	252,090	21,067	495	1,287,427
Galena & Iowa.....	909,753	290,888	491,738	4,378		2,036,757
Indiana & Wabash.....	208,598	306,415	304,166	51,486		870,635
Alton.....	82,043	215,773	369,009	21,654	770	688,651
Santa Fe.....	293,375	109,485	148,505	2,050		463,415
Armour.....	1,584,513	1,156,916	410,099	13,405	3,906	3,168,839
Rock Island A.....	1,117,405	1,657,273	147,299	5,121		2,927,098
Rock Island B.....	7,503	20,187				27,690
National & St. Louis.....	145,614	223,083	212,794	2,653		614,144
Pacific.....	450,969	13,591	326,575	13,541		804,679
Neely.....	71,628	35,499	196,703	7,365	633	311,828
Sibly.....	114,394	8,892	87,958			211,244
Totals.....	6,483,128	5,670,141	4,526,561	183,268	7,970	16,871,068

EXHIBIT A—5.

RECEIPTS INTO STORE—*Rye by Rail.*

WAREHOUSES.	1	2	3	N. G.	Total.
Central B.....		89,929	4,497		94,426
C., B. & Q. D.....		227,528	38,662		266,190
City & Union & St. Paul.....		108,262	4,657		112,919
Iowa.....		102,516			102,516
Indiana.....		28,122	15,299		43,421
Alton.....		12,018	29,282		191,300
Armour.....	532	167,534	1,130		169,196
Santa Fe.....		38,183			38,183
Rock Island A.....		104,856	9,112	239	114,207
Rock Island B.....		12,989	6,673		19,662
National & St. Louis.....		21,485	965		22,450
Pacific B.....		154,628	8,954		163,582
Neely.....		72,814	101,439	3,490	177,743
Totals.....	532	1,290,864	220,670	3,729	1,515,795

Rye by Canal.

WAREHOUSES.	2
Union.....	12,368
Armour.....	2,095
St. Louis.....	5,035
Total.....	19,498

SHIPMENTS—*Rye.*

WAREHOUSES.	1	2	3	N. G.	Total.
Central B.....		84,178	4,705		88,883
C., B. & Q. C. and D.....		224,827	47,648		272,475
Union, St. Paul, N.-W. and City.....		138,039	4,657		142,696
Iowa.....		72,249	2,276		74,525
Indiana.....		41,500	22,291		63,791
Alton.....		165,423	20,886		186,309
Santa Fe.....		65,140			65,140
Armour.....	532	348,885	8,158		357,043
Rock Island A.....		93,908	10,455	239	104,602
Rock Island B.....		14,678	6,673		21,351
National & St. Louis.....		19,073	965		20,038
Pacific B.....		117,214	33,267		150,481
Neely.....		20,686	119,534	1,500	141,720
Totals.....	532	1,405,798	281,515	1,739	1,689,584

EXHIBIT A—6.

RECEIPTS INTO STORE—*Barley by Rail.*

WAREHOUSES.	2	3	4	5	N. G.	Total.
Central B.....		109,617	48,192	11,992		169,801
C. B. & Q., D.....	3,769	98,230	13,206	2,584		117,789
City, Union & St. Paul.....		658,747	126,395	7,129	2,918	795,189
Iowa & Galena.....	3,216	618,784	102,255	15,506		739,761
Indiana.....				295		295
Armour.....	1,098	68,135	35,993			105,226
Santa Fe.....						
Rock Island A.....	10,648	35,033	912			46,593
Rock Island B.....	2,238	2,562	1,946	1,391		8,047
Pacific B.....	25,328	1,436				26,764
Neely.....					1,541	1,541
Totals.....	46,297	1,592,544	328,899	38,807	4,459	2,011,006

SHIPMENTS—*Barley.*

WAREHOUSES.	2	3	4	5	N. G.	3 Bay Brew- ing.	Total.
Central B.....		67,606	48,736	14,049			130,391
C. B. & Q., D.....	2,460	26,118	8,471	2,584			39,633
St. Paul and City.....	1,216	539,122	117,219	7,937	3,010	600	669,110
Galena and Iowa.....	16,603	561,169	128,252	16,722			722,746
Indiana.....				295			295
Pacific B.....		1,436					22,843
Santa Fe.....	21,407	1,458	2,518				3,976
Neely.....					1,541		1,541
Armour.....	4,236	70,369	32,403				107,008
Rock Island B.....	2,238	7,628	8,423	1,906			20,195
Rock Island A.....	1,435	1,943	912				4,290
Totals.....	49,595	1,276,849	346,934	43,493	4,557	600	1,722,028

EXHIBIT A—7.

GRAND TOTALS.

Warehouses.	Receipts by rail.	Shipments.
Central B.....	8,654,707	8,754,160
C. B. & Q., A. C. D. & Annex.....	14,252,965	14,491,291
City, Union & St. Paul.....	7,860,480	8,484,261
Air Line, Galena & Iowa.....	7,576,328	6,989,889
Indiana.....	5,767,910	6,626,588
Alton.....	2,636,288	2,725,568
Armour.....	10,423,234	10,936,885
Santa Fe.....	6,506,636	6,690,214
Rock Island A.....	11,831,914	11,289,503
Rock Island B.....	2,377,151	2,555,134
National & St. Louis.....	2,597,334	3,119,812
Pacific B.....	1,454,425	1,399,976
Neely.....	1,099,684	1,131,041
Illinois River.....	482,377	490,334
Sibley.....		211,244
	83,521,433	85,895,930

Exhibit A—7.—Continued.
RECEIPTS—By Canal.

Warehouses.	Bushels.
City & Union.....	1,001,333
Indiana.....	521,984
Alton.....	138,063
St. Louis.....	735,710
Neely.....	15,873
Armour.....	81,082
Total	2,494,045

EXHIBIT A—8.

Showing the amount of Grain transferred from one Warehouse to another.

From.	To.	2 Red winter wheat.	2 Spring wheat.	2 Corn.	2 Rye.	3 Rye.
Alton.....	National.....	3,964				
Neely.....	Indiana.....	8,296				
Santa Fe.....	Illinois River.....		14,923			
Santa Fe.....	Alton.....		105,812			
Santa Fe.....	National.....		20,961			
Alton.....	St. Louis.....		4,985			
Alton.....	National.....		40,947			
Alton.....	Neely.....		4,871			
Wabash.....	Indiana.....			4,990		
Chi. Burlington & Quincy A.	Neely.....			42,343		
St. Paul.....				67,067		
City.....				14,449		
Rock Island A.....				15,539		
Chi. Burlington & Quincy D.					10,498	
Armour.....					15,249	
Pacific B.....					5,000	
Iowa.....						2,998
Chi. Burlington & Quincy D.						5,087
Rock Island A.....						6,773
Totals		12,260	192,499	144,388	30,747	14,858

NOTE—The amount of grain, as shown in this exhibit, is included in the receipts and shipments.

EXHIBIT A—9.

Showing the Number of Cars of each kind of Grain Received into the several Public Warehouses.

Warehouses.	Winter wheat.	Spring wheat.	Corn.	Oats.	Rye.	Barley.	Total.
Central B.....	419	81	9,717	1,798	178	254	12,447
C. B. & Q., A. B. C. D.....	463	432	18,570	1,212	440	142	21,259
City, Union & St. Paul.....	305	775	8,635	887	178	1,102	11,882
Air Line, Galena & Iowa.....	193	765	7,119	2,085	182	1,088	11,435
Indiana.....	1,074	132	7,963	415	72	1	9,627
Alton.....	805	1,631	428	295	256		3,325
Armour.....	1,024	2,569	8,429	2,937	299	153	15,411
Santa Fe.....	1,800	543	7,913	322	59		10,637
Rock Island A.....	515	290	13,317	2,829	200	69	17,229
Rock Island B.....	22	11	3,861	27	34	11	3,966
National & St. Louis.....	864	18	2,672	371	45		3,970
Pacific.....	56	983	448	373	270	29	2,159
Neely.....	130	89	484	360	219	2	1,284
Illinois River.....	483	395	2				880
Totals.....	8,156	8,714	89,528	13,821	2,432	2,851	125,502

EXHIBIT B.

Showing the Number of Cars, Canal Boats and Vessels from which Grain was received into the several Public Warehouses of Chicago during the year ending October 31, 1890, and the number of said Cars, Canal Boats and Vessels, Warehouse Receipts for the contents of which have not been Registered.

Names of Firms.	No. of warehouses.	Names of Warehouse.	NUMBER RECEIVED.			NUMBER NOT REGISTERED.		
			Cars	Canal boats..	Vessels	Cars	Canal boats..	Vessels
Central Elevator Co.	1	Central A, B and Annex	12,147			212		
Dole & Co.	1	C., B. & Q., A, B, C, D and Annex.	21,259			64		
The City of Chicago Grain Elevators (limited)	7	City, Fulton, Union, St. Paul, Air Line, Galena and Iowa		174	2		3	
Chas. Counselman & Co.	1	Rock Island A.	23,317			392		
Congdon & Co.	1	Rock Island B.	17,220			135		
Chicago Elevator Co.	1	Wabash and Indiana	3,966			13		
National Elevator & Dock Co.	1	National & St. Louis	9,627	99		218	3	
Chicago & Pacific Elevator Co.	1	Pacific B.	3,970	136		16		
Armour Elevator Co.	1	Armour	2,159	12	1	55		
Santa Fe Elevator & Dock Co.	1	Santa Fe	15,411			109		
George A. Seaverns	1	Alton and Annex	16,637		3	85		1
Illinois River Elevator Co.	1	Illinois River	3,325	16		13		
Illinois Trust & Savings Bank	1	Neely	830	2		6		
			1,284			20		
Totals	27		125,502	439	6	1,372	6	1

EXHIBIT C.

Showing the Number and Disposition of Appeals from the Decision of the Grain Inspection Department to the Committee of Appeals, during the year ending October 31, 1890.

Date.	INSPECTION SUSTAINED.						INSPECTION CHANGED.					
	Wheat.	Corn.	Oats.	Rye.	Barley.	Total.	Wheat.	Corn.	Oats.	Rye.	Barley.	Total.
November, 1889.....	45	31	6	1	54	42	15	12	3	72
December, 1889.....	44	28	75	38	45	1	84
January, 1890.....	26	28	54	12	50	1	63
February, 1890.....	1	18	19	4	14	1	19
March, 1890.....	8	85	93	9	75	13	97
April, 1890.....	4	16	3	23	6	30	10	46
May, 1890.....	27	2	4	33	26	8	7	36
June, 1890.....	9	6	1	16	12	12	2	3	29
July, 1890.....	17	1	1	19	15	3	1	19
August, 1890.....	22	2	2	26	19	3	2	1	25
September, 1890.....	11	1	1	14	1	14	12	1	2	15
October, 1890.....	19	1	1	4	25	9	2	11
Totals	233	190	18	2	8	451	204	250	51	6	5	516

NOTE.—Included in above are 1 canal boat of wheat and 3 canal boats of corn changed and 1 canal boat of wheat sustained.

EXHIBIT D.

A Comparative Statement of the Amount of Grain Annually Received into Store by the Public Warehouses of Chicago, from 1882 to 1890, both inclusive, and of the Number of Cars, Canal Boats and Vessels from which such Grain was received; also, the number of Bushels shipped from the Public Warehouses during said time.

	1882.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1886.	1887.	1888.	1889.	1890.
Bushels received.....	57,687,008	78,724,751	57,550,974	51,175,511	62,022,522	68,543,823	78,505,602	98,625,862	86,015,478
Bushels shipped.....	64,331,863	73,307,290	59,432,864	46,178,593	61,747,078	75,754,811	73,708,917	101,700,920	85,805,920
Number of cars.....	105,440	143,946	103,233	90,404	103,597	108,402	119,644	148,534	125,502
Number of canal boats.....	580	464	357	167	506	522	319	465	439
Number of vessels.....	18	10	1	1	1	6

A Statement Comparing the Number of Cars Annually Inspected on Track, from 1882 to 1890, both inclusive, with the Number Received in Store during the same Years.

	1882.	1883.	1884.	1885.	1886.	1887.	1888.	1889.	1890.
Inspected on track.....	171,218	235,243	210,822	212,270	201,163	189,130	211,818	249,883	272,956
Received into store.....	105,140	143,946	103,253	90,404	103,597	108,402	119,644	148,534	125,502
Inspected, but not stored.....	65,778	91,267	107,589	121,866	97,146	80,728	92,174	101,249	147,454

EXHIBIT F.

Storage Capacity of Chicago Elevators of Class A, at the date of this Report.

Names of Elevators.	Proprietors.	Grain received from—	Capacity—bushels.
Central A.....	Central Elevator Co.....	Illinois Central Railroad.....	1,000,000
Central B and annex.....	1,500,000
C., B. & O., A.....	Dole & Co.....	Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad.....	1,200,000
C., B. & O., B.....	750,000
C., B. & O., C.....	1,500,000
C., B. & O., D., and annex.....	2,500,000
Union.....	The City of Chi. Grain El. (Ltd.).....	Chicago, & Northwestern.....	700,000
City.....	900,000
Fulton.....	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	350,000
Air Line.....	650,000
Galena.....	Chicago, & Northwestern.....	670,000
Iowa.....	1,500,000
St. Paul.....	850,000
Wabash.....	The Chicago Elevator Co.....	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,500,000
Indiana.....	Wabash, St. Louis & Pacific.....	1,500,000
Rock Island A.....	Chas. Counselman & Co.....	1,400,000
Rock Island B.....	Congdon & Co.....	Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	1,400,000
National.....	National Elevator and Dock Co.....	1,000,000
St. Louis.....	Chicago, Alton & St. Louis.....	850,000
Pacific B.....	Chicago & Pacific Elevator Co.....	800,000
Armour.....	Armour Elevator Co.....	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,000,000
Santa Fe.....	Santa Fe Elevator and Dock Co.....	2,500,000
Alton and annex.....	George A. Seaverns.....	Chicago, Alton & St. Louis.....	1,500,000
Illinois River.....	Illinois River Elevator Co.....	Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	150,000
Neely.....	Illinois Trust and Savings Bank.....	600,000
Total capacity.....	28,340,000

EXHIBIT F.

Showing the Amounts of the Different Kinds of Grain and the Total Amount in Store in the Public Warehouses of Chicago, at the close of Each Week during the Year ending October 31, 1890.

Date.	Wheat.	Corn.	Oats.	Rye.	Barley.	Total.
1889.						
November 2.....	2,599,887	1,569,620	2,704,000	461,602	334,313	7,669,422
November 9.....	2,841,280	957,676	2,624,555	392,442	315,641	7,131,594
November 16.....	2,989,412	862,222	2,005,866	383,710	231,617	6,472,827
November 23.....	3,468,217	826,311	1,785,197	365,503	215,907	6,661,135
November 30.....	4,055,141	1,000,862	1,641,043	398,813	224,997	7,335,856
December 7.....	4,421,109	746,127	1,691,471	435,785	245,626	7,540,118
December 14.....	4,753,696	782,837	1,754,111	442,806	292,219	8,025,169
December 21.....	4,954,747	958,748	1,776,503	473,460	333,617	8,497,075
December 28.....	5,038,573	1,355,121	1,724,972	458,119	322,835	8,899,626
1890.						
January 4.....	5,104,104	1,780,639	1,708,571	487,465	320,242	9,401,021
January 11.....	5,236,033	2,165,592	1,754,292	520,344	310,554	9,986,815
January 18.....	5,175,828	2,246,048	1,652,033	529,815	299,404	9,903,128
January 25.....	5,043,360	2,329,417	1,502,887	562,287	276,526	9,714,477
February 1.....	5,066,177	2,482,958	1,509,413	591,756	256,287	9,906,591
February 8.....	4,988,822	2,486,292	1,501,730	609,527	225,072	9,811,443
February 15.....	4,868,826	2,576,916	1,501,538	626,672	244,675	9,818,627
February 22.....	4,695,096	2,739,840	1,461,408	683,061	285,890	9,865,295
March 1.....	4,621,661	3,192,911	1,469,021	759,676	302,461	10,345,730
March 8.....	4,426,256	3,912,635	1,455,834	689,964	300,646	10,785,335
March 15.....	4,297,957	4,703,116	1,465,585	696,691	309,851	11,473,290
March 22.....	4,259,068	6,294,888	1,461,630	698,067	290,690	13,004,343
March 29.....	4,230,260	8,315,044	1,471,688	700,867	266,634	14,984,493
April 5.....	4,185,183	8,957,319	1,440,397	698,018	240,220	15,521,167
April 12.....	4,125,446	8,729,863	1,379,626	638,694	210,879	15,084,508
April 19.....	4,163,098	6,551,501	1,025,278	578,434	163,021	12,481,328
April 26.....	4,147,418	5,535,027	655,023	496,044	156,873	10,990,385
May 3.....	4,027,632	4,590,299	860,264	507,673	139,519	10,125,387
May 10.....	4,020,603	3,788,379	796,072	405,937	156,294	9,147,195
May 17.....	4,125,448	3,350,059	826,594	341,458	125,323	8,768,882
May 24.....	4,366,012	3,581,850	1,237,483	340,384	116,984	9,642,713
May 31.....	4,520,852	4,624,475	2,218,302	372,700	71,175	11,807,504
June 7.....	4,574,333	6,258,505	2,015,062	337,270	32,205	13,217,275
June 14.....	4,674,197	6,788,344	1,366,888	380,759	22,676	13,232,864
June 21.....	4,721,728	6,520,017	1,233,536	333,994	20,317	12,829,592
June 28.....	4,628,299	6,083,407	987,080	250,449	3,032	11,952,267
July 5.....	4,163,201	5,593,304	801,222	229,145	3,691	10,790,563
July 12.....	3,888,309	5,056,419	442,711	227,182	3,691	9,618,312
July 19.....	4,011,756	4,637,501	383,027	232,837	3,691	9,268,661
July 26.....	4,029,734	4,059,845	249,529	259,973	5,929	8,605,010
August 2.....	4,224,256	4,122,709	291,185	205,648	6,153	8,849,951
August 9.....	4,424,344	4,013,009	483,459	239,854	18,378	9,179,044
August 16.....	4,403,107	3,684,653	596,699	250,089	20,068	8,951,616
August 23.....	4,302,407	2,430,713	882,760	268,353	29,627	8,913,860
August 30.....	4,400,230	2,976,637	1,095,512	296,050	84,715	8,853,144
September 6.....	4,482,808	2,750,969	1,155,438	298,732	87,847	8,775,794
September 13.....	4,610,321	3,123,275	1,188,346	321,714	113,608	9,357,324
September 20.....	4,822,119	2,806,765	997,907	331,611	171,997	9,130,399
September 27.....	4,902,561	2,726,954	936,411	336,212	251,856	9,153,994
October 4.....	4,824,132	2,605,656	1,189,972	323,629	365,563	9,308,952
October 11.....	4,708,338	2,066,204	1,059,260	188,697	419,156	8,571,655
October 18.....	4,622,990	2,031,511	753,002	305,660	493,548	8,206,711
October 25.....	4,836,076	2,184,862	660,296	292,596	530,801	8,504,581
November 1.....	4,847,364	1,568,191	778,165	318,726	598,521	8,110,967

APPENDIX.

No. I.

RAILWAY SAFETY APPLIANCES.

REPORT OF CHAS. HANSEL, CONSULTING ENGINEER, PREPARED
UNDER DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION AND REFERRED
TO IN THEIR REPORT.

SPRINGFIELD, ILL., Sept. 29, 1890.

The Honorable Railroad and Warehouse Commission, Springfield, Ill.:

GENTLEMEN—I herewith respectfully transmit my report bearing upon safety appliances in railway operation in accordance with your instructions given under date of July 23, 1890, viz.:

“It is ordered that Chas. Hansel, consulting engineer, be requested to make a full and exhaustive examination of the latest railroad appliances, such as couplings, brakes, frogs and the like, having for their object the preservation of the lives and limbs of employes and the traveling public; and that he report to the Commission the result of such examination with his conclusions thereon.”

While the slow and old fashioned way of getting from point to point may be a better thing to have in the memory, the journey of to-day is barren of all save results, and the public interest now centers on questions of safety and speed.

Since the opening of the Liverpool and Manchester railroad in 1830 and the killing of the first passenger, Mr. Huskisson, contrary to the gloomy prediction of the coachman that “God in his anger against our great Stephenson would strew the land with shattered limbs,” the fatality incident to railway travel as compared with the old time coach, is greatly in favor of the railroad. To determine upon the best among the countless numbers of appliances for safety in railway travel would require the earnest thought and examination of a congress of railway experts.

The field for investigation answering to the above is so extensive that I could not hope to do justice to all the topics which naturally present themselves, in the time and space allotted to this report.

By examination of Table I. which has been compiled from statistics found in report of Inter-State Commerce Commission, and from the last report of your Commission, you will find that all accidents arising from coupling and uncoupling cars, falling from trains and engines, overhead obstructions, collisions, derailments, other train accidents, at highway crossings and at stations are enumerated, giving for the United States two thousand nine hundred and forty-nine killed, and fifteen thousand four hundred and twenty-nine injured, leaving unaccounted for the cause of killing two thousand eight hundred and seventy-four and injuring three thousand eight hundred and eighty.

Of this number two thousand two hundred and fifteen were neither passengers nor employes and were probably trespassers. The killing of five hundred and thirty-nine employes and one hundred and twenty passengers may be accounted for by defective track, rolling stock and bridge failures.

When we consider the ratio of the number of trainmen employed (138,323) to the number killed or injured (1,179 killed and 11,301 injured) the result is appalling, 1 death for every 117 trainmen and 1 injury for every 12 trainmen employed.

During the year there were carried 472,171,346 passengers in the United States, of which number 310 were killed and 2,146 injured, or one killed for 1,523,133 carried and one injured for each 220,024 carried.

When we consider the number of persons employed (704,743) as compared with the number of passengers carried, it is manifest that the work of the railroad employé is a dangerous calling and in considering safety appliances in railway operation we should look first to such devices as will tend to reduce the frightful results incident to it.

After careful examination of the various appliances and requirements for the safe operation of railways I have chosen for special report the following subjects:

1. Brakes.
2. Couplers.
3. Block signals.
4. Signals.
5. Interlocking.
6. Freight cars.
7. Maintenance of way.
8. Highway crossings.
9. Heating passenger cars.
10. Lighting passenger cars.

BRAKES.

For some years after the construction of the first railroad but little attention was given to the stopping of trains, the chief aim being the perfecting of the motive power.

The problem of how to stop was overlooked in the public interest of how to go. To-day the perfected air-brake for passenger service leaves but little to desire. The conditions incident to freight service presents many difficult points in operation, owing to the running of foreign cars with those owned by company, about 75 per cent. of the total number of freight cars hauled being foreign.

The improved equipment will naturally be put upon cars that remain at home and cars used in fast service for special freight, as stock, refrigerator cars and such, and cars operated on roads with sharp grades will be furnished with power brakes first; whereas roads using easy and uniform gradients will not change from hand to power brakes as soon.

Some of the requirements for perfect freight brakes are;

1. That all the brakes of the whole train shall be under the control of the engineer.
2. That the brakes be automatic; that is, self applied in case of accident causing separation of train.
3. That all the brakes of a train shall work uniformly in stopping.
4. That the full power of the brake action be available on the steepest grades.
5. That it be simple in construction, easily repaired and not expensive to apply or maintain.

A power brake fulfilling these requirements is now in use on the passenger equipment of the United States, and in most of the States, passenger trains are not permitted to be run without automatic train brakes.

The progress made in equipping freight cars is not so favorable, owing in great part to first cost. The first concerted action taken by railroads for the purpose of advancements toward the equipment of freight cars with automatic brakes was through the Society of Master Car Builders, representing the principal railroad companies of the United States, at their annual meeting in 1883, at which time they appointed a committee to report on "automatic freight car brakes," at the next annual meeting. The report of this

committee was meager and throw no new light on the practicability of automatic or power brakes for freight cars. This committee was discharged and a new one appointed and reported in 1885 upon (1) Buffer brakes, (2) Friction brakes, (3) Air-brakes, and (4) Electric brakes. The report favors air-brakes and the committee recommend experimental trials to prove the qualities of the several brakes in question. This recommendation was approved and the committee invited the manufacturers of automatic freight car brakes to a competitive test to be held at Burlington, Iowa, on December 14, 1885, and on May 14, 1886. That we may follow the workings of this test and gain knowledge from this most important trial which demonstrated not only the necessity, economy and life-saving results obtained by use of power brake, but threw new light upon the much vexed question of automatic couplers which is of co-equal importance, both from humane and economic view, I think it well to follow briefly the record of the tests.

Five companies entered into the contest of 1886, namely the Westinghouse Automatic Brake Co., The Eastern Vacuum Brake Co., The American Brake Co., The Widdifield and Button Brake Co., and the Rote Brake Co. These competitors may be classed as representing two types of brakes, the continuous or air-brake by the Eames and Westinghouse and the independent or buffer brakes by the other three companies.

The tests opened July 13th, and continued without interruption until August 3d, 1886. The rules governing the trial proved a severe test on the efficiency of the several styles of brakes and early in the contest, the Rote, Widdifield and Button brakes were shown to be inferior to the others and the results of all the tests was disappointing, although the Westinghouse seemed to more nearly meet the requirements. The committee of M. C. B. Society decided to give the several companies opportunity to improve upon the weak points brought out by the tests and a second trial was commenced May 9th, 1887, the brakes represented being the Westinghouse, Carpenter, Eames, and Hanscom. The tests continued to the 29th day of May, and while much valuable knowledge was given to all interested, the committee did not recommend the adoption of any form of brake and closed their report with the following conclusions, which in the light of the exhaustive tests then completed seemed proper.

“First—That the best type of brake for long freight trains is one operated by air and in which the valves are actuated by electricity.

Second—That this type of brake possesses four distinct advantages:

- (a) It stops the train in the shortest possible distance.
- (b) It abolishes shocks and their attending damage to equipment.
- (c) It releases instantaneously.
- (d) It can be graduated perfectly.”

Since this report was made I have witnessed many trials of brakes with remarkable results, and I am of the opinion that there is now a brake in the market which may be relied upon in any kind of freight service.

As the Westinghouse automatic brake is used to a greater extent than any other on the railroads of the United States—of 35,000 passenger cars in the United States, Canada and Mexico nearly all are equipped with this brake, also about 20,000 locomotives, and when orders now in shop are filled about 150,000 freight cars will have been equipped—I will include a brief description of its parts as best representing the most approved type of the most important of all safety appliances.

The diagram of parts as applied to engine and tender for either passenger or freight service is shown on plate numbered II, diagram showing application to freight service on plate III. (Note—As passenger cars must be equipped with air brakes on, special description is considered necessary in this report.)

The first appliance necessary, and upon which the whole system depends for its power is the *steam engine and pumps*, which produces the compressed air. This is placed on engineman's side of locomotive, in plain view of engineman. The compressed air is led from the air pump to the *main reservoir* and stored there at a pressure of 70 to 80 pounds per square

inch. The *engineer's brake valve*, a very ingenious piece of mechanism, is located in the cab convenient to the left hand of the engineman. This valve gives the engineman power to regulate the flow of air from main reservoir to *main brake pipe* for releasing the brakes, and to the atmosphere for applying brakes. An *auxiliary reservoir* is attached to bottom of tender, same as on passenger car, which is used to store a supply of air for the brake cylinder.

The *brake cylinder* is attached to bottom of car and connected with the foundation brake gear in such manner that when the piston is forced out by air pressure the brakes are applied.

Probably the greatest advance made in the construction of air brakes since its advent is in the construction of the *triple valve*.

The *triple valve*, which connects the brake pipe to the auxiliary reservoir and connects the latter to the brake cylinder, and is operated by a sudden variation of pressure in the brake pipe so as to admit air from the auxiliary reservoir to the brake cylinder, which applies the brakes, at the same time cutting off the communication from the brake pipe to the auxiliary reservoir, or to restore the supply from the brake pipe to the auxiliary reservoir, at the same time letting the air in the brake cylinder escape, which releases the brakes. It is the reduction of pressure in the train pipe which causes the brakes to be applied.

The couplings are attached to flexible hose and connect the brake pipe of the two cars.

The reservoir and brake cylinder are severally bolted together for freight services, making a cheaper form and avoiding the pipe connections.

The latest statistics give the number of locomotives in service in the United States to be 29,036, of which 17,995 are equipped with automatic train brake; of the 961,119 freight cars 93,475, or less than 10 per cent., are fitted with automatic train brake. At the close of the year 1887 but 50,000 freight cars were equipped with automatic train brake, showing an increase of 43,475 in two years. In following the distribution of cars thus equipped I find the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe leading with 20,000 cars. Union Pacific second with 12,902, and Southern Pacific third with 12,043. This important reform is making rapid progress and shows that the companies which are taking the lead are the greatest of the country, and their lead in such important matters of technical and financial importance will eventually be followed by minor corporations.

Why do these great corporations equip their cars with this system of brakes? Is it for the sole purpose of saving human life or lessening the possibility of injury to those whose duty requires them to be on top of cars in train?

There can be no doubt as to the crying need of such devices, from a humane point of view, and there is no question of the practicability of the air brakes, for if there were any doubt 86 prominent railroads of this country would not use it for standard. I think there is no question as to the economy of its use aside from the question of humanity. The price of a good air brake is about 45 dollars per car. With the automatic air brake broken grades may be utilized and momentum used in descending grades, and by a fluctuating schedule of speed to conform to profile, 15 to 20 per cent. in train load may be gained. The saving to rolling stock is considerable, and the frightful aggregate of accidents from collisions, breakaways, falls from moving trains, overhead obstructions and injuries from exposure may be saved.

Railroads doing business in this State report 196,477 freight cars in service, of which but 5,158 are equipped with train brake. The railway mileage of the United States, as reported by Inter-State Commerce Commission for year ending June 30, 1889, is 157,758.83. This is probably incorrect, as some lines operating wholly within a State decline to make official report to this Commission. The mileage reported officially is 149,948.66, giving Illinois 9,829.48, instead of 9,936.63, as reported officially to your Commission. Total freight cars in service reported by Inter-

State Commission is 961,119. Assigning 557 cars to each 100 miles operated within this State, we have 55,347 cars apportioned to Illinois. Official report from lines doing business in this State, gives 239,773 cars. Apportioning locomotives on basis of 19 for each 100 miles operated, gives 1,888; number reported owned by lines doing business in this State, 6,802.

Cost of equipping 1,888 locomotives with air, at \$450.00 each, \$849,600.00; cost of equipping 55,347 freight cars with air, at \$45.00 each, \$2,490,615.00. Total cost for equipping locomotives and freight cars, \$3,340,215.00, or about 8.5 per cent. of the total gross freight earnings of the lines in this State for the year ending June 30, 1889.

As much has been written and said concerning the great loss of life incident to railway employment in the United States as compared with the United Kingdom, some comparison of equipment may be pertinent.

The English freight car, called in England "goods wagon," is about 15.5 feet long, with four wheels, carries a load of eight tons and weighs five tons, being 1.6 to 1, while the American box car is about 34 feet long, carrying 50,000 to 60,000 pounds, and weighs 23,000 to 28,000 pounds, the proportion is 2.13 to 1. Only about 20 per cent. of the freight cars have brakes of any kind, and are coupled by heavy chains, which gives one foot of free slack between cars. The brakes are placed so that they cannot be worked while train is in motion. In rear of train is caboose with brake. This, with brake on engine and tender, is the only brake power that can be applied while the train is in motion. On long trains or steep grades brake vans are attached. Owing to the lowness of bridges and tunnels brakemen cannot walk over top of cars and apply brakes. This use of extra brake vans is expensive, but with its aid, and with the general uniform and low gradients of English roads, it is possible to move trains rapidly and with few accidents, and the casualties from falling from cars, overhead obstructions and coupling is brought to a minimum.

The coupling of cars with us is so closely allied with the use of brakes that the subjects might well be considered together. The conclusions concerning several appliances of which this report will make mention will be given in the addenda.

CAR COUPLERS.

There is probably no reform in the operation of railroads which is occupying the minds of railroad managers. State commissions and thinking men as the much discussed problem of a uniform, safe and reliable car coupler. The form of link and pin has been with us from the first, and is still held by many to be the best yet devised. The frightful fatality attending the business of coupling and uncoupling cars has called attention to the necessity of improvement, and the inventor's skill has for years been employed in an effort to produce a coupler that will answer the requirements under all conditions of traffic, and be sufficiently cheap to warrant changing from the old style.

During the year ending June 30, 1889, 300 employ  s were killed in coupling and uncoupling cars, and 6,759 were more or less mangled. Of this number, 21 were killed on lines in this State and 444 injured.

Not less than 10,000 applications have been made for patents on car couplers, and it is from this aggregation of ideas that we are called upon to select a type.

In this State, an act approved March 31, 1874, in force July 1, 1874, provides for automatic coupling, "or other coupling which will secure personal safety," for passenger cars only. No provision was made, nor at any time since has the subject of automatic couplers for freight cars been a subject of State legislation.

The Miller hook is used exclusively on passenger cars, and it is seldom, if ever, that one can find a passenger car which is not equipped with some form of automatic coupler. I do not understand that the coupling of pas-

senger cars was, or is, considered more dangerous than the coupling of freight cars, and whether this action of the legislature was intended for the protection of trainmen whose duty it is to couple or uncouple cars, or for the comfort of passengers. I am not advised.

The force of public opinion was brought to bear on the legislature of Massachusetts, which referred the subject of freight drawbars and couplers to the Board of Railroad Commissioners to report on same at next general court. The Board, after examination, recommended the Safford drawbar or some other form of automatic coupler. In 1884 an act of Massachusetts again ordered cars to be equipped with such forms of automatic coupler as may be recommended by the commissioners. The commissioners held meeting for examination of couplers Sept. 25, 1884, representatives of the commissions of Ohio, Iowa and Michigan being present. After making such tests as were possible, the commission adopted the Janney, Hilliard, Cowell, United States and Ames. Subsequent trial and use of these couplers shows that the Janney and Ames are best fitted for the purpose. The latest statistics showing 83,788 freight cars equipped with automatic couplers. Of this number Janney, 17,536; Hilliard, 1; Cowell, 61; United States, 425; Ames, 11,808. The Boston automatic coupler was added to their list in 1887, although it does not appear that it was used by any railroad.

In year 1888 there were 5,000 approved couplers in the State of Massachusetts, and out of a total of nine accidents in coupling and uncoupling, eight occurred in coupling old with approved style. The commission, seeing the difficulty of curing this evil through State legislation, recommended in their report to the Legislature that the Inter-State Commerce Commission be instructed to consider what can be done to prevent the loss of life, etc. The Legislature adopted this resolution and forwarded to Congress a memorial requesting federal legislation.

Connecticut ordered safety couplers on freight cars in 1882.

Michigan enacted law in 1886 authorizing use of Aikman, Ames, Blocker, Cowell, Marks, McCree and Perry, and in report of 1888 the Commissioner says, "It does not appear that the substitution of automatic for old style of coupling has as yet begun to furnish anticipated results in the decrease of coupling accidents." The Janney and Dowling couplers were added to the first list.

New York, in act, required that after July 1st, 1886, no coupler shall be used upon any new freight car unless same can be coupled or uncoupled without the necessity of going between cars, etc. In 1889 the Commission of New York also favored the putting of this matter within the jurisdiction of the Inter-State Commerce Commission.

It appears that in these States, where the Commissions are composed of intelligent men seeking for a remedy for this evil, that after trial extending over a period of years, and with the assistance of the Legislature of their respective States, they are dissatisfied with the results of their work, and appeal to Congress for legislation.

During this time the Society of Master Car Builders made this subject a matter of earnest examination, and at the 17th annual convention, held in Chicago, June, 1883, a committee was appointed to report on automatic freight car couplers at the next annual meeting. This committee submitted its report at the next annual meeting, making no selection, and concluding their report as follows: "In conclusion the committee will say that they realize the importance and magnitude of the work which has been given them, and they would therefore urge upon the members of the association the importance of thoroughly discussing the merits of car couplers."

This question was made a subject for consideration at a special meeting in 1885, at which time the following resolution was passed:

"Resolved, That it is the opinion of this convention that the independent action of State Legislatures enforcing the use of some form of automatic or safety coupler is already resulting in greater danger to employes coup-

ling cars than they were subject to before such action went into effect, and that any similar further action of Legislature will greatly increase the danger to employés."

A study of the requirements of an automatic freight coupler will present the following conditions:

1. That they be coupled and uncoupled without requiring men to go between the cars.

2. That whatever the relative heights of the couplers, they couple and uncouple equally well.

3. That free slack, as far as possible, be dispensed with to reduce damage to equipment and freight.

4. That cars be coupled easily and with a minimum of concussion to encourage careful handling of cars.

5. That they be simple and durable and at a minimum cost.

6. That the couplings at both ends of a car be alike.

7. That there be no loose parts to be lost.

8. That they couple on curves.

9. That they couple with certainty and remain so without danger of parting on road.

10. That they be such as act favorably with brakes.

11. That the coupling and uncoupling be unobstructed by inclement weather.

12. And most essential of all, that the coupling be universal, or readily coupled with all other couplers.

Is there a coupler now made which will meet these requirements? Very many people think this problem unsolved and probably unsolvable.

The M. C. B. society after throwing down the gauntlet in their resolution against State legislation, realized that they must take decided action. Railroad commissioners were invited to a trial of couplers held at Buffalo September, 1885, at which trial 42 makes of couplers were entered, 19 of which might be classed with loose link couplers, 2 as fixed link, 12 as hook couplers, coupling in vertical plane, 3 as loose coupling bar couplers, 3 hook couplers, coupling in a horizontal plane, 3 miscellaneous couplers. After testing these various designs the committee recommended two of the first class, three of the second, one of the third class, four of the fourth class and one of the fifth class for further trial.

At the 21st annual meeting of the Master Car Builders' Society, it was decided to submit the following recommendation for decision by letter ballot, that the Janney type of coupler be recommended as the standard form of coupling.

The result of this ballot showed that 474 votes were in favor of the adoption of the Janney type of coupler, and 194 against. The recommendation was therefore declared adopted.

Plan of Master Car Builders' standard automatic coupler and carrier iron is shown on Plate IV. Form of contour lines on inside of jaw is shown on Plate V.

During the year ending December 31, 1889, 40,000 cars were equipped with M. C. B. couplers, making a total in the United States of 56,050. The trunk line roads, the Chicago systems and the Richmond and Danville and Atlantic coast line in the south are the chief movers in this reform. Companies controlling 20,343 miles of railroad have made the M. C. B. type standard. It is in extensive use on 40,000 miles. Four companies alone have 25,000 cars equipped with M. C. B. couplers. When we consider that not more than 8,000 cars were equipped with M. C. B. couplers at the beginning of 1888, it is apparent that the adoption of a standard by the M. C. B. was a great incentive to its general use.

The Engineering News gives number of cars equipped with M. C. B. coupler prior to 1888 as 6,000, cars equipped during 1888 as 15,000, cars equipped during 1889, 30,000. This statement probably does not cover all cars so equipped, but is in itself sufficient evidence to indicate the great advance made in this work.

I have conferred with the general officers of many of the leading railroads, both in person and by letter, and have found few who criticised the M. C. B. type of coupler on practical grounds. The effect of the action of the M. C. B. upon the work of inventors is also very marked, in prescribing the lines of its standard the society only defined the contour of the jaw, and any style of coupler conforming to these lines which will interlock with the Janney will be accepted, the method of locking, etc., is left to be improved upon, and inventors, instead of wasting energy in devising a link or other than a vertical plane hook, are devoting their skill to the perfection of the M. C. B. type.

In order that any mechanical device intended for use upon railroads may receive the favor of railway managers, it must have more than the cause of humanity on its side. The question of expense and utility enter first, and notwithstanding public pressure has been brought upon railway officials through technical journals, public spirited men, commissioners of railroads and engineers who have had opportunity to become familiar with the facts. There must be an underlying current of economy to induce so rapid progress as has been shown to have been made during the past year. Railroad companies are ready to pay for improvements which will reduce operating expenses. Probably nothing has demonstrated the value of the close coupler more than the Burlington brake trials. It has long been thought necessary to have free slack in the coupling of cars. This free slack is the cause of breakage of many drawbars and draft rigging in stopping and starting trains, and in operating roads with broken gradients and also very dangerous to trainmen and live freight. During the brake trial it was found necessary to take up all the free slack by blocking the links. The severest pull on the engine comes immediately after all cars in the train have been started, and an engine will start more cars than it will pull. In ascending a grade of fifty feet per mile it was found that train could be started with greater ease with links blocked than with links loose. While the complete elimination of shocks and the attendant danger to life and injury to equipment is a matter of brakes and not of couplers, still the coupler is an important factor, and it is obvious that the close coupler is necessary to reduce the slack to a minimum. Knowing the conditions to be fulfilled by an automatic coupler as set forth hereinbefore, it will be seen that the M. C. B. type may be made to answer to the requirements as indicated in the first eleven conditions.

The cost of equipping a freight car with the M. C. B. coupler is about \$25 per car in place, being little if any more than the cost of the old draw gear. The saving in damage to equipment, while considerable, amounts to little as compared with the increased train loads that can be made by the use of automatic air brakes and couplers. The B. & O., and other roads of like character using broken grades, haul as many passenger cars as the maximum load on more level grades, but there will be found a considerable difference in train loads in freight traffic as between comparatively level grades and fluctuating grades. Why is this? Under a like condition, if a locomotive can pull the same load of passenger cars as over broken grades as the maximum pulled on comparatively level or uniform grades, the freight engine should do similar work in freight service. However, this is not done, and the reason is found principally in the fact that with the combined use of air brakes and close couplers the engineer of the passenger train uses the power of his engine almost continually and by variation in speed, and by using the momentum of his train stored on descending grades he is able to climb grades which would be difficult to surmount if the stored energy acquired on descending grades was not used to lift the train out of the sag. With freight train equipped with hand brakes and loose couplers the engineer dare not raise the speed sufficient to assist in equalizing the profile, and on gradients prescribing short breaks the danger of breakaways

and consequent delay necessitate the constant presence of brakemen on top of cars to equalize the strain on drawbars, and prevent, if possible, the sudden shocks which are liable to break drawbars, injure freight and knock down the stock. To limit the speed of trains to a fixed number of miles per hour, regardless of profile, will certainly reduce the train loads, and the time chart should be made to conform to profile as well as to other necessities of operation. I am of the opinion that this matter will receive greater attention when freight cars are equipped with train brakes and close couplers.

During the past ten years few reports of State Railroad Commissioners, Inter-State Commissioners, journals, gazettes, or of the many societies organized for the promotion of knowledge in railway practice have been without some mention of this question of safe couplers, and no society, excepting the M. C. B., has been bold enough to take decided action in the matter. Since their action in adopting the Janney type as their standard they have been criticised by makers and railway officials, one for adopting any type and the other for not adopting a special device instead of a type. At the March meeting of the New England Railroad Club a paper was read denouncing the action of the M. C. B. on account of their not adopting a standard coupler, contending that a "standard freight car coupler is absolutely necessary for the most complete safety and economy." Admitting the economy but offering no method of relief. The adoption of a standard coupler by any body, State or National, would not insure its replacing all existing drawbars.

The adoption of a type, however, still leaves the field open for competitors, encourages the inventor to improve, and by the survival of the fittest will ultimately give us the ideal coupler, while in using the present M. C. B. type we will have universal interchangeability.

It is true that the breakages of the present form of M. C. B. type is excessive, caused partly by faulty construction, poor material and the necessity of coupling with the old style link and pin. The inventors' skill will no doubt rapidly improve the form, and when the necessity of coupling with link and pin no longer exists the knuckle breakage will be greatly diminished. The cost of furnishing links and pins for the old style drawbar is at the lowest calculation \$2 per year.

Why do links break? Is it caused from the lack of sufficient material to resist the strain necessary in steady pulling? The full resistance of a one and one-half inch link made from good iron is 175,000 pounds and the maximum pull of the average locomotive will not exceed 20,000 pounds. Even reducing the link to the resistance at the elastic limit, its strength will be five times greater than the dead pull of an engine. It is manifest that links are not broken by dead pull, but by jerks born of free slack. In the absence of free slack no such strain can occur so that a comparatively weak hook coupling without free slack will withstand the pulling strain.

The greatest trial to which the M. C. B. coupler is subjected is in switching and coupling. There is little danger of breaking in transit. When drilling in yards cars are punted or poled from lead tracks and crash against stationary cars with tremendous force. And again when coupling every new device is tested unofficially by switchmen who regardless of damage to equipment, will signal to the engineer. "Open her out Jim, and hit her hard, and see how this thing'll work."

A friction buffer manufactured by Westinghouse, is intended to take up a portion of this shock, but it has not been in use long enough to establish its utility. When a suitable buffer is found the expense of maintaining the M. C. B. coupler will be greatly reduced.

The recital of the action of the M. C. B. society leading up to the final conclusion and adoption of a standard type as well as the interest and action taken by the commissioners of the several states and other societies covering a period of years, demonstrates that the solving of this problem has brought into action the best skill of this country, men familiar with

the requirements and conscious of the need of reform from the dangers and expensive form of old style link and pin. Many men are still unconvinced that the proper type has yet been adopted by the M. C. B. but the majority believe in it, and the question now is how to put it into service. The transition period is a dangerous one. Will railway officials equip their freight cars as fast as renewals are needed or new cars built without the force of the law, and if legislation is necessary, shall it be state or national?

BLOCK SIGNALS.

If we have learned how to stop a train it is also very essential that we be advised when to stop.

During the year ending June 30, 1890, reports show 311 persons killed and 1,313 injured in collisions. Of this number 167 killed were employes and 107 passengers; 820 injured were employes and 445 passengers. This indicates that accidents from collisions present a more dangerous element to passengers than any other form and the traveling public is necessarily more interested in safety appliances tending to the safe handling of passenger trains than in any other safety appliance.

While the automatic air brake is a foremost factor of safety in the movement of trains it still fails to entirely overcome the errors of the train dispatcher, operator or trainmen. If the dispatcher's time spacing of trains was carried out as intended there would be but few collisions. However, so many chances present themselves in running that the schedule is not always maintained and it is necessary to change the time spacing for distance spacing in order to reduce the danger of collisions to the minimum.

In England the block system is in almost universal use, about 90 per cent. of all the passenger trains of that country are worked by the absolute block system, and will doubtless be universal, as the board of trade under a recent act of parliament, has notified the companies that the use of this system will be *required* on all passenger lines.

The block system originated in England and grew out of the necessity of traffic. The original method was introduced about 1853. The plan then used was to divide the road into sections, whose length varied with the requirement of traffic or the profile of road and topographical features of the contiguous country, sections over which few trains travel being from two to five miles long. Where traffic was more dense sections did not exceed one mile. At the end of each section a tower or signal house is located, occupied day and night by a signalman who is also a telegraph operator, who was charged with the duty of keeping a vigilant look-out and wire the signalman in tower on either side when a train had passed his station. Two trains are not allowed between signal stations at the same time. Such universal use of the block system in England and the resultant safety from collisions is a powerful argument in its favor.

Some of the lines in the United States, realizing the importance of the block system, have introduced it on lines where traffic is heavy enough to warrant the expense. The original method is very expensive and unscientific, and great advancement has been made in England and the United States in the practice.

The protection of a train which for some reason has been compelled to stop between telegraphic stations is generally attempted by sending a man back along the track with a signal to warn approaching train. If the flagman who is sent back, as well as the engineman on following train, perform their duty, all is well. But extreme vigilance is necessary on the part of both, and if the weather be inclement or the signal be difficult to see on account of fog, collision is almost sure to follow.

It is true that much of the loss of life resulting from collision is due to negligence on the part of trainmen, and notwithstanding the carefully formulated rules provided for the guidance of trainmen, man is fallible and collisions do occur.

As early as 1841 one semaphore was in use at New Cross, England. Mr. Bourne, writing in 1839, says of the London and Birmingham: "Certain policemen are stationed at intervals along the line as signalmen, whose duty it is to remove obstructions, and to warn an approaching train of any obstacle to its progress. The signals made use of in the day time are small white and red flags, and at night, lamps similarly colored." But the policeman, with his flags and lanterns, could tell the engineman nothing till he came close to him, nor could he warn him that the train which had passed had not broken down between his station and the next. In order to guard against this the telegraph was called into aid, enabling the operators to communicate to each other announcing the passage of trains.

Various systems have been devised to operate the block signals automatically by the passage of trains, and the question of what is the best kind of block signaling and to what extent railroad companies will be willing to introduce what is certainly a great expense, is not yet determined.

The Sykes system is used to some extent in the United States, being the standard of the New York & Harlem R. R., the N. Y., N. H. & H. R. R., and the N. Y., L. E. & W. R. R. The Union Switch and Signal Company and the Hall Signal Company offer systems, each of great merit, differing greatly in method employed. The Union Switch and Signal Company provide two systems for the automatic block signals, one operated entirely by electricity and the other by combined rail circuit and compressed air. A gravity battery supplies the current which is carried through the rails, being connected at joints by wires connected to each end of the rail. The insulation between block sections is accomplished by placing a wooden washer between the angle bar and the rail. The sections may be of any length convenient to traffic to be controlled. At the termination of each section a semaphore signal with two blades is generally provided, the upper blade painted red, and when in horizontal position indicates danger, the lower painted green, being a signal to "proceed under caution." The lowering of the blade indicates that the danger which it indicated in horizontal position no longer exists. The normal position of all signals is horizontal. The unbroken current through the rails, through the mechanism of the machine on signal post maintains the signal at safety or inclined from the horizontal. When a train enters the block the current is taken up through the wheel and axle to the opposite rail. The current is broken and the upper blade moves to a horizontal position, indicating to following train that first block ahead is occupied. When the train leaves the first section, the current being again complete, the upper blade falls from horizontal position. The lower blade still remaining at "caution," horizontal indicating that train is in second section, train following proceed under caution. When train has passed into third section the first caution signal falls, and train following finding both signals down may proceed at full speed. The cessation or interruption of the currents, either by presence of train in block, broken rail, removal of rail by sectionmen, or from any of the various accidents which may arise to disturb the rails the signals are held at danger. The use of two blades is only practiced where blocks are short and it is desired to keep two clear sections between high speed trains. The use of this system of two blades on long sections would cause too much delay to traffic. The track circuit in perfect working order seems to cover all essential points in an automatic block system, and its use by the Pennsylvania R. R. at Pittsburgh and other points where traffic is dense seems to have given satisfaction.

The practice of sending flagmen back when train is delayed between stations should not be omitted, no matter what system of block signaling is used.

All signals used in the system just described are of the semaphore pattern, i. e., post and blade. This is the form generally adopted as standard for all form of block and switch signals.

The Hall system of block signaling differs materially from the Union Switch & Signal Co's. plan. In this system the wire circuit is used, and the signal instead of being of the semaphore pattern is of the disc pattern, inclosed in a case when indicating safety. The apparatus invented by T. S. Hall was first used on the New York and Harlem Railroads in 1871. This first device provided for the transmission of current by means of wires suspended on the telegraph poles and subjected to the usual danger of damage by storm, etc. The wire is now carried under ground to the signal posts. The device is rendered automatic by the aid of a track instrument which receives upon a lever the blows from passing wheels. Quoting from the description of their system found in their late catalogue: "The principle on which this signal is constructed and operated is that the first wheel entering a block section sets the signal at danger and at the same time breaks an electric circuit in such a way that under no possible contingency can the signal again show safety until the train passes out of the block section and operates the track instrument which restores the circuit. If a wire breaks or is grounded or two wires become crossed, the signal goes to danger. Likewise a failure of the battery or any failure of its parts, or the occurrence of any of the mishaps which experience has shown signal connections liable to, must always result in setting the signal at danger, etc."

This system it will be observed will allow a portion of a breakaway to pass out of a section setting the signal behind it, and leaving a portion of the train on track unprotected from following train. It also lacks the power of warning against broken rail or any disturbance of track which may be dangerous to traffic. It is claimed by those favoring wire circuit that it covers all the points claimed and that the breakaways should be protected by hand signal in hand of trainmen. I believe the Hall Signal Company favor the wire circuit on scientific principles and are prepared to furnish the rail circuit system if desired. The wire circuit is less expensive and it is contended that the cost of maintenance is less than by any system of rail circuits.

The station block system is in use on several railroads in this State, and where the stations are not too far apart the system seems to be satisfactory.

The U. S. & S. Co. are constructing a system of automatic block signaling for the C. B. & Q. out of Chicago. The general adoption of any system of block signaling in this State is considered too burdensome for the traffic, and it is only at points where the traffic is dense and accidents from collisions frequent that it will be used.

On the evening of September 19, a coal train on the Philadelphia and Reading broke in two. The train was made up of 150 cars, probably the short 4 wheeled cars in use on that road for local business. The break was about 100 cars from engine. Another train of same length was following ten minutes behind. Owing to lack of proper signals and negligence of trainmen the second section collided with the rear end of first train which had slackened speed after breaking away. The collision threw the cars over on to the second track in front of a passenger train, wrecking it and killing twenty-one and injuring thirty persons, five being employes. With air brakes, M. C. B. couplers this accident would have been in all probability averted, and with an absolute block system this accident could not occur.

The movement of trains at 12 minute intervals where traffic is dense, is not safe unless block systems are used.

SIGNALS.

The question of the adoption of a uniform code of signals has been the subject of much debate among men who are charged with the safe handling of persons and property.

The Time Convention, composed of general managers or officials of authority, have met from time to time and discussed the necessity of uniform train signals, have formulated a code of rules for general use, and in many ways promoted the general system of train signals.

Signals may be divided into classes as follows: The hand signal, train signals and semaphore or signals on fixed posts, indicating by position of arms, discs or globes, safety, caution or danger.

It is apparent that a uniform code for use of these signals is essential for safety. Trainmen change from one road to another, and unless all signals are used to express but one meaning on all roads there is liability to confusion and consequent danger.

The Time Convention has to a great extent succeeded in accomplishing this reform in hand signals.

The many combinations of fixed signals on trains necessary to indicate the class and position of train, make it necessary that trainmen be instructed alike, and I deem it necessary to good discipline that each trainman be provided with a book of rules showing a diagram of signals colored, showing position of signals on rear and of both passenger and freight by day and by night, locomotive running forward and running extra by day and by night. Locomotive running backward empty and running extra, locomotive running backward empty and carrying signals for following train, locomotive running forward carrying signals for train following, and locomotive running backward carrying signals for train following. Engine head light when on main track and siding-lights and flags should be shown in position on engine, tender or rear of train separately, under each of the conditions. Should also show by diagram color and position of all flags used as hand signals, also section men's signal, which is generally flag fixed to temporary post on enginemen's side of track. Car repairs signals should be included as well as the station order board, main track switch targets together with all other switch distant and semaphores whether fixed or movable. Also road crossing signs, station whistling posts, water tank highway crossings, whistling posts and public warning posts, slow order boards, yard limits and any other form of signal which the trainmen should understand. This handy volume will prove a means of education, and where tried has been gladly received by all trainmen.

INTERLOCKING, SIGNALING AND DERAILING.

The term interlocking as here used means the grouping of levers controlling the movements of switches, turnouts and signals, and so arranging them as to make it impossible for operator to give conflicting signals or routes. Signaling applies to the directing of traffic and derailling is used to prevent two trains colliding if for any reason the signal is passed when set against either of the trains.

The purpose of using this system of grouping levers under the control of an operator at a convenient point and arranging signals and derails to co-operate with same in their proper turn is: First, increased safety to life and property; second, increased facility in handling traffic at busy points and avoiding the necessity of stopping at grade crossings.

When we consider the many accidents which occur at crossings and the expense of stopping all trains before crossing at grade at the hundreds of grade crossings in this State, it will be easily understood that the matter of expense is the only item which deters railroad officials from equipping such crossings with an approved device which will be not only a feature of economy in operation of trains, but a factor of safety, speed and comfort to the traveling public. Sometime before the knowledge of its advantage was appreciated in the United States, England had perfected a machine which is now almost universally used there and is fast coming into service in the United States. As an evidence of its usefulness in England, Waterloo station, one of the busiest in England, is equipped with

an interlocking plant operated by 209 levers. From this tower the points and signals are moved to pass during seven hours of the day full 315 trains, and during the year 4,848,700 movements are made, or an average of 22 movements for each train. The Brighton signal box at London bridge is probably the largest in the world.

The first system of interlocking erected in this country was placed at East Newark, N. J., in 1874. This was an English machine known as the Saxby and Farmer type, a type which is now constructed by the U. S. & S. Co. and the Johnson Railroad Signal Company. Since 1874 the Union Switch and Signal Co. have erected up to January 1890, 551 interlocking machines, of which 52 are in Illinois. Of this number 6,046 are Saxby & Farmer levers, and since this report considerable work has been done. 19 machines have been erected in Illinois, comprising 277 levers, of which the Union S. & S. Co. built 18, working 266 levers, the Johnson S. & S. Co. putting in eleven levers. As the U. S. & S. Co. have been foremost in the construction of work in this State, I will give a brief description of the machine.

For a single crossing at grade six levers are generally used, two for moving four derail points located 300 feet each way from crossing, four levers operating four home signals, located 350 feet each way from crossing, and four distant signals operate 1,550 feet each way from crossing. The connection from derail to lever is generally made with pipe, and home and distant signals by wire. The levers are grouped in a tower and painted and numbered to correspond to movements they control, levers operating derails, black, home and distant signal levers half red and half green. The home signal is painted red on furthest face and has blade with square end. When in horizontal position it indicates danger, stop, the distant signal is a blade with fish tail and painted green on furthest face, and when in horizontal position indicates to engineer that he must proceed under control expecting to stop before reaching home signal. When blades incline from horizontal it is an indication that track is clear. Should an engineer disregard the signal to stop as indicated by home signal in horizontal position, and proceed toward crossing, he is derailed at open switch or "derail point," located 50 feet from home signal toward crossing. The operator in tower seeing a train approaching on number one track grasps the lever operating the derail points on that track and pulling it towards himself closes the points, giving continuous rail on number one, and through the mechanism of the machine moves a bar, locking the derail and signal levers on number two. The next movement is by moving the lever operating the signals on side from which train is approaching, the first half of the movement lowers the home signal and the final movement home lowers the distant signal also locks the signal lever operating signals on same track for traffic in opposite direction. The machine now has four levers in normal position locked, and two levers throw out from machine. The approaching train now has clear track and advance signals and is protected from collision with any train on track 2 by open derails and danger signals. The derail on number one which was closed cannot be opened until the distant signal, then the home signal, are moved to danger. The derail lever may then be put back home. All levers now stand in line, derails open and signals at danger. To guard against splitting of trains at facing point switches a thin iron bar, called a detector bar, 40 feet long is hinged to the outside of rail, moving in vertical plane. This bar is so hung that it cannot be moved lengthwise without at the same time being raised. This bar is long enough so that it cannot be raised between the trucks of a coach or car and is actuated by the first movement of the lever which controls the switch and unless track is free the detector bar cannot be lifted, consequently switch cannot be opened. All levers in a machine are pivoted upon a common center and each identical in construction and operation, the foundation being separate from tower. In front of the levers is a cast iron frame containing movable locking bars moving in horizontal position. A casting rectangular in form, called the "flop" is carried on bearings at each end under the locking bars. This "flop" is connected to a radial link by pivot block moving in link. During the movements of the lever the pivot block traveling in the radial link moves it verti-

cally, which through the universal joint turns the "flop" which, in turning strikes a "dog" or casting clamped to locking bar, drawing it sufficiently to lock all opposing levers and freeing the lever next in order to be moved.

The interlocking and signaling of most of the crossings and connections which have been put into operation in this State have been vastly more intricate than the simple crossing just described, but the method of construction and operation is only an enlargement of the plan, which must be conformed to.

The interlocking at Ash street, Chicago, operated by 54 levers; at Barrington, 13 levers; Clyburn Junction, 32 levers; Bridgeport, 36 levers, all of the Saxby & Farmer type, furnish examples of the complete manner in which every point is guarded and controlled.

The manual machine is the most generally used, but at points where the extent of system will warrant the expense the pneumatic machine is used. The finest example of this machine is at Pittsburgh, Pa. The power used is compressed air actuated by electricity, controlled by an operator who moves a small lever essentially the same as in manual machine, but requiring very little power.

The act which was approved in this State in 1887 providing for the passage of trains over grade crossings without stopping, when crossing is properly protected by interlocking and signals did not provide for the interlocking of draw bridges. I am satisfied that it is a matter of public safety and convenience, as well as a protection to railways to provide for the interlocking of draw bridges. Many draw bridges in this State are moved but seldom, and where they are moved often it is certainly better to protect the traffic against the possibility of engines running into an open draw. Records of such accidents, though infrequent, show disastrous results. The interlocking of the Bridgeport draw at Chicago with the complicated approaches thereto shows the complete manner in which this work can be performed.

FREIGHT CARS.

The record of accidents to employes falling from engines and cars during year ending shows that 2,504 persons were killed or injured. Of this number 493 were killed and 2,011 injured. While this fatality is chargeable to some extent to lack of proper brakes and couplers, which would do away with the necessity of men being on top of cars, it is also due to the improperly kept brake shafts, running boards, steps, side ladders, etc.

The Commissioners of the State of New York in their recommendations to the Legislature in their annual reports for years 1885, '86, and '87, ask that action be taken to compel railroads to protect trainmen from danger of slipping from the car roof by providing railing on roof along each side of car. No legislative action was taken. I am not advised that any State requires this protection to be provided.

During the meeting of the 13th annual convention of the M. C. B. society at Chicago in 1879, action was taken by the society fixing the standards for the kind and position of all brake shafts, fastenings for brake pawl, etc., position of running board at end of car, position and dimension of steps and ladders. These recommendations were adopted, and are as follows:

"That all brake shafts be placed on left hand corner of car, when a person is standing on the track facing the end of car. (Plates 6 and 7 show brake shaft in position as designated.)

"That the ratchet wheel and pawl be fastened to a suitable casting on the roof.

"That the running boards be not less than 18 inches wide and one inch thick, the ends of which to project 5 and one-half inches outside of the boarding. The projections to be supported by two braces of 2-inch by $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch iron.

"That two good, substantial steps made of wrought iron, one-half inch by one and three-quarters inch be fastened, one to each side, at diagonal corners of the car.

"That each box and stock car have two ladders, not less than five steps in each ladder, made of five-eighths inch round iron, projecting three and one-half inches from siding, securely fastened to each end at diagonal corners with handle directly over the ladders on the roof."

The question of the proper form of dead blocks, and whether it is better to construct cars without end platforms has been a matter of considerable discussion. Car builders are about evenly divided in opinion as to the durability of platform in economic construction and its relation to the work of trainmen.

At the 20th annual convention of M. C. B., at Niagara Falls, in 1886, it was decided to test the matter upon a division by letter ballot and it was decided in 1887 that the standard dead block of the society would be as follows:

That when double dead blocks are used, that their vertical height and their width measured crosswise to the track, be each eight inches, that their thickness measured lengthwise be 6 inches; that they each consist of castings as represented by figures 22-24, Plate VIII.

That when a beam attached to the end sill is used for carrying the dead blocks that it be made 36 inches long, not less than 4 inches thick and 8 inches vertical depth. Plate VIII.

The parts pertaining to the equipment of a freight car which have been hereinabove described, together with brakes and couplers first mentioned comprise the principal features which have to do with the safety to trainmen, and it is necessary that these parts be kept in repair and be made to conform to the standard adopted by the principal railways of the United States through the action of their Master Car Builders.

A code of rules has been generally adopted governing the condition of, and repairs to freight cars for the interchange of traffic, which provides for the manner in which cars must be repaired and sent forward. When cars are received which will not pass a thorough inspection all repairs should look to uniformity, and if renewals are necessary, no parts but standard style should be put on.

Owing to the small percentage of cars being equipped with air brakes, the brakemen are compelled to be on cars when train is moving, and as it is a perilous business under the most favorable conditions of weather, when the weather is foul the danger to life is great, brakemen in the urgency of their call have not time to examine each step, ladder or hand-brake, and are often killed or mangled by the lack of proper attention of the car builder or inspector in allowing cars with defective equipment to be run in service.

My observation of the condition of freight cars in service convinces me that the inspection and repairs is not carefully performed.

The standards of the M. C. B. are generally good, and a rigid inspection by an officer of the Commission would prevent a great many of the accidents which occur from faulty repairs.

MAINTENANCE OF WAY.

Of all the many items which enter into the construction and maintenance of a perfect road I will not attempt to make mention. It is the first essential, both to passengers and employes in train service that the track—the channel of commerce—be perfect, and it is not only necessary that a Forth, East River or Eads bridge be built and maintained carefully, but that the little things insignificant in themselves receive equal attention. It was not the failure of an important structure which caused the fearful accident at Chatsworth nor a defective track or bridge which caused the Quincy disaster. It is only with the utmost vigilance and a

supply and use of proper materials that makes it possible for trains weighing four hundred tons and more, to attain the tremendous speed demanded by the public, and yet, carry nearly five hundred million passengers with safety to all but 2,456, and the evidence that it has been done is a high testimonial to the vigilance, care and skill of each of the 407,743 employees. Although this is a favorable report it is possible to make a still better showing by increased attention to details and by aid of improved appliances. Thanks to the skill of the American bridge engineer and builder, we have little to ask in the line of better bridges.

There has been no record kept of accidents from bridge failures, which leads me to suppose that the number from this cause is small. The construction and maintenance of pile and framed bent bridges and culverts is generally left to a bridge foreman who is generally unacquainted with strains in framed structures. The first building of these wooden structures of lesser importance as to dimension and cost, is comparatively well done, but the great cause of danger is in neglect to make proper repairs, clean away debris, weeds, etc., and general policing around the structures in dry season. One great cause of failure of small structures is insufficient water-way, causing floating drift to be lodged against the structures, damming up the water and causing displacement. Many framed bents rest upon mud sills placed two to three feet in ground which are very liable to be washed out by scouring in time of flood. Probably 75 per cent. in length of the bridges in this State are constructed of wood, and renewals on some of our strongest lines are being made of wood. It is unnecessary, I think, to reason why this is done. We know and admit that stone, iron or steel is better material of which to build. It then resolves itself into a question of first cost.

The rapid increase of weight in rolling stock has not been attended by the same advancement in bridges, excepting in new work. Structures which were built 10 or 15 years ago to sustain the traffic of that day yet remain with parts renewed, yet the general plan and dimensions of members remain the same. The result may prove disastrous, for it is difficult, nay impossible, to correctly judge of the character and minimum strength of any structure by superficial examination. As an example your attention is called to the failure of the west shore span of the Peoria & Pekin Union bridge, spanning the Illinois river at Peoria. On the evening of Feb. 3d, a train of the "Big Four" pulled by a consolidated engine and composed of gondola coal cars loaded, followed by grain cars, had reached the first river pier when the span suddenly fell, precipitating engine and tender to bottom and killing three men. The bridge was apparently in good condition and had been examined in December, 1889, by a bridge expert who found no apparent defect. The bridge was built for lighter traffic and besides the quality of iron and steel was found to be very poor. This accident caused other companies to be fearful of the safety of their bridges which had been built for some time and arrangements were at once made for renewals of some of the important structures.

It is not necessary to demonstrate by accident and death that any structure is weak. A careful examination, test of parts of material and calculation of strain sheets would develop weakness not otherwise apparent. Many of the railroads of this State have no complete record of the bridges on their line to show the kind, dimension and age. And I find no plans of any bridges, or any information concerning same in your office.

A very important safeguard to derail trains at bridge approach is the provision of some rerailing device. The Latimer device is used as standard on many lines in this State. It is manufactured in Chicago and has shown to be a successful device.

This subject is of such importance that the legislature of the State of New York enacted a law in 1887, providing that guard posts should be placed in the prolongation of the line of bridge trusses so that in case of derailment the post, and not the bridge truss, shall receive the blow of the derailed locomotive or car. In 1884 the railroad commission of the same state ordered drawings of all truss bridges, showing dimensions, floor

system, strain sheets, etc. This resulted in finding weak places in bridges. In many instances bridges were strengthened before strain sheets were sent in, showing the moral benefit of the law.

Many of the railroads of this State, owing in part to the meager earnings, and in part to an effort to pay interest on bonds and dividends on stock, starve the roadbed, and ties are permitted to remain long after they have served their full life. The average life of a first-class oak tie is seven years, making it necessary to renew 400 ties each year, or a total for main line in this State of 4,276,400 ties, while some of the best roads do make renewals at this rate, the total consumption will not reach more than one-third of this amount. The spiking is in many instances one-half or two-thirds done, this generally obtains where ties are poor, and poor ties coupled with half spiking gives results dangerous to traffic. Gauge, inspection of rails, elevating curves, joints, cattle guards, drainage, switches, frogs, crossings, etc., all need the most careful attention.

The question of accident to switchmen from being caught in frogs has called forth considerable discussion and some legislation in the different states. In Michigan, all railroads are compelled by law to fill all frogs so that the foot cannot be caught and held. This law was passed in 1880, and empowered the commissioner with the duty of prescribing the kind of filling to be used. The result seems to have proved satisfactory, for in 1885 but one accident occurred in that state from this cause, and in 1886 none occurred. The wisdom of the law seems to be vindicated by results.

That there is considerable danger from this source is evidenced by a letter written to the Hon. J. Hennessy, one of the commissioners of Missouri, by the switchmen and brakemen on the various St. Louis roads, in which they request that he assist them in securing them against the many fatal accidents caused by being trapped in frogs and between the guard rails and thus run down, the letter continues by saying, "We do not pretend to say that the companies are indifferent as to the safety of their employés, but we do know that no device is being generally used to keep the feet of the switchmen out of these dangerous gaps between the rails and the frogs. The blocks sometimes inserted in the frogs afford no protection whatever."

The lack of uniformity in the location of buildings, stand pipes, semaphore posts and platforms is a fruitful cause of accident to both passengers and trainmen. The high platform, while convenient in mounting to car steps, is often the cause of accident to persons, who for various reasons, unwisely attempt to board or leave a train while in motion. The height of platform at passenger stations should be level with top of rail, at two feet distance from rail, rising from thence toward building to allow for drainage. Movable step platforms should be provided and placed by porter or brakemen to assist passengers in boarding and leaving train. At freight station buildings platform may be raised to level of car floor. No building, pole or stand pipe should be placed nearer than seven feet from center of track. The practice of placing stand pipe between tracks having but 13 feet from center to center, should be condemned. A general order fixing the distance, would, I think, prove beneficial.

HIGHWAY CROSSINGS.

The placing of whistling posts to warn enginemmen of proximity to a public road is generally performed according to law, and the enginemmen as a rule use the whistle to warn travel of the approach of train. Notwithstanding these precautions many people are killed at highway crossings. Of the 13,754 persons killed or mangled during the past year from causes unassigned, a large percentage were caught at highway crossings.

This fatality is not by any reason all chargeable to lack of proper precaution on the part of railroad officials, for in addition to the warning by whistle and bell a proper warning sign is generally provided in plain view of traveler on highway. People becoming familiar with the danger do not exercise due caution in crossing the railroad track, and probably this fatality will continue until they are barred out of the track when train is passing. However, there are many points which may be improved by removing trees and bushes, which in many cases obstruct the vision of highway travelers, who, in winter time, with heads muffled by wraps to guard against exposure, cannot depend wholly on the sense of hearing to warn them of danger.

The construction of sub or super ways for highways is without doubt the proper way to prevent accident from this cause. The State of Massachusetts co-operating with the railroads of the State have provided for the expending of five million of dollars in constructing sub and super ways. Many dangerous crossings have been eliminated by the railroads working with the county commissioners in this State, and it is the expressed desire of many of our railroad managers to provide these safeguards where it is possible to do so at reasonable expense.

When it is understood among railroad officials that the State is keeping watch over the many details pertaining to the safety of track, bridges, etc., by careful inspection and supervision, there will be a marked improvement, and many existing features known to be dangerous will be eliminated.

HEATING PASSENGER CARS.

The first appliance for heating passenger trains in this country was the simple wood stove, providing no protection to passengers in case of overturning, mashing and consequent burning in case fire was in use at the time, resulting in death or injury by burning. For some years the public submitted to this dangerous element, considering it a necessary evil. The wood stove was gradually replaced by a so-called safety stove, claimed to be perfectly safe, even though the car containing it was destroyed. The repetition of horrible deaths by burning, charged to the presence of the car stove, were chronicled in the newspapers from time to time, until in 1887 "the deadly car stove" was voiced against by travelers. The appalling horrors added to the Ashtabula disaster by the burning of living men, women and children, and their cries echoed through the press, seemed to arouse the public to take some action to provide against the recurrence of similar disasters. The winter of 1886 and 1887 brought a series of disasters. The Rio disaster on the St. Paul road in October, 1886, when 17 persons were burned to death; the Republic wreck, January 4, 1887, when 13 were held beneath timbers and slowly burned to death before friends helpless to assist and avert their agony, and the killing and burning of 30 more at White River, Vermont, added to already excited feelings against the car stove, seemed to indicate that the time had come when decided action must be taken to find a substitute. At that time the heating of cars by steam from the locomotives was in an experimental state, being little used except upon the elevated road in New York City. Public opinion, inflamed by the "Spuyten Duyvil" disaster, was so strong against the use of the ordinary car stove used in Massachusetts that the legislature of that State enacted a law in 1882 providing that all cars owned or regularly used on any railroad in the State, and furnished with heating apparatus, are to be provided with such safeguards against fire as may be approved by the commissioners. And again in 1887 provided "that in no event shall a

common stove be allowed in any such car." New York took similar action, providing "that after May 1, 1888, all railroads doing business in that State must not heat their passenger cars or other than mixed trains by any stove or furnace, etc." The use of the present stove was allowed where cars were standing on sidings, etc. This did not restrict the use of the cooking stove.

The heating of cars by steam from locomotive is now an accomplished fact in the State of New York.

Michigan, in 1887, provided that on and after Nov. 1, 1888, that railroad companies must make effective provision against the burning of cars in which passengers are carried. The commissioners of Connecticut were authorized after Sept. 30, 1887, to order any system of heating which they deemed proper. But after trial and examination of the various systems offered do not in their report of 1889 recommend any system of steam heating, but are so far convinced that some system of continuous heating will be perfected that in a circular dated July 10, 1889, to officials of railroads operating in that State, they say "we recommend that you do not equip or purchase any cars equipped with any system of heating which cannot be readily adapted to the use of steam or hot air. We believe that some system of continuous heating will soon be perfected which can be prudently and safely adopted * * *. Further experiment should be encouraged for the purpose of developing some system of train heating which will give a uniform temperature throughout the cars of our longest passenger trains, attended by the fewest possible elements of danger from fire, or from the explosion of steam in case of accident, collision or overturning of cars." Notwithstanding the commissioners' lack of faith in the success of any system of continuous steam heating, as now perfected, the most prominent railroads of their State have sufficient confidence to warrant them in equipping their passenger cars for steam heating, thus demonstrating their faith both in the economy and safety of its use.

The subject of safely heating was taken up by the railroad companies through their master car builders some time before the matter was made a subject of State legislation. The president of the M. C. B. in his annual address to the society convened June 12, 1883, said: "The present manner of warming passenger cars during extreme cold weather in our northern states is far from satisfactory. How to furnish heat without injury to passengers from fire, steam or hot water in case of accident is one of the problems yet to be solved; and as inventors have not as yet presented a device removing such liabilities, it is incumbent on all master car builders to study carefully how to arrange the available heaters to obtain the best results as to comfort and safety," and their committee in their report of same year say that "steam in all cases is preferable for heating cars * * *. In case of derailment or collision, safety demands the heater to be placed outside of the cars."

During the winter of 1887-'88 tests were made of the various systems of continuous heating. The result shows that 18 different systems were on trial, comprising straight steam, indirect radiation, hot water, water and Baker heater pipes, steam and water circulation. Thirty-three railroads using the systems, represented by the 18 companies, report but two failures to give satisfaction, and the general result was very satisfactory. At the close of year 1888 the Martin system was in use on 141 locomotives and 416 cars, the Sewall on 205 locomotives and 295 cars, the McElroy on 90 locomotives and 120 cars; N. Y., L. E. & W. Ry., 102 locomotives and 336 cars; L. V. R. R. system, 50 locomotives and 50 cars; N. Y. Safety C. H. and L. Co., 44 locomotives and 93 cars; C. M. & St. P. Ry. system, 37 locomotives and 76 cars; B. C. & Nor. Ry. system, 28 locomotives and 65 cars; Emerson, 26 locomotives and 41 cars; Gold system, 20 locomotives and 6 cars; Standard C. H. & Vent Co., 3 locomotives and 16 cars; Penn. R. R. system, 1 locomotive and 6 cars; N. W. M. C. Heating and L. Co., 3 locomotives and 3 cars, and Erie Car Heating Co., 1 locomotive and 4 cars.

Many railroad companies have adopted steam heating systems of their own.

Many difficulties have developed themselves since the question of continuous heating was first discussed. The heating of cars while detached from engine, condensation, probable effect on steaming of locomotive, couplings, etc., have been considered, and the objections are to a great extent overcome. In all cases the necessary heat for cars where detached from locomotive is obtained by starting fire in stoves, which must be extinguished before car leaves station in train.

The fullest information concerning the general use of steam heat is very difficult to obtain, some roads using several different systems. The B. & O. uses 3 different systems, with a total of 107 cars equipped. The N. Y., L. E. & W. uses 5 systems on 572 cars, of which number 529 are heated by direct steam on a plan of their own devising. On 12 roads east of Chicago 1,955 cars are equipped with 15 different systems, and on none of these roads has there been shown to be any effect on locomotive by reason of drawing steam from it.

The coupler is not so serious a question as many will argue. While there are many devices for steam coupling, such as the Erie, a metallic, the Martin, Sewall, Gibbs and others, a type may be agreed upon by the railroad companies if the equipping of cars is made compulsory.

Plate IX. shows application of the system offered by the Safety Car Heating and Lighting Co.

In order to use the Baker heater and its water circulating pipes, with which most of the first-class roads have equipped their cars, it was found that a system of indirect steam would meet the requirements best and at the least cost. In this system the steam is conveyed from locomotives to steam jackets, which surround the pipe, as shown in diagram. In this system the steam in jacket and salt water in pipes do not come in contact, and the heat being applied at three points, the circulation is kept up and the water heated faster than if Baker heater was used. When car is detached from train a fire may be kept up in Baker heater, without in any way interfering with steam heating system. The condensation of steam is discharged through a trap.

Five railroads centering in Chicago use this system, which is used to some extent on 18 railroads, and by the Pullman and Wagner Sleeping Car Companies.

The Consolidated Car Heating Co. offer three ways of supplying continuous steam heat. The first system, called the McElroy commingler system, is illustrated in Plate X. This is also used with the Baker heater, but instead of heating water indirectly, steam is introduced into the water of the pipes through a device called a commingler, shown in right hand corner of Plate X. To prevent the disagreeable clacking noise noticeable where steam and water occupy same pipe, the vessel is filled with gravel. The heated water and steam run to the expansion drum, and passing round car returns through Baker heater to commingler to be again heated. This system seems to present many favorable features, and is the outgrowth of the Westinghouse and McElroy systems. Fire is applied to water coil in Baker heater when locomotive is not attached. Pipes may be emptied after car is through service, and steam may be blown through when car is wanted for service. The condensation will fill pipes; at the same time the car will be quickly warmed by radiation from live steam. This system may be used for direct steam or water circulation.

The Sewall drum system, as illustrated on Plate XI., is an example of indirect steam heating, using Baker heater and pipe circulation. The steam is admitted into the drum, shown in right hand corner of Plate XI. Through this drum is passed a coil of pipe connected with Baker heater. The steam surrounds this pipe, and heating the water starts the circulation through expansion drum, and from thence through pipes and back to drum.

The Gold Car Heating Company have equipped nearly 3,000 locomotives and cars, their system being used as standard on many railroads, while they use a system with a Baker heater as auxiliary. The plan they think best is illustrated in Plate XII. In the Storage Heat System, "four stor-

age heaters are used. Each heater is supplied with steam from the main supply pipe, to which it is connected near the end of the car." The heat is stored by a water cylinder hermetically sealed, owing to the large radiating surface in storage cylinders the temperature in the car is raised very quickly after steam is turned on. This system depends for its heat when detached from locomotive upon the heat stored in fluid contained in cylinders. While there is but little danger to passengers from scalding, should a car be wrecked and pipe broken, the steam vaporizing, when coming in contact with the air, will fill the car, obstruct the vision and frighten the occupants. To guard against the possibility of such an event, J. R. Droziski, of the Erie Car Heating Company, has invented an automatic steam shut-off, a diagram of which will be found on Plate XIII. The machine is placed upon the locomotive in the engineer's cab in a convenient place where it may be connected with the steam and air pipes and requires no additional attachment on cars. When it is necessary to use steam before air is pumped, the engineman grasps the handle, pressing the catch lever marked C, operating a lever B inside of handle A. When the handle A is released the lever B keeps the rubber gasket D one-sixteenth of an inch from seat. The gate is now open in main steam pipe. The automatic feature of this machine is accomplished by the use of air taken from the main air pipe and conducted to cylinder E. As soon as the pressure is let into cylinder E, the piston is forced up against seat E, at the same time releasing lever B, which returns to its normal position in handle. While the air pressure is on, the gate in main steam pipe is open. If for any reason the train is parted and air is broken, the gate in steam pipe is instantly closed by force of spring H.

"Steam," "hot water," "hot air" and electricity have been experimented with for the production of heat, practical results seem to favor indirect steam heating in connection with Baker heater, or storage heaters, direct steam with or without Baker heater, and the commingling of steam and water, in connection with the Baker heater and piping.

LIGHTING PASSENGER CARS.

The danger to life and property in the presence of the oil lamp generally used for lighting passenger trains, is not as great as many people believe. The oil being refined to such a degree as to prevent igniting while in a body. However, should one of these reservoirs containing oil be broken and the contents scattered over the cushions, carpet and wood-work of the car it then presents its best condition for combustion. While accidents resulting in death from this cause are rare, the expense of maintenance of oil lamps, as well as the desire to provide an attractive and efficient light which would be free from the dangerous qualities and general imperfections of the oil lamp has caused investigation to be made looking to improvement in the system of lighting passenger cars.

The use of common gas has not proven satisfactory, as under compression necessary to store a sufficient quantity for supply on a trip the gas losses too much of its illuminating power. The use of a refined gas in Germany attracted attention in the United States, and the results obtained from it seemed to warrant its introduction on our railroads. This system known as the Pintsch system has since been introduced on many of our railroads. The patentee, Mr. Julius Pintsch, perfected his plans in Germany during the year 1870. The gas used for this light is manufactured from crude petroleum, or the residual products of coal oil distillation. The gas is manufactured at terminal points and stored in powerful cylinders from which it is piped between the tracks, with convenient cocks at intervals from which a supply is drawn into the receiving cylinder, fixed under the body of the car. This cylinder is of iron, capable of sustaining a pressure of 250 pounds per square inch, and is charged to 150 pounds. The capacity of cylinder or tank is about 185 cubic feet. A pressure of 150 pounds would be many times too great to use at the burner, a regu-

lator is used which provides the gas at the burner at a pressure sufficient to produce a steady, mellow flame, and is so nicely adjusted as to furnish just enough, whether the flame is desired full or low.

The lamps are generally furnished with four burners inclosed in a glass globe which perfectly shields the flames from disturbing currents of air. A glass reflector is placed above the burners which distributes the flame and adds brilliancy to the illumination.

I have no record of any accident involving loss of life or injury to passengers through the use of this system, and it appears that the dangers incident to the presence of gas of any kind, stored on car which is liable to be set on fire from many causes, has been brought to a minimum. The only opportunity for adding to the danger of burning wreck, would be from the escape of gas from broken pipe. The pressure of gas in tank is so great that if the shell should be perforated the force of gas escaping would extinguish any flame that would be likely to reach the aperture. The explosion of tank is guarded against in its construction, the joints being made in such manner as to release the gas before pressure is raised to limit of resistance of shell.

This system has been in use 20 years, and since its introduction to the United States has been greatly improved. The system claims to furnish a better, safer and cheaper light than can possibly be furnished by oil lamps.

January 1st, 1890, this system was in use upon 33,500 passenger cars in Europe, United States, South America and Australia. Plate XIV shows the location of tank, regulator, pipes, lamps, etc., on a passenger car.

The Frost Dry Carburetter system which has been introduced on many of the trunk lines of the United States and made the standard on some lines, has equipped 633 passenger cars on lines east of Chicago. The gas for the light in the Carburetter system is manufactured at the lamp, or rather in Carburetter near lamp, by the forcing of air through a metal spiral filled with cotton wicking saturated with refined gasoline. The air is supplied from cylinder under car used as a reservoir, being supplied with air under compression from the main brake pipe. The gas is simply air carrying a certain amount of gasoline vapor. In this system there is no need for gas plants, as its carburetter with its air connection, is a gas generator.

The Carburetters are charged from top of cars, with only so much gasoline as the cotton wicking will absorb. The mechanism is so arranged as to prevent overcharging, and consequent presence of free oil.

Plate XV shows the Carburetter system as applied to passenger cars.

In order to determine if the pressure of gasoline absorbed in cotton wicking as held in carburetter would prove an element of danger in case of car being wrecked, trial tests were made at Altoona in June of this year.

It has been claimed by the rival system and some technical journals that a carburetter might be ruptured and a car flooded with volatile and inflammable gasoline; or that in case of fire the rapid vaporization of the gasoline in the carburetter would cause explosion. The tests at Altoona did not show these elements of danger.

A perfect light for passenger cars should meet the following requirements:

1. It should be safe.
2. It should ventilate the car perfectly.
3. It should furnish mellow and effective light to all parts of the car.
4. It should be simple in construction and operation.
5. It should be constructed, operated and maintained at minimum cost.

I think either of the systems described offer safety, better and more economical light than can possibly be furnished by oil lamps.

CONCLUSIONS.

1. That automatic train brakes should be provided on all cars and locomotive engines. With this provision, accidents from exposure, falling from train, overhead obstructions, etc., would be reduced to a minimum, and overhead crossings could be built at much less expense.

2. That the Master Car Builders' type of automatic car coupler is the best type in the market. That its universal use in connection with train brakes will prevent great loss of life.

3. That the absolute block system should be used on lines where traffic is dense.

4. That a code of uniform signals should be adopted, in which form and position governs by day and night.

5. That all railroad crossings at grades which may hereafter be constructed should be protected by a system of interlocking and signals. That a portion of existing railroad grade crossings should be protected in like manner each year until all are so protected.

6. That all freight cars be carefully inspected to provide against the damages to trainmen from defective parts.

7. That the Railroad and Warehouse Commission be furnished with complete statistics bearing on the physical condition of each railroad in the State. That each railroad in this State should furnish the Railroad and Warehouse Commission a certified copy of plan of each bridge exceeding a span of sixteen feet.

That the standard plans for minor structures be also furnished.

That a copy of the general rules governing the train and track force be also furnished.

That a careful inspection be made of each railroad in this State at least once each year.

8. That, where practicable, the grade of railroad and highway crossings be separated. That it is possible to remove many obstructions to vision at grade crossings at small expense.

9. That the heating of passenger cars by steam from the locomotive is practicable.

10. That the kerosene oil lamp should be superseded by a system of lighting which will reduce the danger of accident by burning.

ADDENDA.

In concluding my report upon the use of safety appliances in the operation of railroads, and summing up the results obtainable when the best appliance of its kind is put in service, the question naturally arises as to what is the best method of securing the results aimed at.

Opinions have been expressed by the Railroad Commissioners of some of the States, and the report of the Inter-State Commerce Commission for 1889 contains an exhaustive review on this subject of State and federal legislation. While the solution of the proper method will probably be arrived at through persons conversant with questions of legislation, there are certain mechanical and engineering features pertaining to the subject of which one unfamiliar with the subject may not be advised.

The business of conducting the railroad traffic in this State, if the extent of operated roads was limited by the boundary of the State would be comparatively simple. The business is not, however, confined to the limit of State control or the boundaries of the United States; it is international. Changes from established methods should be well considered before recommended, as the expense is an item which cannot be overlooked. Railway managers who have won position by faithful and intelligent labor, guarding alike the interests of the public and the property in their charge,

wisely hesitate to adopt new methods which have not been thoroughly tested by practice. Yet these same men, mindful of the welfare of those intrusted to their supervision and care, with the clear insight into the multifarious necessities of the safe and successful conduct of the business in their charge, are the first to acknowledge the necessity of guarding every point of danger. The necessity of improvement in the many devices of which my report treats, is evident to them, but without the co-operation of the directory the managers are powerless to move in the matter.

Uniformity must be the aim of any movement toward success. There is no question but what we have in the market a continuous air brake and car coupler which, if used in all traffic, will reduce the frightful fatalities and be an investment well made; but this cannot be controlled by independent State legislation.

I believe the result may be obtained by national legislation requiring the equipment of all new passenger and freight cars with an interchangeable form of automatic train brake and automatic close couplers, and when renewals are made all such renewals should be made in accordance with the standard adopted. The provisions of such an act should include any protection which is necessary in interstate business. Such an act needs no new officers to carry out its provisions. The several State commissioners should be charged with the duty of inspecting thoroughly the equipment of the several railroads within their respective States. Of such features of the railroad which are entirely within the State, I would respectfully call your attention to the necessary improvements indicated in my report of Maintenance of Way. The inspection of bridges, location of buildings, platforms, filling of frogs and guard rails and the protection of life at highway crossings, as well as the further extension of control of the interlocking and signaling. No provision is made for the inspection of interlocking plants after they have been accepted by your Commission and put into operation. Derails may be spiked, detector bars or other important parts of a plant may be removed, the system changed to admit of dangerous elements, and no knowledge of such change be in the hands of the commission. Inspection of these complicated plants should be made at regular intervals. I do not believe that changes would be authorized by any company through its superior officers without first consulting your Commission, but that changes are made is a fact, being of so frequent occurrence in Michigan that a special inspector has been appointed charged with the duty of looking after all subjects pertaining to the physical and mechanical operation of the railroads of that State.

So much material of interest is presented to me in making this report that volumes could be written. I trust that I have embodied in this report such features of safety appliances in the operation of railroads as will be of general information.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAS. HANSEL.

Consulting Engineer.

PLATE I.
Railroad accidents for year ending June 30, 1889.
 COMPARATIVE STATEMENT UNITED STATES AND ILLINOIS.

KINDS OF ACCIDENT.	UNITED STATES.									
	Employés.		Passengers.		Other persons.		Total United States.		Total Illinois.	
	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.
Coupling and uncoupling cars.....	300	6,757	300	6,757	21	444
Falling from trains and engines.....	493	2,011	493	2,011
Overhead obstructions.....	65	296	65	296
Collisions.....	167	820	107	445	37	48	311	1,313	21	63
Derailments.....	125	655	28	389	29	69	182	1,113	7	30
Other train accidents.....	189	1,016	26	247	522	515	737	1,778
At highway crossings.....	24	45	3	16	410	634	437	635	35	64
At stations.....	70	699	26	295	328	472	424	1,466
Other causes.....	539	7,729	120	754	2,215	2,397	2,874	10,880	473	1,165
Total for United States.....	1,972	26,028	310	2,146	3,541	4,135	5,823	26,309
Total for Illinois.....	172	1,188	25	116	360	402	557	1,706


PLATE I.
Railroad accidents for year ending June 30, 1889.


COMPARATIVE STATEMENT UNITED STATES AND ILLINOIS.

KINDS OF ACCIDENT.	UNITED STATES.									
	Employés.		Passengers.		Other persons.		Total United States.		Total Illinois.	
	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.	Killed.	Injured.
Coupling and uncoupling cars.....	300	6,757					300	6,757	21	444
Falling from trains and engines.....	493	2,011					493	2,011		
Overhead obstructions.....	65	296					65	296		
Collisions.....	167	820	107	445	37	48	311	1,313	21	63
Deraillments.....	125	655	28	389	29	69	182	1,113	7	30
Other train accidents.....	189	1,016	26	217	522	515	737	1,778		
At highway crossings.....	24	45	3	16	410	634	437	685	35	64
At stations.....	70	690	26	295	328	472	424	1,466		
Other causes.....	539	7,729	120	754	2,215	2,397	2,874	10,880	473	1,105
Total for United States.....	1,972	20,028	310	2,146	3,541	4,135	5,823	26,369		
Total for Illinois.....	172	1,138	25	116	360	402			557	1,706

THE WESTINGHOUSE AUTOMATIC BRAKE AS APPLIED TO A LOCOMOTIVE.

PLATE II.




[illegible]


Col	Fal	Ove	Col	Der	Oth	Atl	Ats	Oth
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

FOR A FREE

[illegible]



The relative angle of leaves should must be equal
 Pipes to be broad, leaves penetrable, and
 Full connected to be perfectly light, and
 Full pipes to be securely put into the car timbers
 Use red lead, sparingly at joints, and not
 After use it as thick as a half penny to the
 avoid ideas thinking back setting to eyes
 not cut with shears after bending
 tested under pressure with soap and
 general standard and maintaining of joints
 outside of pipe that serves as help filling
 into thick plates and larger with surface of brace



**THE
WESTINGHOUSE
QUICK ACTION
AUTOMATIC BRAKE
FREIGHT EQUIPMENT CARS**

200 2. 7. 511

Col
Fal
Ov
Col
Den
Olt
At
Olt



PLATE IV.




PLATE V.




PLATE VI.





PLATE VII.








PLATE X.



COMINGLER SYSTEM.

PLATE XI.



COIL DRUM SYSTEM.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{A} \\ \text{B} \end{array} \right\}$$

1. What is the purpose of the study?
 The purpose of the study is to determine the effect of the use of a mobile learning application on the learning outcomes of students in the field of Mathematics.


2. What is the research method used?
 The research method used is a quantitative method with a quasi-experimental design.

3. What are the variables in the study?
 The variables in the study are the use of a mobile learning application (independent variable) and learning outcomes (dependent variable).


4. What are the data collection instruments used?
 The data collection instruments used are a questionnaire and a test.

5. What are the results of the study?
 The results of the study show that the use of a mobile learning application has a significant effect on the learning outcomes of students in the field of Mathematics.

PLATE XII.




LONGITUDINAL SECTION.



FLOOR PLAN.

GOLD CAR HEATING CO.'S STORAGE HEATER SYSTEM OF WARMING PASSENGER CARS.

PLATE XIII.



THE






PLATE XIV.







pending, would be to say the least, giving them due regard for substantial would justify.




THE FROST DRY CARBURETOR SYSTEM
GENERAL ARRANGEMENT OF PARTS OF LIGHTING SYSTEM ON CAR.





... ..

... ..



... ..

... ..

No. 2.

PETITION TO DETERMINE PLACE AND MODE OF CROSS-
ING.

The Chicago, Madison & Northern Railroad Co., Petitioners,

VS.

*The Chicago & Western Indiana Railroad Co. and the Pitts-
burgh, Ft. Wayne and Chicago Railway Co., Respondents.*

OPINIONS OF COMMISSION.

Opinion by Phillips, Commissioner:

Petitioner proposes to cross with its two main tracks, the tracks of the respondent companies upon and near Stewart avenue, in the city of Chicago. It alleges that objection to the proposed crossing is made by respondents, and asks that this Commission enter an order under the act of 1889, prescribing the place where and the manner in which said crossing shall be made. Such formal matters are alleged in the petition as bring the case within the statute.

The first point made relates to the jurisdiction of the Commission, which is questioned upon the ground that respondents did not, prior to the exhibiting of the petition, make specific objection to the crossing as now proposed. The statute says: "If in any case *objection be made* to the place or mode of crossing proposed by the company desiring the same, either party may apply to the Board of Railroad and Warehouse Commissioners, etc." Whether objection to the precise proposition now contained in the petition was ever in terms made by respondents or not, there is no doubt at all that objection is now being made to it, and there is further no doubt that objection to any crossing, unguarded by interlocking devices, has all the time existed, whether such objection was ever formally expressed or not. Ordinarily when a defendant concedes the right claimed in a suit, he comes into court offering to perform all that is demanded, and saying he has ever been willing, and thus makes a question as to plaintiff's right to costs. But there can be between these parties no question of costs, because the statute makes petitioner pay the costs, without regard to the fate of its petition. Were this otherwise, that is, were the costs to abide the result of the suit, as in ordinary cases, and were respondents now disclaiming all objection to petitioner's proposition, offering to let the crossing be constructed as proposed, and asking a dismissal at petitioner's cost upon the ground that no objection had ever been made, the position would better commend itself to our ideas of consistency and justice. But to say, "we now object, but did not formally do so before suit, wherefore we ask that petitioner go out of court," (only, it may be added, to come immediately back again with the ~~same~~ proposition now pending), would be, to say the least, taking rather "finer sights" than a due regard for substantial would justify.

The objection to the jurisdiction should therefore be overruled.

It is contended that the crossing as proposed by petitioner will, if constructed, occasion danger and delay, and that the Commission should, as the case stands, do one of two things, namely: First—either refuse the prayer of the petition and deny the crossing altogether; or, Secondly—allow the crossing only upon condition that a system of interlocking switches and signals be put in covering these crossings and such other points in the neighborhood as would necessarily be comprehended in a practical system. It is strenuously urged that this Commission has power to do either of these things.

To these questions a few words will now be devoted.

And first, would it be proper to simply refuse the prayer of this petition, without making any affirmative order for a crossing? The statute says: "After full investigation, and with due regard to safety of life and property, said board shall give a decision *prescribing the place where, and the manner in which said crossing shall be made.*" This is not equivalent to saying, if the proposed crossing is safe and proper the Commission shall authorize it, and, if the contrary, refuse it. Some crossing, in some place and mode, must, in any event, be provided for; and the decision must "prescribe" a crossing, not deny one. It need not necessarily be the same crossing prayed for in the petition, but may differ from that in place and manner, the word "manner" being used in the sense hereinafter assigned to it. We might vary the place of crossing; and we might compel a crossing over or under, or a crossing at a different angle, or a crossing constructed with different frogs or appliances from those proposed. We might, in short, vary the proposition in any particular which refers to the manner of the location of the *tracks* of the one company across the *tracks* of the other company.

But, in this case there is no contention for a crossing in a different place or in a different mode from that proposed. The Commission might, of its own motion, have the neighborhood of the crossing examined by expert engineers with a view to some variation of place or mode. The interests of respondents are, however, a guarantee of as high vigilance to find a better place and mode as would likely be exercised by any experts we could employ; and since no other place or mode claimed to be better is suggested by any of the parties interested, we may safely conclude a grade crossing in the place and manner proposed will occasion as little danger and delay as any we could select; and a decision must, on this point, be made accordingly.

It only remains, therefore, to determine whether the Commission has power to compel the petitioner, or the parties generally concerned, to guard and operate this crossing (which, we have seen, must be authorized), by means of an interlocking system. Is an interlocking plant embraced in, or any part of, a "crossing," as the term is used in the act of 1889?

The question is a new one. In each of the cases arising under this law, previously decided by the Commission, the petitioner stipulated before the Commission to put in and maintain interlocking devices; and the order entered in each case only embodied the stipulation, without the Commission having really considered the question of its power under the statute in the absence of agreement. The question is therefore as open as though nothing had been contained in the former decisions upon the subject.

The respondents in this case have signified their willingness to submit to this Commission on their part the question of interlocking. We might therefore, so far as they are concerned, if petitioner were also consenting, make an order covering the subject by way of arbitration, exercising not the power conferred by statute, but by the parties. But the petitioner is not consenting, and stands upon its legal rights.

It may be premised that an interlocking machine would be of no efficacy, unless provision were fully made for its maintenance and future operation. It would therefore be idle to order the construction of a

plant, unless we have power to go further and order its maintenance, and its use at this crossing, and clearly, if one power exists, the other must exist also, or the law is futile.

It may be further premised that interlocking devices have more particular reference to the speed of travel than they do to safety. The legislature of this State has for the safety of the public, provided by general statute a certain measure of caution to be observed at all railway crossings, which statutory regulation is as follows:

"All trains running on any railroad in this State, when approaching a crossing with another railroad upon the same level, * * * shall be brought to a full stop before reaching the same, and within eight hundred (800) feet therefrom, and the engineer or other person in charge of the engine attached to the train shall positively ascertain that the way is clear and that the train can safely resume its course before proceeding to pass the * * * crossing."

This precaution is enjoined under a penalty of \$200.00 against the engineer in charge of the train, and \$200.00 against the corporation. Lately, interlocking devices have been brought into use, by means of which the delay from these full stops at crossings may be avoided; and in 1887 the legislature of this State passed a law recognizing these devices, under which law the operating companies are empowered to voluntarily interlock their crossings, and with the sanction and approval of this Commission, run them without stopping. It is the desire for speed, far more than safety, which leads to interlocking. Indeed, it may be questioned whether the use of any device yet invented is more safe than to obey the statutory injunction and come to a full stop.

If, now, we examine more closely this statute of 1887 which did confessedly give to the Commission certain power with reference to interlocking plants, we find that when the legislature had this subject of interlocking before them, and were professedly acting upon it, they gave to the Railway Commission no power to force interlocking upon any unwilling company. Under that act the companies must, by mutual agreement, set up, equip and arrange for the operation of the interlocking plant, leaving to the Commission only the function of inspection and approval. The legislature must have known that there were many old crossings in Illinois where the danger is as great as at the new ones, and the delay, vexatious to travelers. Yet they did not see fit to provide for any other or further interlocking plants than could be mutually agreed upon by the companies concerned. Had the legislature intended to invest this Commission with power to guard the public against danger and delay by means of interlocking devices, is it not reasonable to suppose they would have conferred that power clearly and unmistakably, and have done so in the act upon that particular subject, instead of leaving so important a power to be gathered incidentally, and purely by implication, from an act embracing a wholly different subject matter? And would they not, while about it, have made the power broad enough to include other crossings besides newly constructed ones, which other crossings are as much within the mischief as any; and their equipment could surely as well be paid for by old established companies as that of new crossings could by new and presumably weaker companies?

Nor is this all. This act of 1887, while giving the Commission power to approve crossing devices voluntarily put in, confers no power whatever to compel their continued use and maintenance. The companies which mutually agree to interlock a joint crossing, may mutually agree to abandon the system, and go back to the statutory method of coming to a full stop, and this Commission could exercise no control over their free choice in that particular. It would be interesting to know how we would justify the exercise of a greater power under the act of 1889, which says no word about interlocking, than we could exercise under the act of 1887, which does professedly embody the legislative will upon that subject. And, as before observed, unless we can compel the maintenance and use of a plant, to order its construction, at a cost of many thousands of dollars, would be sheer idleness and folly.

Such being the state of the law when the act of 1889 was passed, let us now look at that particular act, and see if it confers any such power as is here claimed, either expressly given, or necessarily implied.

The most careful reading of the statute reveals to me no power whatever, over the subject of interlocking. The act meets only the case of how one company may cross "*with its tracks* the main lines of another railroad company." The confusion has arisen entirely through a misapprehension of what is included in the word "crossing." It is one thing for a company to cross the line of another "*with its tracks*," and another thing to cross the same point afterwards *with its trains*. The manner in which the tracks shall cross is one thing, and the manner in which trains may cross, or pass, and how they shall be operated, is quite another thing. When we speak of a railway crossing, we properly refer to the position of the tracks of two roads, and not to the passage of trains.

If the act is read with this distinction clearly in view, there seems to be no doubt as to its meaning. The title of the act, which may properly be referred to aid in a doubtful construction, is in these words: "An act in relation to the crossing of one railway by another, and to prevent danger to life and property *from grade crossings*." Clearly, "the danger to life and property" which was to be prevented was that arising "from grade crossings", as distinguished from those crossings which are not at grade; that is to say: crossings either over or under, and nothing further than this was in the mind of the man who drafted this title.

Passing a step further we find the general declaration that a company "desiring to cross with its tracks the main lines of another * * * shall *construct the crossing* at such place and in such manner as will not unnecessarily impede or endanger the travel, etc." It does not say the crossing shall be so guarded after construction as to secure reasonable safety and expedition, but shall be so "constructed" in the first instance as to secure that end. Unless the construction of a crossing can be said to include also both the construction and the operation of an interlocking plant, it is difficult to see what authority so far appears to do the acts contended for.

The same may be said of that clause of the statute which directs a decision "prescribing the place where and the manner in which said *crossing shall be made*." Here is nothing affecting the manner in which a crossing shall be guarded or the manner in which trains shall be operated across it, and it is a "crossing" that is to be "prescribed" and "made" and not an interlocking plant.

Section 2 of the act provides that "the railroad company seeking the crossing shall in all cases bear the entire expense of"—what? An interlocking plant to regulate the operation of trains at the crossing? Not at all. Shall "bear the entire expense of the construction thereof." How does the Commission from this derive the power to make petitioner bear another and much larger expense, not arising from the construction of the crossing proper, but having relation entirely to the manner of operating the trains of the companies?

It is agreed that an interlocking plant, to be effective at this point, must embrace certain crossing points on the Chicago & Alton and the Santa Fe tracks and right of way. Mr. Thomas, general manager of one of the respondents, testified on this point as follows:—

"Q. At Stewart avenue could an interlocking system be put in that would be safe, that did not include all of the tracks at that point?"

"A. It should include all of them."

"Q. That would include what tracks?"

"A. The Madison & Northern, Ft. Wayne & Chicago, Alton, and Western Indiana, and I think the interlocking of the lead track of the Santa Fe."

The Alton and Santa Fe Companies are not before us, and not parties to this proceeding. How, therefore, could we make an order affecting their property and controlling the operation of their trains, which would be

binding upon them? It involves only an elementary principle to say that parties who have not had their day in court cannot be bound by the judgment, even where the subject matter of the proceeding is within the jurisdiction. But where jurisdiction of parties and subject matter are both wanting, the very suggestion of such an order becomes little short of preposterous.

To further illustrate the want of power in the premises, suppose the respondent companies were consenting to nothing in this case, did not even come before us with any suggestion, as would be their undoubted right, and we upon looking over the crossing proposed should believe it improper unless protected by interlocking, could we in such a case make an order which would contemplate the taking or use of respondents' grounds, by the location on them of pipes, boxes, wires, signals and perhaps a tower house, some of the appliances extending thousands of feet upon their lands, the use of which being imperatively commanded, would materially and permanently affect the operation of their trains, and all without their consent? Certainly we would have no such power. And it does not even tend to answer the difficulty to say the order would in that case be for the benefit of respondents. Parties have some right to judge for themselves what is beneficial to their property, and those who would take that delicate function from them must show undoubted legal authority.

That this subject is one within the power of constitutional police regulation by the legislature is not questioned, but the legislature must act before the Commission can act. The case before us cannot be decided upon sentimental notions as to what the law ought to be, but must be met upon the plain issue of what the law in fact is. Nothing in the act of 1887 or 1889 empowers this Commission to compel interlocking, in the absence of the mutual agreement of the parties, nor can any such power be said with reason to be implied as being necessarily involved in the carrying out of the objects of either of those statutes. The precautions for the public safety which are put within the discretion of this Commission by the act of 1889 are such and only such as arise out of a choice of the different ways in which the crossings of railway tracks proper may be constructed, the most obvious distinction being between those which are built on a level and those which are separated, one passing over the other. The question why a larger power has not been conferred may properly be addressed to the legislature.

It is my opinion an order should be entered prescribing a crossing in the place and manner designated in the petition.

Crim. Commissioner—I concur in the conclusions reached in the foregoing opinion.

Wheeler, chairman, dissenting.

I present my views in the case under advisement with great reluctance, but, being unable to reconcile the opinion of a majority of the Commission with the facts and law in the case as I understand them, I am led to dissent from certain of their findings for the following reasons:

My interpretation of the statute under which this hearing is held gives it a broader scope, and a more extended jurisdiction, to the Commission than my associates allow, and, I may add, broader and more extended than the learned counsel for the parties to the controversy admit.

The right of the petitioning company to cross the tracks of the respondent companies at some point is conceded, and no other point being suggested, it may be assumed that the place proposed is the most feasible and the best that can be selected. Therefore, "the place where said crossing shall be made" may be considered established. Thus far the Commission seem to be of one opinion.

The vital point in the controversy, and upon which our views differ, is found in "the manner in which said crossings shall be made." What does the word "manner," as used in the statute, mean? How far does the

question of "manner" extend? Must we confine it to that portion of the respondent companies' "main lines" actually inclosed by the petitioning company's tracks?

The statute under which this case is brought is somewhat obscure, inasmuch as it does not specifically define the meaning of the term, and upon the conclusion reached depends the extent of the jurisdiction of the Commission. The cost of constructing the crossing is provided for in the act; aside from that, the only reservation is found in the question of "damage," which, with the extent of jurisdiction, covers the entire matter in controversy.

The enacting clause of the statute clearly indicates that the intent of its framers was "to prevent danger to life and property from grade crossings," and in its first section it is expressly stipulated that the Commission shall have "due regard to safety of life and property," and "shall prescribe the manner in which said crossing shall be made." Can there be any doubt about the intention of the law-making power? The question of safety is made paramount—the first to be considered—one that must not be lost sight of; therefore, I conclude that a reasonable construction of this clause places all matters pertaining to the question of safety within the jurisdiction of the Commission, including the side tracks, switches, turn-outs, etc., of all companies adjacent to and affected by the crossing. All of these, in my opinion, are covered by the statute, and must be subject to the restrictions contemplated by the law.

Assuming this view to be correct, do we not fail in our duty if we ignore the plain intent of the law and allow a crossing in a locality teeming with human life, without such safety appliances as will reduce the element of danger to a minimum?

But, it is argued, safety appliances concern only the operation of railroads, a question not referred to this Commission by the act, therefore it is outside of and beyond our jurisdiction. In answer, permit me to say that while I claim no right to impose conditions on, or in any manner interfere with, any crossing constructed or located prior to the time the present act went into effect, i. e. July 1, 1889, I am clearly of the opinion that we not only have the right, but it is our solemn duty, to require proper safeguards for public protection in all cases arising subsequent to that date, failing in which, the community will hold us responsible for any disaster that may occur.

Again, we are told that interests other than those of the parties to this case will be disturbed by the construction and operation of safety appliances, interests not submitted to us for adjudication, and any decision of this Commission affecting such interests will not be recognized as binding by the parties thereto. In reply, it may be said that, while all parties which may be directly or indirectly interested in the decision of the Commission have an undoubted right to a hearing, our authority to act in the premises is not abrogated by their failure to appear, and our duty to render a decision covering the whole question remains whether they do or do not appear.

How far the question of damages extends is, perhaps, more difficult to determine. It may not, however, be unreasonable to claim that it covers only such property as is rendered wholly or partially useless by the tracks of the petitioning company and the necessary safety appliances. In my opinion it does not include the cost of such appliances, their operation or maintenance, these being an expense, not a damage. My conclusions therefore are:

1st. The law as enacted gives the Commission full jurisdiction over all questions pertaining to crossings at grade, cost of crossing and damage excepted.

2d. The Commission has the right to name the place of crossing, and the right to prescribe the manner as well.

3d. In prescribing the manner, the Commission has the power to require such appliances as will insure a reasonable degree of safety to the public.

4th. The cost of constructing, operating and maintaining the necessary safety appliances does not fall under the question of damage.

5th. The Commission has no right to grant the request of the petitioning company without requiring such safety appliances as will render the crossing practically safe.

The following order was entered by Commission :

CHICAGO, MADISON & NORTHERN R. R. Co.,	} <i>Petition to determine place and mode of crossing.</i>
VERSUS	
CHICAGO & WESTERN INDIANA R. R. Co. AND PITTSBURGH, FT. WAYNE & CHICAGO RY. Co. }	

In the matter of the above petition it is decided and ordered by the Commission that petitioner have leave to cross with its tracks the main lines and tracks of the respondent companies at the place and in the manner designated in its petition, and as shown upon the plat attached to said petition.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS, April 17, 1890.

No. 3.

PETITION FOR LEAVE TO CROSS.

St. Louis & Eastern Railway Co., Petitioner.

vs.

Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City Railroad Co., Respondent.

Opinion by Phillips, Commissioner:

This is an application of the St. Louis & Eastern Railway Company for leave to cross with its proposed track the track of the Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City Railroad Company at a point about three-quarters of a mile east of the station called Peters, in Madison county, Illinois. Respondent resists, alleging that a crossing at the point proposed by petitioner will "unnecessarily impede and endanger the travel and transportation" upon respondent's road.

Respondent, however, offers to allow a crossing at the point proposed, provided petitioner will at its own expense set up and maintain interlocking at such crossing; or it offers to permit petitioner to cross without interlocking at a point a little over a half mile further west than the place proposed. Petitioner declines both these offers and insists upon the crossing proposed without interlocking.

Respondent alleges in its answer "that the proposed crossing is at the foot of a working grade of from thirty-five to forty feet to the mile; that the result of such crossing will be to compel all trains upon the Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City Railroad to stop at the foot of such grade, and thereby lose the momentum necessary to carry trains of ordinary size over such grade;" that a crossing at this point will necessitate diminishing the train load on respondent's road by several cars, thus increasing the expense of operation, as well as delaying and interfering with traffic; and that its management had already decided upon a change of grade at the proposed point of crossing, rendered necessary in the economical operation of its road, which road, it is alleged, is in the course of being reconstructed, this grade being among the last to be changed.

The evidence on which we are asked by petitioner to order this crossing is meager and unsatisfactory. Two witnesses testified for complainant, stating in terms (without objection) that a crossing at the point proposed "would not unnecessarily impede or endanger the travel and transportation upon respondent's road," and this general conclusion was, in a manner, supported by further expert theoretical testimony given by the same witnesses.

The testimony does not inform us as to the actual state of traffic on respondent's road, how many and what kind and weight of trains it runs, or any other of the many specific facts which might readily have been made the subject of observation and have been put before the Commission. Neither did

any witness who had had actual experience in handling engines, or in hauling trains over grades of this kind, testify before us. We confess to some prejudice in favor of the notion that the best way to prove how the running of freight trains is affected by the grade at the point of proposed crossing, and what freight locomotives can haul there, and what speed and "momentum" must be acquired at that point to insure the ascent of the grade eastward, would be to show what is actually done by the freight trains that daily pass this point and ascend this grade. No evidence on this line was offered.

The expert testimony offered by complainant was controverted by the chief engineer of respondent, whose testimony substantially and very plausibly supports the objections to this crossing stated in the answer of respondent.

The petitioner held the burden of proof and ought to have made clear, by a preponderance of the evidence, the fact that this crossing will not unnecessarily impede and endanger respondent's traffic. This could not be done by witnesses swearing to that *conclusion* in terms as they did. The general conclusion as to the propriety of the crossing is for the Commission, not for witnesses. Actual facts should have been placed before us on which we could judge.

The railroad first upon the ground gains important rights by the fact of its presence. The use of its line ought not to be lightly interfered with. It was undoubtedly in part the object of the act of 1889, while insuring safety to persons and property transported, to protect established companies in the enjoyment of their rights. One way of arriving at the propriety of a proposed crossing would be to consider whether the line to be crossed would have been built as it is as respects grades, curves, etc., had those building it known a crossing was to be made in the place proposed. Such a test might not be decisive, but is worthy of consideration in every case.

The act of 1889 took away the arbitrary power of new roads to locate crossings at will, and its effect is to put upon them the burden of showing that the crossing will not "unnecessarily" impede and endanger the travel and transportation upon the road crossed. They should point the Commission a clear way to order the crossing desired with proper regard to existing rights and uses. This we cannot say has been done in the case before us. Giving due force to the testimony, the question remains in serious doubt.

In this case it appears from an unchallenged estimate that the increased expense of placing the crossing at the point a half mile further west, as contended for by respondent, would be only \$8,594; unless petitioner should be obliged to purchase nine acres of ground between its right of way and the creek on the south, in which case the cost would be increased to \$10,844, estimating this land at \$250 per acre, which is, it seems to the Commission, a very liberal if not extravagant allowance. Thus, we see, the change contended for by respondent does not involve a large outlay by petitioner, and we are unwilling to permanently obstruct or cripple an established line, or take a serious chance of doing so, where the expenditure of a few thousand dollars will remove all objections.

The petitioning company acquired no equities in the proposed crossing by prematurely grading its road to the point. The correspondence submitted shows the officers of respondent never, expressly or by any fair implication, consented to the crossing unless petitioner would interlock it in the manner stated in the form of contract submitted by them. This is not a case like that of the Chicago, Madison & Northern, where the right of way was acquired and eighty per cent. of the work done before this crossing law was passed. If petitioner, with the law before it, and without either an order of the Commission or the consent of respondent, chose to grade its road for a crossing, it did so on its own responsibility, and at its own peril.

Under the evidence as it stands before us, we are unable to find that a crossing in the place proposed will not, in the language of the act, "unnecessarily impede or endanger the travel or transportation upon the railway crossed."

DECISION.

It is therefore decided and ordered that the petitioner, the St. Louis & Eastern Railway Company, have leave, and it is hereby empowered, to cross with its track the main line and track of the Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City Railroad Company at grade at a point in the N. E. quarter of the N. W. quarter of Section 4, Town 3, North Range 8, West of the 3d P. M., 2,940 feet west of the point named for said crossing in the petition filed in this case.

The point of crossing hereby established is marked by the letter "B" upon the plat submitted by petitioner and now with the files in this cause, to which plat reference is hereby made for greater certainty.

It is ordered that petitioner pay all costs and expenses of the Commission incurred under its petition.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS, January 7, 1891.

No. 4.

EXTORTION AND UNJUST DISCRIMINATION.

COMPLAINT.

Joseph Taylor, of O'Fallon, Ill., Complainant,

VS.

The Ohio & Mississippi Ry. Co., Respondent.

OPINION OF COMMISSION.

Opinion by Phillips, Commissioner:

Complainant, Joseph Taylor, in 1888, opened a coal mine in St. Clair county, some twelve miles from East St. Louis, between the stations O'Fallon and Alma, and a distance of 2,800 feet north of the line of defendant's railroad. While the shaft was being sunk, Taylor applied to president Barnard, of the railway company, to put down a track from the railroad to his coal shaft, which the president declined to do. The negotiations were partly oral and partly by correspondence.

On July 11, President Barnard wrote Taylor:

"How do you propose to get the coal, provided the track is laid from your mine, to the tracks on the company's right of way? Have you counted upon the company being willing to make the delay and the extra run without charge, or do you propose to haul it by mules or horses, or otherwise." Also, "With satisfactory assurance that a much increased business can be secured at such rates as we can get, or are willing to make, on coal, I shall be able to determine to what extent we can afford to put money into side tracks to so aid the development of your property."

On August 13, President Barnard again wrote Taylor:

"I have to advise you that this company will only undertake to put down such tracks as may be on its right of way. If you wish to reach your shaft, therefore, you had better make arrangements to procure rails, spikes, ties, etc., for the laying of the tracks yourself. Another thing to be considered will be the getting of cars to and from the mine, as we cannot afford, with the low rates that we get from Alma to St. Louis, to stop trains on the main line and run engines a half a mile from it to get loads and place empties."

And on November 13, Taylor wrote Barnard:

"In regard to grading, etc., and side tracks at my mine would say that the grading, etc., is about completed, and that I have several teams at work in order to have all in readiness. Wish you would rush the matter and have the material on hand at the time. Hope you will use every effort to have the rails, etc., on the ground without delay, as I am really anxious to have it done as soon as possible, as will soon be to the coal."

To this Barnard replied November 14, "I have your letter of the 13th. inst., but cannot understand what you mean. I told you when you were here I would have Mr. Stevens endeavor to find out what you could get rails for and let you know. I told you also where I thought you could buy. This company has not undertaken to procure material for your track, and not only that, I advised you about where you could get them yourself, and I think Mr. Stevens may have told you (I am not sure of this), where you could buy and at what price. I told you we would only lay the track necessary for the connection so much as run on the O. & M. right of way. You cannot have misunderstood this."

Taylor subsequently at his own expense built his track, with some advice and help from the company's engineer. The track thus built extends from the company's main track and at substantially a right angle therewith, a distance of 2,800 feet to the mine.

There was other correspondence and negotiations, but the above suffices to show the circumstance under which Mr. Taylor's track was built, and the connection made with the defendant's road.

About three miles east of Taylor's mine, and further from East St. Louis, are the Consumers' and Crowson's mines, both of which are situated practically upon the right of way of defendant, the switches and tracks leading to them being almost, if not entirely, upon the company's right of way. The principal point of the complaint is that defendant company charges from the Consumers' and Crowson's mines 45cts. per ton freight for shipment of coal to East St. Louis, while from Taylor's mine it charges the same price, 45cts. per ton, and in addition thereto, one dollar per car as a switching charge for the service of placing empties and carrying loads from Taylor's mine to the main track, 2,800 feet. No switching charge is made in the case of shipments from the Consumers' and Crowson's mines. The facts are not disputed. The company concedes having made these switching charges on all of Taylor's shipments, and avows its purpose to continue them.

Complainant claims this extra dollar per car constitutes both an extortion and an unjust discrimination under the statute of this State; and the Commission is asked to prosecute the defendant for the penalties denounced by the statute against these offenses.

So far as extortion is concerned, the case is not difficult. If the company may rightly make a switching charge for the transportation of cars over Taylor's road, then the amount of one dollar per car, being within the maximum switching charge fixed by the Commission, can not be said to be extortionate. The real question is whether the company may rightfully charge at all for this service. If it may not, that is to say, if for the present purpose the track laid by Taylor is to be regarded as part of defendant's road, and his mine is to be regarded as a station on that road, as contended, then the charge as to discrimination would seem to be made out.

We have examined the numerous Illinois decisions cited by complainant's counsel. Most of these arose under section 5, article 13, of the constitution, which provides:

"All railroad companies receiving and transporting grain in bulk or otherwise, shall deliver the same to any consignee thereof, or any elevator or public warehouse to which it may be consigned, providing such consignee, or the elevator or public warehouse can be reached by any track owned, leased or used, or which can be used by such railroad companies:

and all railroad companies *shall permit connections to be made* with their tracks, so that any such consignee and any public warehouse, coal bank or coal yard may be reached by the cars on said railroad."

Under the above provision, the Supreme Court holds (in language no more plain, it may be observed, than the constitution itself,) that railroads are bound to deliver cars of grain at the particular warehouse or elevator to which they are consigned, if accessible by any track belonging to the company or which the company has the right to use.

Vincent vs. C. & A. R. R., 49 Ill. 33.

People vs. C. & A. R. R., 55 Ill. 95.

C. & N. W. Ry. Co. vs. People, 56 Ill. 365.

Hoyr vs. C., B. & Q. 93 Ill. 601.

And in such a case no extra switching charge for delivering cars of grain at an elevator reached by such track, can be made.

Vincent vs. C. & A. R. R. Co., 49 Ill. 33.

But the company would not be bound to procure for that purpose from another company, or person, the right to use a track required for such delivery.

People vs. C. & A. R. R. Co., 55 Ill. 95.

And, it seems, where the delivery would occasion great inconvenience to the company, it would be excused from such delivery, even though having a right to use the necessary tracks.

C. & N. W. Ry. Co. vs. People, 56 Ill. 365.

All these cases hold that any switch or track extending from a company's main track to any such elevator, whether such track is owned or leased by such company or not, if put there for the accommodation of the elevator, by some arrangement under which the road can use it, is to be regarded as a part of the company's line *for the purpose of the delivery of grain*.

The foregoing cases, however, relate solely to the delivery of cars of grain, which is expressly enjoined by the constitution.

With regard to coal mines, the constitutional provision quoted above is, simply, that the company "*shall permit connection to be made with their tracks*," which it will be seen is essentially different in its terms from the provision in regard to grain deliveries.

In a late case it was held that a railroad company could not disconnect a switch which had been laid to a coal mine and which had for several years been used for making shipments of coal therefrom.

C. & A. R. R. Co. v. Suffern, 129 Ill. 274.

Commenting on the above constitutional provision in its relation to coal mine connections, the court says, in the Suffern case:

"It was the evident design of the constitutional provision above quoted to compel the railroads to furnish the coal mines in the State with all necessary facilities for the shipment and transportation of coal. As the railroad companies must deliver grain to all elevators upon the lines of their road, or connected therewith by side tracks, so also must they receive shipments of coal from all coal mines on the lines of their roads or connected therewith by side tracks."

The *Suffern case* was a petition for *mandamus*, and, as bearing upon the present inquiry, that case enlightens us no further than to show that Taylor has the undoubted right, under the foregoing clause of the con-

stitution, to have his track and mine connected with defendant's road. The constitution commands defendant to "permit connection to be made" with Taylor's coal mine. This it has done. The constitution did not command defendant to build a track, extending 2,800 feet off from its own right of way, to reach this mine; and this it refused to do.

The connection has been made; and no question arises here, as in the *Suffern case*, as to any right of the company to sever such connection. It is not proposing to sever it. Nor does any question arise here as to the right of defendant to refuse to receive and transport coal from Taylor's mine. It has not so refused. What the company does refuse to do is to take empty cars from the track to the mine, and loaded cars from the mine to the track, 2,800 feet, unless it is paid for that service extra, over and above the regular freight rate which obtains from the point of connection.

Undoubtedly, if Taylor would arrange to deliver his coal at the right of way he would avoid this charge, and would then have the right to have his coal transported at the regular rate of freight, and no more. The real question is, has he a right, under the above quoted provision of the constitution, to compel defendant to operate his 2,800 feet of railroad without compensation? It seems to the Commission he has not that right. If he may compel defendant to operate his 2,800 feet of road gratis, may not some one else compel it to operate a road a mile, two miles, or five miles in length, gratis? Where will the line be drawn?

Does the declaration of the court in the *Suffern case*, that this constitutional injunction was intended "to compel the railroads to furnish the coal mines in the State with all necessary facilities for the shipment and transportation of coal" mean that the railroads are compelled to furnish those facilities gratuitously, long distances beyond their own switches and tracks, wherever the mine owner may build a track and tender it? Does the further declaration of the Court in that case, that railroad companies "must receive shipments of coal from all coal mines on the lines of their roads or connected therewith by side tracks" mean that such companies must receive such shipments at some distant point upon a track built by others, or does it mean only that the roads shall receive such shipments at their own respective rights of way on switches or in yards established for the purpose?

We think such a construction as is contended for would extend the constitution far beyond the cases meant to be provided for by its framers.

We can well understand how a company might, by its own acts, or by contract, bind itself to perform such a service gratuitously. We can understand how, in many cases, railroad companies, for the sake of developing the coal fields along their rights of way, thereby enhancing their own trade and earnings, might enter into arrangements with coal operators, whereby they would be estopped to make switching charges, even in cases where the extra service might be larger than is here demanded of defendant. Doubtless some of the cases related in the testimony offered by complainant, as to the practice upon other roads in this same coal field, are of this character. But the fact, if it exists, that other roads have made such arrangements, furnishes no ground upon which to predicate a rule of law which will bind defendant. It may be, if it were shown that this same company was accustomed to perform a like service for other mine owners on its line, and competing in this field, without charging for it, that fact would furnish a basis for a prosecution for discrimination. But the other mines, whose shipments have been compared with complainant's for the purpose of making out the discrimination, are located immediately upon defendant's right of way. It performs no switching service for those companies, so far as the evidence discloses.

In the case of complainant, it cannot with justice be claimed in the light of the evidence, as was claimed by the petition, that defendant either

promised complainant, or by its acts induced him to believe that it would operate his track without charge. He was plainly told by letter, as he admits, and in conversation as President Barnard testifies, that he must pay for this service, and that too before his track was laid, or any considerable work had been done upon his mine.

While we realize fully the disadvantage under which complainant labors in the present state of competition felt in the coal trade, we are not convinced that the law affords any remedy, and greatly fear that a prosecution for either extortion or discrimination would fail.

The petition will therefore be dismissed.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS, September 20, 1890.

No. 5.
EXTORTION.

COMPLAINT.

P. Wonderly & Co., Complainants.

VS.

The Wabash Railroad Co., Respondent.

OPINION OF COMMISSION.

Opinion by Wheeler, Chairman:

Complainants charge the Wabash Railroad Company with a violation of the Commissioners' rules governing switching charges, claiming the revenue collected to be excessive, and ask the Commission to compel the railroad company to refund the overcharge.

This cannot be effected without a suit at law and before this step is taken we must consider this question: Can the charge be proven? On the evidence furnished we think not. If complainants will carefully consider the rule referred to we think they will conclude with us that the business does not fall under the rule. "Switching," as defined by the rule "includes the hauling of loaded cars from the station yards * * * *
to the junction of other railroads when not billed from stations on its own road to said junction, and from junctions of other railroads to the stations, side tracks, * * * * situated on the tracks owned or controlled by the railroad company doing said switching. In other words, switching is that transfer charge ordinarily made for moving loaded cars for short distances for which no regular way bill is made, * * * *."

In the case under advisement it does not appear that the business done is of the character covered by the rule; on the contrary the expense bills before us are in the form of regular billing from station to station with revenue charges based on the maximum rates prescribed by our predecessors and still in force. Possibly these rates are excessive, but, till reduced by the proper authority they must be accepted as legal, unless discrimination is shown, which thus far is not claimed.

After a careful consideration of the facts confronting us the Commission is of the opinion that a charge of extortion cannot be sustained, and being hopeless of securing an affirmative verdict we do not deem it expedient to commence a suit with almost the certainty of being thrown out of court. If complainants can furnish evidence that will insure success, the Commission will gladly bring suit; but as the case now stands we think their good judgment will endorse our position as above indicated.

The complaint will therefore be stricken from the docket.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS, Oct. 24, 1890.

No. 6.

REFUSAL TO SWITCH CARS.

COMPLAINT.

Union Brewing Company, of Peoria. Complainant,

vs.

The Chicago, Burlington & Quincy R. R. Co., Respondent.

OPINION OF COMMISSION.

Opinion by Phillips, Commissioner:

This is a complaint by the Union Brewing Co., a corporation of Peoria, Ill., against the C., B. & Q. R. R. Co., alleging a refusal to switch cars.

Switching has been defined by the Commission to be, "the hauling of loaded cars from station yards, side tracks, elevators or warehouses to the junctions of other railroads when not billed from stations on its own road to said junctions, and from junctions of other railroads to the stations, side tracks, elevators and warehouses situated on the tracks owned or controlled by the railroad company doing said switching. In other words, switching is that transfer charge ordinarily made for moving loaded cars for short distances for which no regular way bill is made, and which do not move between two regularly established stations on the same road."

A particular car loaded with "cerealine", and billed to complainant, was transported to Peoria by the C., C., C. & St. L. Ry. Co., and was either by the carrying company, or an intervening company delivered to respondent, and marked for "Carson's track." Carson's track is a team track of respondent, one block from complainant's brewery, on which complainant was accustomed to receive its cars of freight. Respondent after receiving this car in fact switched it to Carson's track, not knowing it was for the complainant; but, upon learning whose car it was, the agent of respondent ordered it taken away; and it was then placed upon a team track of the P. & P. U. road, in a place considerably further from the brewery, and much less convenient for complainant, where it was finally unloaded.

Respondent declined to switch this car to Carson's track (or rather to leave it there after inadvertently switching it), and declines generally to switch any cars for complainant, because of a controversy arising between them as to the payment of certain car-service charges, levied through the Car Service Association of Peoria, for the detention of two cars which had

been previously switched by respondent to Carson's track for complainant; which two cars last mentioned had not been unloaded by complainant within forty-eight hours after arrival, which is the time allowed free of charge by the rules of the Car Service Association.

The Car Service Association is composed of the several roads doing business in Peoria. Its object is to prevent the unreasonable detention of cars by consignees; and under its rules a charge of one dollar per day is made against any consignee for each day he fails to unload a car, after the expiration of forty-eight hours from the time such car is set by the railroad company in a proper place for unloading.

In the case of the two cars upon which the unpaid car-service charges were made, the brewing company claims that the railroad company was at fault in failing to give notice of arrival. It also claims that the charge of one dollar per day is unreasonable in amount. The respondent, upon the other hand, claims it was not its duty to give complainant notice of the arrival of these cars, that being the duty of the company transporting them to Peoria, a duty which in this case respondent further insists was in fact performed by the C., C., C. & St. L. Ry. Co. Respondent further claims that the two cars named were placed in plain view of the brewery and only a block away, and that complainant in fact knew the cars were there in time to have unloaded them within the forty-eight hours, if its agents had seen fit; and it urges further that these car-service charges of one dollar per day for detaining cars are proper and reasonable, and that they are in the true interest of shippers, since they prevent the rolling stock of railroads from being tied up to the great disadvantage of those shippers who, for that reason, often cannot get cars.

We thus state the controversy as to these car-service charges, not for the purpose of deciding it, but rather as a help to arrive at what we deem the real question before us. We content ourselves with the single observation that since the statute of this State (Sec. 5, Act "Receiving, Carrying and Delivering Grain,") provides that a consignee of grain transported in bulk "shall have twenty-four hours, *free of expense*, after actual notice of arrival, etc., in which to remove the same from the cars of such railroad corporation," there would seem to be an implied right under the statute to charge for a longer detention than the twenty-four hours which the statute names. Indeed, no reason is perceived in law or justice why an unreasonable and unnecessary detention of cars by consignees should not be paid for; and the Car Service Association seems from the proof before us to be only an agency established to keep account of claims so arising, and enforce them. The charges so made would have to be reasonable, under all the circumstances. The statute does not seem to refer the matter of fixing the maximum of such demurrage charges to this Commission; and the question probably did not occur to the law makers. Car demurrage is an important subject, which has arisen, in a practical way, only within late years, and long after our statute for the regulation of railroads was passed. It does not, however, follow that, because there is no statutory regulation of the question, there is no law. The charge, as before observed, must be reasonable; and what is reasonable in a given case must depend upon the facts of that case, and be arrived at, if the parties cannot themselves agree, by a judicial determination, in a court competent to try the question. Whether or not the seven dollar car-service or demurrage charge made for the detention of the two cars in question is reasonable, under all the circumstances, can only be determined authoritatively and judicially, when the parties carry the case into court. Not being a court for any such purpose, this Commission cannot determine it.

We do not even assume to decide that "cerealine" is "grain" within the meaning of the statute above cited. The nature of the article has not been very fully explained. It is a product of corn, the hull and germ being removed, and is used as a part substitute for malt. We have assumed it to be "grain" in the observations above made.

Respondent does not deny the refusal to switch cars, but expressly avows it; and the important question is, has the railroad company shown a state

of facts which will excuse it from switching cars for complainant to Carson's track. In justification of its refusal the railroad company alleges two grounds, which may be stated in the language of its own answer, as follows:

1. "This company further states, that it does not do or hold itself open to do, a general switching business in the city of Peoria, but states that the service heretofore rendered to the said Union Brewing Co., in so switching these cars, was done for the accommodation of said Union Brewing Co., and are not such services as this company is compelled by law to perform."

2. "This company further denies that the railroad companies, centering in Peoria, and forming such association, have violated any law of the State of Illinois; and it asserts that the rules and regulations of said association are reasonable and lawful, and for the public good, and necessary for the protection of said railroad companies; and it further asserts that the charges herein complained of are just, reasonable and lawful, * * * and that in refusing to switch the cars of the said Union Brewing Co., shipped over foreign lines, until said just and reasonable charges, heretofore exacted, are paid, it has acted in accordance with the law."

The first ground stated seems to imply, that unless a railroad company holds itself out to do "a general switching business," it is under no legal obligation to switch cars. On this we observe that if respondent were confining itself strictly to handling only such cars at Peoria as it transports thither upon its own line, and if "Carson's track" were a track used by it exclusively for the accommodation of its patrons who ship cars to Peoria over its own line, the case would stand on a basis entirely different from that presented by the evidence. Then the question would be presented whether or not the switching of cars from one point to another within the same city, for which no way bill is made, is a service by law demandable from a railway company which does not ordinarily do a switching business.

If this were in fact the case before us, we should hesitate before holding that a switching service can in no case be legally demanded of a railroad company, unless such company does a general switching business. The principle upon which a distinction would be made, between the obligation to haul one mile, and the obligation to haul ten, is very difficult to perceive; and the interests of a patron might become as vitally involved in the one service as in the other. If one wishes a switching service only, and is willing to pay for it, why can he not command the service?

It is, however, unnecessary here to decide any such question. The evidence amply shows that respondent is accustomed to switch cars at Peoria in case of shipments not originating on its own line. It has numerous patrons for whom it switches cars, turned over by other roads, and switches them, too, to the particular track known as "Carson's track." Receipted bills of respondent issued from its "Switching Department," showing the switching of seventy-one such cars at one dollar each, switching charge, have been filed by complainant in this case. Moreover, the company did in fact switch the car in question, supposing it to be the car of another patron, but removed it upon learning it was for complainant. The fact that respondent does switching in the city of Peoria is really not denied. What is denied is that it does "a general switching business."

The question, therefore, is not whether a road which does no switching can by law be made to switch cars, but whether a road may switch for some, and refuse to switch for others; whether it may accommodate some patrons upon a convenient track and arbitrarily exclude others from the same privilege, making them go for their goods to another track less convenient.

We believe the position of respondent upon this question is wholly untenable. The principle of law is fundamental that railroads must treat all alike. They must accommodate all that apply in the order of their applications, extending favors to none, and excluding none from equal par-

ticipation in the use of their facilities. They perform a public calling, to be exercised impartially for every member of the public they were created to serve.

These principles have been so often and so universally held by all courts of the common law that we deem a citation of authorities unnecessary. Indeed, nothing could be more dangerous in practice than to allow the railroads which wield such powerful instrumentalities, on the use of which the welfare of every citizen more or less depends, to choose for themselves whom they will serve. Armed with such a power, the railroads of the land could build up or destroy at will both private fortunes and communities.

We, therefore, are of opinion that since respondent switches cars at Peoria for some of its patrons, it is under a legal obligation to switch impartially for all who apply, and who tender its reasonable charges. We hold, when respondent switched cars for complainant to Carson's track, it performed, not a mere "accommodation," but a legal duty.

The second ground alleged for refusal to perform this switching service remains to be considered: namely, the refusal of complainant to pay the charges for detention of the two former cars. As before remarked, we cannot decide this controversy. We are of opinion, however, that whether this particular charge be legally collectible or not, its non-payment cannot justify a refusal to switch cars for complainant. When complainant demands of the Burlington company a service such as it performs in Peoria for others, tendering it its reasonable charges, that company cannot excuse itself from exercising its legal functions because of an unsettled controverted account, arising out of a wholly different transaction. If complainant owes it for unreasonably detaining cars, the courts are open to it. The account must there be ultimately settled. The railroad company cannot, in our view, determine this question for itself, or hold its switching facilities in the city of Peoria as a mere "accommodation" by the optional use of which it can compel payment of a past disputed claim. This unsettled claim, it will be observed, is not for a switching service, but for another thing—the detention of cars. It could not be known in advance that further car-service charges would accrue upon the cars respondent has been refusing to handle for complainant.

We are of opinion that respondent is not released from the legal duty of switching, by the failure of complainant to pay demurrage charges.

The only question now remaining concerns the remedy. The act creating this Commission provides:

"Said Commissioners shall examine into the condition and management, and all other matters concerning the business of railroads and warehouses in this state, so far as the same pertain to the relation of such roads and warehouses to the public, and to the accommodation and security of persons doing business therewith. * * * * *

And whenever it shall come to their knowledge either upon complaint or otherwise, or they shall have reason to believe that any such law or laws have been, or are being violated, they shall prosecute, or cause to be prosecuted, all corporations or persons guilty of such violation."

In section 17 of the same act it is provided:

"It shall be the duty of the Attorney General and the State's attorney in every circuit or county, on the request of said Commissioners, to institute and prosecute any and all suits and proceedings which they, or either of them, shall be directed by said Commissioners to institute and prosecute for a violation of this act, or any law of this state concerning railroad companies or warehouses," etc.

Section 18 further provides as follows:

"All such prosecutions shall be in the name of the People of the State of Illinois, and all monies arising therefrom shall be paid into the State Treasury by the sheriff or other officer collecting the same," etc.

The act upon "extortion and unjust discrimination" further provides that the Commission shall enforce that act and "cause suits to be commenced and prosecuted against any railroad corporation which may violate the provisions of this act." It further provides in what counties of the State prosecutions may be begun, authorizes the Commission to employ counsel "to assist the Attorney General," if they think it necessary, and says that no such suit shall be dismissed unless the Commission and the Attorney General both consent thereto.

From the above provisions it seems evident that the "prosecutions" which it is incumbent upon this Commission to institute and conduct are prosecutions for those penalties denounced by the statute against railroad companies for violation of the several provisions of the railroad and warehouse law. It was evidently not intended that the Commission should carry on any man's private suit at public expense. A writ of *mandamus* to compel the switching of cars for complainant, while running in the name of the people, would, in fact, be the private suit of complainant. It would not be a "prosecution" in the sense that term is used in the statute. The statute fixes no fine or penalty for refusal to switch cars. The party damaged by such refusal could no doubt recover his damages: but this, too, would be his private action and not a public prosecution. The courts are open to complainant to prosecute its suit for itself. The intention to confine this Commission to those prosecutions in the name of the people for penalties or the prosecution of such suits as affect the public generally, or large communities of people, is also pointed to by the fact that the act concerning unjust discrimination expressly provides for a private suit by the person discriminated against wherein he may recover treble damages and his attorney's fees.

Inasmuch as the parties had placed this case before us at some length, we have not hesitated, under the injunction of the statute that we shall "examine into the condition and management, and all other matters concerning the business of railroads and warehouses in this State," etc., to thus express our views of the law for the guidance of those who may be affected by them, or may have confidence to follow them: and we hope the matter may be now adjusted between the parties without resort to a judicial determination of the question, which, not being a court in the proper sense, we are not authorized to make.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS, Dec. 10, 1890.

No. 7.

REFUSAL TO SWITCH CARS.

COMPLAINT.

Lyon & Scott, Complainants,

vs.

Peoria & Pekin Union Ry. Co. and the Illinois Car Service Association of Peoria, Respondents.

OPINION OF COMMISSION.

Opinion by Phillips, Commissioner:

This complaint raises practically the same questions which are discussed in the opinion of the Commission in the complaint of the *Union Brewing Co. v. The C., B. & Q. R. R. Co.*, and we need do little more than refer the parties to the ruling in that case. No evidence has been heard but the conceded facts show that an unpaid car-service charge, concerning the justice of which there is a controversy, has been the principal cause of the refusal to switch cars. One matter is rather indirectly stated in the answer of the P. & P. U. Ry. Co., which might, if proved, take the case out of the principle. It is said the team track opposite blocks 6 and 7, where Lyon & Scott demand to have their coal cars placed, is a "merchandise track," and that Lyon & Scott insist upon having their coal cars placed upon this merchandise track for unloading. It is not precisely averred that this track is held by the company exclusively for merchandise. We can understand how, under some circumstances, it might be highly proper for a company to establish one track for coal and another for merchandise, and if the coal track were suitable and proper for that commodity a coal merchant could not demand to have his coal cars put upon a track properly set apart for a different business. But the principle stated in the Union Brewing Co.'s case, that the railroad company must treat all alike would here apply with its entire force. Special favors could not be arbitrarily extended. If a suitable and proper track for coal cars is offered complainants where other coal merchants are accommodated and the company is ready and willing to switch the cars there, then the refusal to pay the car-service charges would make no figure in the case. Respondent has in that case simply done its duty and is not in default.

The P. & P. U. states in its answer that the delay in transporting the cars of coal which Lyon & Scott sets up as a reason for refusing to pay the car-service charges (alleging that two or three days' business was by the

fault of the carrier thrown upon them at once) was not the fault of the P. & P. U. company, which only switched the cars, but was, if anybody's, the fault of the carrying company. If this can be established then it will show Lyon & Scott must look for their damages for delaying their cars to the company at fault, and that they cannot set it off against a car-service charge of the P. & P. U. company otherwise just and proper. But all this is matter for proof in a court of justice. As observed in the Union Brewing Co.'s case, we cannot settle a controversy of this kind. The parties must have their rights adjudicated if they cannot agree between themselves.

Here, as in the case of the Union Brewing Company, the remedy, if one exists, must be sought by complainants in their own private suit in *mandamus*, or by a proceeding in chancery for a mandatory injunction.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS, Dec. 10, 1890.

No. 8.

UNJUST DISCRIMINATION.

COMPLAINT.

J. H. Linneman & Co., Complainants.

VS.

The Illinois Central R. R. Co., Respondent.

OPINION OF COMMISSION.

Opinion by Phillips, Commissioner:

J. H. Linneman & Co., a firm doing business at Flanagan, Livingston county, Ill., complain that the Illinois Central R. R. Co., has discriminated against them in freight charges from Chicago, in that said railroad company has, it is claimed, charged complainants more for the same class and quantity of freight from Chicago to Flanagan, than was at the same time charged for the like freight from Chicago to Minonk, Minonk being the greater distance by about 13 miles, and the Minonk shipments passing through Flanagan on the same line of road.

Minonk is a competing point, being reached from Chicago by a line of the Santa Fe road, and by two lines of the Illinois Central. One Illinois Central line reaches Chicago from Minonk by way of Mendota, running in connection with the C. B. & Q.; while the other goes by way of Kankakee and is owned continuously to Chicago by the Illinois Central Company. The Kankakee line is the one on which the town of Flanagan is situated, between Chicago and Minonk.

The Santa Fe line which passes through Minonk, reaches in its farther southward progress Pekin and Peoria, where there is water competition, and it is insisted by respondent that such water competition has resulted in compelling the Santa Fe Company to fix a rate at Minonk which is unreasonably low, the Santa Fe being unable, under the law, to make a higher rate at Minonk than its through rate. Respondent, however, shows that while its rate to Flanagan from Chicago is in fact slightly higher than to Minonk, it has not been the intention to ship any of the Minonk goods by the Kankakee line and through Flanagan; that if any such shipments were so sent, it was done inadvertently and against orders; and respondent wholly disclaims any purpose to violate the law by hauling, for less freight, a longer distance, in the same direction, over the same line.

While the proof is not specific or clear, we think it probable, that some of these shipments to Minonk were hauled through Flanagan; and this, if proved, would be a violation of the Illinois statute prohibiting unjust discrimination. Competition at a point is by our statute expressly excluded from the class of facts which our courts have said might be alleged to show a discrimination to be not "unjust." If there is competition at the end of the line, our statute gives all intermediate stations the benefit of it. In this our statute directly differs from the Inter-State Commerce act, which empowers the National Commission to allow a less charge for a greater distance where there is competition, if they deem it just and proper. This Commission is without any such power. To haul a like quantity of freight to Minonk from Chicago for a less rate than to Flanagan, a less distance, over the same line of road in the same direction, is a violation of our statute.

We do not, however, think the public good requires that respondent be prosecuted for the penalty denounced by the statute. As we before said, the proof already produced is not clear, and better and more conclusive proof would need to be found before instituting suit. The statute being penal would be strictly construed. The exact case stated in the statute would have to be proved in order to recover the penalty. We are not satisfied from the proof produced that a prosecution would succeed under the construction given this act by our courts.

C., B. & Q. vs. People, 77 Ill., 443.

Kankakee Coal Co. vs. Illinois Central R. R. Co., 17 App. 614.

But even if specific proof were forthcoming, in view of the showing made that respondent's general freight agent had given orders to ship to Minonk only by the Mendota line, and in view of the further fact that respondent gives the Commission positive assurance that care will be taken to observe the statute in future, it is decided to institute no suit for the penalty, unless there shall be future violations.

Complainants have filed with us a bill of many items for overcharges of freights by respondent, presumably with a view to our assisting in the collection of this private bill. This we cannot do. Our function is to prosecute for fines and penalties where we believe the public welfare demands it. The courts are open to complainants for the collection of such overcharges as they can prove. The statute concerning "Extortion and Unjust Discrimination" expressly provides, (Sec. 6), that any private individual who may be damaged through a violation of the statute, as to discrimination, may recover, in a civil suit, three times the amount of all his damages, together with his reasonable counsel fees to be taxed as costs. The remedy of complainants is thus made very ample for their private injury, if they are able to show one; and this Commission is not the proper forum for that part of this complaint which embraces this private claim for damages.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS, Dec. 11, 1890.

No 9.

RULING REGARDING PETITIONS FOR REHEARING IN
CROSSING CASES.

In order to settle a matter of practice applicable to cases arising under the act of 1889, in regard to crossings, the following ruling is made:

The Commission are of the opinion the practice of entertaining petitions for rehearing in cases arising under said act results in delay without a corresponding advantage. It will be the purpose of the Commission to very fully hear the views of the parties in the first instance in all such cases, to the end that no hasty or ill-considered action may be taken. But in no case hereafter will any petition for rehearing in such cases be entertained unless the right to file such petition shall be expressly reserved to the parties in the decision of the Commission rendered in the case.

Adopted, July 10, 1890.

No. 10.

RULINGS COVERING THE INSPECTION OF GRAIN, GRAIN CONVEYORS AND SPOUTING CONNECTIONS.

--- RULE IN REGARD TO THE INSPECTION OF GRAIN.

All grain in store in any warehouse of class "A" at the time any amendment to the established rules of inspection (affecting such grain) may hereafter go into effect, shall be inspected out, in satisfaction of warehouse receipts dated prior to that time only, in accordance with the rules as they stood prior to such amendment.

Adopted May 21, 1890.

GRAIN CONVEYORS.

Resolved, That in the judgment of this Commission any spouting, conveyor or other mechanical connections between any warehouse of class "A" and any other warehouse, whereby grain may be transferred from one to the other, is a violation of the statute regulating warehouses.

Resolved, That the Chief Grain Inspector be directed to fix a reasonable time, not to exceed thirty days from August 21, 1890, within which all such connections which may now exist shall be removed, and after the time so to be designated shall have elapsed, no grain shall be inspected by this department except the same be contained in cars, canal boats, vessels, wagons or sacks, as provided in the rules established by this Commission, or in process of transfer to the same from warehouses of class "A."

Adopted August 21, 1890.

In the matter of the application of A. E. Neely for modification of former order of Commission in regard to spouting connections and conveyors between warehouses, it is

ORDERED—That the rule adopted August 21, 1890, be and the same is hereby so modified, as to apply only to such spoutings, conveyors or other connections as may be used to convey or transfer grain from any other warehouse *into* a warehouse of class "A."

Adopted December 11, 1890.

No. 11.

INTERLOCKING, SIGNALING AND DERAILING DEVICES.

The plan and construction of interlocking, signaling and derailing devices to be used at grade crossings of intersecting lines of railroads in Illinois, must be arranged to conform to the following

GENERAL RULES:

1. The normal position of all signals must indicate danger,—derail points open—and the interlocking so arranged that it will be impossible for operator to give conflicting signals.

2. On level track, when practicable, the derail points in high-speed tracks must be placed three hundred (300) feet from fouling point at intersection of crossing tracks.

3. On descending grades, the derail points on high-speed tracks when practicable, must be so located as to give the measure of safety equal to three hundred (300) feet on level track.

4. The minimum distance for derail points on high-speed tracks is three hundred (300) feet from fouling point at crossing, and no less distance from crossing will be approved, on account of ascending grade toward crossing.

5. On switching, storage and slow-speed tracks, the position of derail points may be located to best accommodate the traffic, and provide the same measure of safety indicated in foregoing rules.

6. On single track railroads, derail points, when practicable, should be on inside of curve, and when double track is used, the derail points should be in outside rail of both tracks.

7. Home signal posts must be fifty (50) feet beyond point of derail. Distance between home and distance signal must not be less than twelve hundred (1200) feet. Signal post should be placed on engineer's side of track it governs.

8. In case but one derail is furnished in double track crossing, where the current of traffic is in one direction, detector bars must be provided on opposite side of crossing from derails, and worked on same lever as derail, or interlocked with it, so that opposing signal cannot be given until crossing is cleared. In case trains back over crossing, after having passed over it, or if current of traffic is changed, then and in that case back-up derails must be provided.

9. Guard rail should be laid on inside of rail opposite derail, and commence at least six (6) feet toward home signal from point of derail, extending from thence toward crossing, parallel with and nine inches distant from traffic rail, total length two hundred (200) feet, unless otherwise ordered.

10. In case there are cross-overs, turn-outs, or other connecting tracks involved in the general system, the movements of cars and trains upon which present an element of danger, which danger will be enhanced by the passage of trains on main tracks over crossings without stopping, and consequently at higher speed than would be the case without the permit sought, then, and in all such cases, whether such enhanced danger be of collision between different cars or trains of the same road, or between cars or trains of different roads, it will be necessary, in addition to the protection of the main crossing, to provide by the proper devices and appliances against any such increased collateral dangers in the same complete manner that is required in the case of the main crossing.

11. Application for inspection of interlocking plant must be accompanied by plain diagram, showing location of crossing and position of all main tracks, sidings, switches, turnouts, etc. The several tracks must be indicated by letters or figures, and reference made to each, explaining the manner of its use. The rate of grade on each main track must be shown, together with numbers of signals, derails, locks, etc., corresponding to levers in tower.

It is intended in this circular to state general rules, which will govern the construction of any proposed system of interlocking. The business to be handled, relative position and operation of intersecting lines, may require safeguards not mentioned herein.

The system of derailing, signaling and interlocking must be connected and worked, and be complete in each particular before it will be approved.

No. 12.

INTERLOCKING, SIGNALING AND DERAILING DEVICES.

 FORM OF PERMIT.

 STATE OF ILLINOIS,
 OFFICE OF THE RAILROAD AND WAREHOUSE COMMISSION,
 SPRINGFIELD.

To all whom it may concern:

KNOW YE, that the interlocking device, with its various parts and appliances, situated at the crossing of the tracks of the Rail Company, with the tracks of the Rail Company in county, Illinois, feet of station, having been duly inspected and examined under authority of the Railroad and Warehouse Commission of the State of Illinois, as provided by law, and having been found suitable and sufficient for the purposes of such a device, a more particular account of which device, its location and mechanical construction, will be found in the report of Consulting Engineer of said Commission.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered, that the said interlocking device be, and the same is hereby approved, in manner and form as the same is described in said report, and shown upon the plans, diagrams and drawings thereof, now on file in the office of said Commission; and these presents shall authorize the above mentioned railroad companies, and each of them, to run said crossing without stopping, until the further order of this Commission: subject, however, to the following conditions, to-wit:

First—Said companies shall cause said device to be frequently inspected, and shall keep the same in first class working order, and in good repair, and shall provide for its efficient operation by a competent person or persons, so long as it shall be in use under this permit.

Second—Each engine and train shall be brought under control after passing distance signal, and shall proceed under control over said crossing. "Control," as here used, means speed of train must be governed by brake power at command, and in no case exceed the power of trainmen to readily stop train within safe distance should danger appear between distance signal and crossing, or at crossing.

Third—No change shall be made in the location of said device or any of its parts, nor in the mechanical construction thereof, nor in the manner of operating the same, without the approval of the Commission; and, in case of any such change without such approval having first been obtained, the authority hereby conferred shall at once cease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said Railroad and Warehouse Commission of the State of Illinois has caused these presents to be signed by its Chairman and attested by its Secretary this day of 189....

Attest:

.....

Chairman R. R. and W. H. Com.

.....

Secretary.

ADOPTED August 21, 1890.

INDEX.

A.

	PAGE.
Accidents in Illinois	47, 121, 122, 123, 124
Appropriations	48
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railroad—	
Officers of.....	133
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railroad in Chicago—	
Officers of	134
Average earnings in Illinois.....	43, 82, 83

B.

Ballast in Illinois.....	46, 108, 109, 110
Baltimore & Ohio Railroad—	
Officers of.....	134
Baltimore & Ohio & Chicago Railroad—	
Officers of.....	135
Belleville & Carondelet Railroad—	
Officers of.....	161
Belleville & Eldorado Railroad—	
Officers of.....	161
Belleville & Southern Illinois Railroad—	
Officers of.....	161
Belt Railway of Chicago—	
Officers of.....	135
Block signals.....	224
Bonds	39, 56, 58, 60, 61
Bonds of warehousemen	34
Brakes.....	13, 210
Bridges in Illinois.....	46, 108, 109, 110
Burst, J. W., report of.....	193

C.

Cairo, Vincennes & Chicago Railroad—	
Inspection of.....	4
Calumet River Railroad—	
Officers of.....	158
Capital Stock	39, 56, 58, 60, 61

Carbondale & Shawneetown Railroad—	PAGE.
Officers of.....	162
Centralia & Chester Railroad—	
Officers of.....	135
Chicago & Alton Railroad—	
Officers of.....	135
Chicago & Atlantic Railway—	
Officers of.....	136
Chicago & Calumet Terminal Railway—	
Officers of.....	136
Chicago & Eastern Illinois Railroad—	
Officers of.....	17
Chicago & Grand Trunk Railway—	
Officers of.....	138
Chicago & Illinois Southern Railroad—	
Officers of.....	138
Chicago & Iowa Railroad—	
Officers of.....	139
Chicago & Northwestern Railway—	
Officers of.....	139
Chicago & Northern Pacific Railroad—	
Officers of.....	140
Chicago & Ohio River Railroad—	
Inspection of.....	4
Officers of.....	140
Chicago & Springfield Railroad—	
Officers of.....	150
Chicago & State Line Railroad—	
Officers of.....	157
Chicago & Western Indiana Railroad—	
Officers of.....	137
Respondent in petition to determine place and mode of crossing.....	241
Chicago & Wisconsin Railroad—	
Officers of.....	166
Chicago, Burlington & Northern Railroad—	
Officers of.....	140
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad—	
Complaint against.....	257
Officers of.....	141
Chicago, Havana & Western—	
Officers of.....	150
Chicago, Madison & Northern Railroad—	
Officers of.....	150
vs. Chicago & Western Indiana R. R. and Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago Ry., petition to determine place and mode of crossing.....	241
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway—	
Officers of.....	142
Chicago, Peoria & St. Louis Railway—	
Inspection of.....	6
Officers of.....	143

	PAGE
Chicago, Santa Fe & California Railroad—	
Inspection of.....	5
Officers of.....	133
Chicago, St. Louis & Paducah Railway—	
Officers of.....	162
Chicago, St. Louis & Pittsburgh Railroad—	
Officers of.....	144
Chicago, St. Paul & Kansas City Railway—	
Officers of.....	145
Claims for damages on account of faulty inspection.....	31, 192
Classified freight traffic in Illinois.....	44, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91
Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis Railway—	
Officers of.....	145
Comparative tables.....	52 to 130 inclusive
Consumption of fuel by locomotives, whole line.....	47, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120
Couplers.....	13, 219
Crossings and crossing equipments.....	16
Crossings equipped with interlocking devices.....	17

D.

DePue, Ladd & Eastern Railroad—	
Officers of.....	146

E.

Earnings and income in Illinois.....	42, 76, 77
Earnings from operation in Illinois.....	41, 72, 73, 74, 75
East St. Louis & Carondelet Railway—	
Officers of.....	146
East St. Louis Connecting Railway—	
Officers of.....	147
Electric City & Illinois Railway—	
Officers of.....	147
Elgin, Joliet & Eastern Railway—	
Officers of.....	147
Employés and salaries, whole line and in Illinois.....	44, 45, 92, 93, 94, 95
Employés, average daily earnings of.....	45, 96, 97
Englewood Connecting Railway—	
Officers of.....	144
Equipment, description of.....	45, 46, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103
Equipment, trust obligations.....	39, 57, 59
Evansville, Terre Haute & Chicago Railway—	
Officers of.....	137

F.

Financial statement.....	49
Freight cars.....	229
Freight traffic in Illinois.....	43, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91

	PAGE
Fulton County Narrow Gauge Railway—	
Officers of.....	148
Funded debt.....	39, 60, 61

G.

Galesburg & Rio Railroad—	
Officers of.....	141
Gardner, Coal City & Northern Railway—	
Officers of.....	148
Grain conveyors.....	267
Grain inspection and registration.....	32
Bonds of warehousemen.....	34
Claims for damages on account of faulty inspection	34, 192
Periodical weighing of grain.....	34
Report of chief inspector.....	174
Report of warehouse registrar.....	193
Rules governing the inspection of grain	188, 267
Situation at East St. Louis	33
Grand Tower & Cape Girardeau Railroad—	
Officers of.....	149
Grand Tower & Carbondale Railroad—	
Inspection of.....	5
Officers of.....	149
Grand Trunk Junction Railway—	
Officers of.....	138

H.

Hansel, Chas., report of.....	215
Block signals.....	224
Brakes.....	216
Car couplers.....	219
Conclusions.....	238
Freight cars.....	229
Heating passenger cars.....	233
Highway crossings.....	232
Interlocking, signaling and derailing.....	227
Lighting passenger cars.....	236
Maintenance of way.....	230
Signals.....	226

I.

Illinois Car Service Association—	
Complaint against.....	262
Illinois Central Railroad—	
Complaint against.....	264
Inspection of.....	6
Officers of.....	149
Illinois Valley & Northern Railroad —	
Officers of.....	141
Income account, operating lines, whole line	40, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71
Income account, leased lines, whole line	41, 127, 128, 129, 130

	PAGE.
Improved couplings, brakes, etc.....	13
Improvements.....	11
Indiana & Illinois Southern Railroad—	
Inspection of.....	6
Officers of.....	151
Indianapolis, Decatur & Western Railway—	
Officers of.....	152
Indiana, Illinois & Iowa Railroad—	
Officers of.....	152
Interlocking, signaling and derailing devices—	
Crossings equipped with.	17
Form of permit to use.....	270
General rules covering construction of.....	268
Report on	227
Iowa Central Railway—	
Officers of.....	152

J.

Jacksonville disaster.....	18
Jacksonville Southeastern Line (C. P. & St. L. Ry)—	
Inspection of.....	6
Joliet & Chicago Railroad—	
Officers of	136
Joliet & Northern Indiana Railroad—	
Officers of	156

K.

Kankakee & Seneca Railroad—	
Officers of.....	146
Kankakee & Southwestern Railroad—	
Officers of.....	150

L.

Lake Erie & Western Railroad—	
Officers of.....	153
Lake Shore & Michigan Southern Railway—	
Officers of.....	153
Lighting passenger cars.....	236
Linneman, J. H. & Co., vs. Illinois Central R. R. Co.....	264
Live stock rates.....	22
Louisville & Nashville Railroad—	
Officers of	154
Louisville, Evansville & St. Louis Con. Railroad—	
Officers of.....	154
Louisville, New Albany & Chicago Railway—	
Officers of.....	155
Lyon & Scott, vs Peoria & Pekin Union Ry. Co. and Illinois Car Service Association.	262

M.

PAGE.

Maintenance of way, report on.....	230
Michigan Central Railroad—	
Officers of	155
Mississippi River Bridge—	
Officers of.....	136
Mississippi River Railroad and Toll Bridge—	
Officers of.....	134
Mobile & Ohio Railroad—	
Inspection of.....	7
Officers of.....	156

N.

New York, Chicago & St. Louis Railroad—	
Officers of.....	156

O.

Ohio & Mississippi Railway—	
Complaint against.....	251
Officers of.....	157
Operating expenses in Illinois.....	42, 43, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83

P.

Passenger traffic in Illinois.....	43, 84, 85
Pawnee Railroad—	
Officers of.....	157
Pennsylvania Company—	
Officers of.....	158
Peoria & Bureau Valley Railroad—	
Officers of.....	143
Peoria & Eastern Railway—	
Officers of.....	146
Peoria & Pekin Union Railway—	
Bridge of.....	7
Complaint against.....	262
Inspection of.....	7
Officers of.....	159
Peoria, Decatur & Evansville Railway—	
Inspection of.....	7
Officers of.....	159
Periodical weighing of grain.....	34
Petition for leave to cross	248
Petition to determine place and mode of crossing.....	241
Petitions for rehearing in crossing cases	266
Physical inspection of roads.....	4
Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne & Chicago Railway—	
Officers of.....	158
Respondent in petition to determine place and mode of crossing.....	241
Price, P. Bird, report of.....	174

Q.

PAGE.

Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City Railway—

Officers of..... 160

R.

Railroads—

Classification of..... 12

Incorporated during year ending June 30, 1890..... 167

Mileage of..... 38, 53, 55

Rails in Illinois..... 46, 104, 105, 106, 107

Railway safety appliances, report on..... 215

Rantoul Railroad—

Officers of..... 151

Refrigerator cars..... 21

Rock Island & Peoria Railway—

Officers of..... 160

S.

Seal, official, for office..... 22

Signals 226

Situation at East St. Louis..... 33

Spouting connections..... 267

Stations in Illinois 104, 105, 106, 107

South Chicago Railroad—

Officers of..... 151

South Chicago & Southern Railroad—

Officers of..... 159

Southeast & St. Louis Railway—

Officers of..... 154

St. Louis, Alton & Springfield Railroad—

Inspection of..... 9

Officers of 160

St. Louis, Alton & Terre Haute Railroad—

Inspection of..... 10

Officers of 161

St. Louis & Chicago Railway—

Officers of..... 162

St. Louis & Eastern Railway—

vs. Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City R. R. Co., petition for leave to cross 248

St. Louis & Peoria Railroad—

Officers of..... 162

St. Louis, Rock Island & Chicago Railroad—

Officers of..... 142

St. Louis Southern Railroad—

Officers of..... 162

St. Louis, Vandalia & Terre Haute Railroad—

Officers of..... 163

T.

PAGE.

Taxes in Illinois.....	43, 47, 82, 83, 125, 126
Taylor, Jos., vs. Ohio & Mississippi Ry. Co.....	251
Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis—	
Officers of.....	163
Terre Haute & Indianapolis Railroad—	
Inspection of.....	10
Officers of.....	163
Terre Haute & Peoria Railroad—	
Officers of.....	164
Ties in Illinois.....	46, 104, 105, 106, 107
Toledo, Peoria & Western Railway—	
Inspection of.....	10
Officers of.....	164
Toledo, St. Louis & Kansas City Railroad—	
Officers of.....	164
Respondent in petition for leave to cross.	248
Trestles and pile in Illinois....	108, 109, 110

U.

Uniform classification.....	20
Union Brewing Co., of Peoria, vs. Chicago, Burlington & Quincy R. R. Co.....	257

V.

“Vandalia Line”—	
Inspection of.. ..	10
Officers of.....	163

W.

Wabash Railroad—	
Complaint against.....	256
Inspection of.....	11
Officers of.....	165
Wabash, Chester & Western Railroad—	
Inspection of.....	11
Officers of.....	165
Waukegan & Southwestern Railway—	
Officers of.....	148
Wisconsin Central Lines—	
Officers of.....	165
Wonderly, P. & Co., vs. Wabash R. R. Co.	256

